



**Fee Schedule Assessment Report
Maricopa County, Arizona**

Submitted to:

**Flood Control District of Maricopa County
Phoenix, Arizona**

Submitted by:

**AMEC Earth & Environmental, Inc.
Tempe, Arizona**

AMEC Project No. 15-2010-3023

January 12, 2011



January 12, 2011
AMEC Proposal No. PW10-11-02

Flood Control District of Maricopa County
Floodplain Management and Services Division
2801 West Durango Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85009-6399

Attn: Kelli Sertich
Floodplain Management and Services Division Manager

**Re: Fee Schedule Assessment Report
Maricopa County, Arizona**

AMEC Earth & Environmental, Inc. (AMEC) is pleased to present the enclosed draft Fee Schedule Report for the District's review and comment. The report provides a description of the assessment effort including a summary of internal and external data gathered, considerations for assessing fee categories, and recommended fee categories for the District to consider for implementation.

If you have any questions regarding the enclosed report, feel free to contact us at any time. We truly appreciate the continued opportunity to provide the District with professional services as part of this and future work assignments.

Respectfully submitted,

AMEC Earth & Environmental, Inc.

Reviewed by:

Scott Hershberger
Water Resources Specialist

Robert Davies, PE, PH, CFM
Senior Water Resources Engineer

c: Addressee (2)

G:\Water Resources\Projects\2010 Projects\15-2010-3023 Maricopa County Fee Schedule Assessment\Report\Final_FCDMC_Fee_Schedule_Assessment_Report.doc

AMEC Earth & Environmental, Inc.
1405 West Auto Drive
Tempe, Arizona 85284-1016
Tel: (480) 940-2320
Fax: (480) 785-0970

www.amec.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| 1.0 BACKGROUND | 1 |
| 2.0 FEE SCHEDULE ASSESSMENT | 1 |
| 2.1 Overview of District Activities | 2 |
| 2.2 Existing Fee Schedule | 2 |
| 2.2.1 Floodplain Use Permits – Commercial and residential | 2 |
| 2.2.2 Floodplain Use Permits – Sand and Gravel | 3 |
| 2.2.3 Other Fees | 4 |
| 3.0 DATA COLLECTION FROM SIMILAR ENTITIES | 4 |
| 3.1 Permitting Structure | 5 |
| 3.1.1 Yuma County | 5 |
| 3.1.2 City of Houston, Texas | 6 |
| 3.1.3 Miami-Dade County, Florida | 6 |
| 3.1.4 King County, Washington | 7 |
| 3.1.5 Other General Approaches | 7 |
| 4.0 ASSESSMENT FINDINGS | 8 |
| 4.1 Floodplain Use Permits – Residential and Commercial | 9 |
| 4.1.1 Engineering versus Nonengineering | 9 |
| 4.1.2 Inspections | 9 |
| 4.2 Sand and Gravel | 10 |
| 4.3 Other Fees | 10 |
| 5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS | 11 |
| 5.1 Floodplain Use Permits | 11 |
| 5.2 Floodplain Clearance | 12 |
| 5.3 Amendments | 14 |
| 5.4 Inspection Services | 14 |
| 5.5 Post-Construction Permitting | 15 |
| 5.6 Sand and Gravel | 16 |
| 5.7 Appeals/Variance (Flood Control Review Board or Board of Directors) | 18 |
| 5.8 Floodplain Delineation Review | 18 |
| 5.9 Fee Levels | 19 |
| 6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS | 20 |



LIST OF TABLES

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Table 3-1: Miami-Dade County Floodplain Inspection Services | 6 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | |
|------------|------------------------------------|
| Appendix A | Proposed Fee Schedule |
| Appendix B | Existing Fee Schedule |
| Appendix C | Samples of Collected Fee Schedules |

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| CLOMR | conditional letter of map revision |
| District | Flood Control District of Maricopa County |
| DNU-AR | determination of no use – administrative review |
| DNU-TR | determination of no use – technical review |
| FC | floodplain clearance |
| FEMA | Federal Emergency Management Agency |
| Floodplain Regulations | <i>Floodplain Regulations for Maricopa County</i> |
| IGA | intergovernmental agreement |
| LOMR | letter of map revision |
| Maximus study | <i>Flood Control District of Maricopa County Regulatory Division Fee Services Study</i> |
| P&D | Maricopa County Planning and Development |
| RV | recreational vehicle |
| S&G | sand and gravel |

1.0 BACKGROUND

The mission of the Flood Control District of Maricopa County (District) is to provide regional flood hazard identification, regulation, remediation and education to Maricopa County residents for the purpose of reducing the risk of injury, death, and property damage from flooding, while still allowing residents to enjoy the natural and beneficial values provided by floodplains.

The District is responsible for providing regional flood protection throughout Maricopa County and local flood protection in unincorporated Maricopa County. However, the District is not responsible for all local flood control in Maricopa County, as some municipalities handle their own floodplain management. The District's jurisdiction for floodplain management and regulation includes all of unincorporated Maricopa County as well as the communities of Buckeye, Carefree, Cave Creek, Chandler, El Mirage, Gila Bend, Guadalupe, Litchfield Park, Mesa, Queen Creek, Surprise and Tolleson.

Under enabling legislation by the State of Arizona, the District is designated as a special taxing district and is given the authority to levy a secondary property tax on parcels within Maricopa County. Flood control projects are also funded by a variety of state, district, county and city cost-sharing arrangements. The revenue from the property tax generally covers capital improvement program projects. The rest of the District's budget is derived from revenue from other sources, which include the sale or lease of rights-of-way, fees that developers and individuals are required to pay to obtain building permits within Maricopa County, and cost sharing with other entities.

The District implements permitting related fees for development activities in or near delineated floodplains. The existing fee levels were set as the result of the *Flood Control District of Maricopa County Regulatory Division Fee Services Study* conducted in 2004 by Maximus, Inc. (Maximus study). The study was conducted to determine the average cost to the District in time and materials to conduct the services for which the District has imposed fees. The study recommended fee levels that would allow the District to recover 100 percent of its costs. The District proceeded to set the majority of fee levels in accordance with the recommendations of the Maximus study, while others were set at lower rates that were deemed more appropriate. In light of the Maximus study and subsequent internal efforts to reevaluate fee levels, the District wishes to examine the existing fee categories to assess their adequacy and appropriateness with respect to the permitting services provided by the District.

2.0 FEE SCHEDULE ASSESSMENT

To provide the District with practical and meaningful recommendations, AMEC conducted an in-depth assessment of District operations, including a review of applicable regulations, guidance documents, policy statements, financial information, and other similar documentation. This review was supplemented by numerous fact-finding discussions with District personnel. A cursory survey of similar governmental agencies, both locally and nationwide, was conducted to assist in identifying similarities in regulatory fee structure and permitting mechanisms. A

discussion of the assessment and their results is provided in this section. The proposed revised fee schedule with descriptions of the specific categories is included in Appendix A.

2.1 Overview of District Activities

Oversight of floodplain activities is accomplished through the *Floodplain Regulations for Maricopa County*, Revision 2006 (Floodplain Regulations). The regulations implement the general directives of the National Flood Insurance Program, implemented nationally by Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). A primary goal of both the District and FEMA is to provide oversight of floodplain activities in an attempt to lessen the impact of flooding on people and property. The District accomplishes this objective through the establishment of the floodplain regulations, which provide minimum standards and requirements for activities within floodplains. Standards such as minimum structure elevations, prohibition of certain activities that may reduce floodplain storage capacity, and other similar requirements are implemented through the District's Floodplain Management Division.

The Floodplain Management Division has instituted a defined permit and review structure that requires property owners to solicit District review for activities, primarily construction or addition of a structural improvement, on a parcel of land that contains a delineated floodplain. Depending on the location and details of the proposed activity, as well as its relative proximity to the floodplain, the District has the ability to require the applicant to obtain a floodplain use permit, grant the applicant a clearance to construct as proposed, perform more detailed engineering review, or use the authority under the floodplain regulations to deny the applicant's proposed activities.

Administration of the permitting program entails review and oversight of activities within Maricopa County on a variety of levels. Depending on the complexity of the project, a proposed project may require anything from a simple administrative review to a more extensive technical engineering review. While the District is primarily funded through the collection of a special property tax, a series of fees has been established and implemented to recover the cost of the permitting and review activities. The existing fee schedule is provided in Appendix B of this report.

2.2 Existing Fee Schedule

2.2.1 Floodplain Use Permits – Commercial and residential

The existing fee schedule is broken down into two major fee groups: (1) floodplain use permits and (2) other fees. Included in the floodplain use permit group are all activities related to the processing and issuance of floodplain use permits for residential, commercial, industrial, and sand and gravel (S&G) activities. Residential and commercial/industrial activities are further defined as either Category 1 or Category 2 permits and amendments. This differentiation is based on the presumed complexity of the application, permitting, and review processes. Category 1 permits are assumed to be less complex than Category 2 permits. Category 1 permits generally involve construction activities related to single-family residential dwellings, manufactured buildings, or commercial and industrial properties that are proposing activities that

are fairly straightforward and that require only a low to moderate level of effort to process. Category 2 permits are considered to be more complex and often involve projects such as engineered residential subdivisions, commercial strip malls, complex industrial parks and similar projects. It is important to note that the only distinction between the Category 1 and 2 permits is the effort involved and corresponding fee applied to the applicant. There is no differentiation made between the two categories with regard to regulatory requirements, applicant responsibilities, or other similar factors.

Category 1 permits are further broken down into two subcategories and applicable fees: nonengineered and engineered. A general understanding of the subcategories indicates that the difference lies in the method of which the application is submitted. Applicants submitting engineered plans for a proposed activity would generally fall into the engineered category and be assessed the applicable fee (\$635), while those submitting plans that have not been produced and stamped by an engineer would be assessed the nonengineering fee (\$465). A more detailed discussion of this issue is included in subsequent sections.

The current fee structure has put in place a fee for inspections (\$160) that is aimed at covering the cost for the District to perform inspections of a site of proposed or permitted activities. As part of permit requirements, the permittee is often required to give the District the opportunity to verify certain standards during the construction process. As such, District inspectors are required to travel to the site to conduct an assessment of conditions, verify location of activities, survey structures for proper elevation, and perform similar activities. Inspection activities are only conducted in a routine nature on properties that are within unincorporated Maricopa County. While the District has established joint authority with particular incorporated jurisdictions within the county (City of Surprise, Town of Cave Creek, etc.) through intergovernmental agreements (IGAs) or resolutions, inspection activities within those incorporated jurisdictions are the responsibility of the local municipality. The District will only conduct inspection activities and assess inspection fees for projects within incorporated areas of the county at the request of the local municipality.

2.2.2 Floodplain Use Permits – Sand and Gravel

S&G operations include mining and related activities that generally occur entirely within the delineated floodplain. The mining activities tend to be conducted in or adjacent to ephemeral or intermittent water bodies within the county. Oversight of the operations by the District is imperative in ensuring that the activities do not adversely impact the storage and/or conveyance capacity within the floodplain. Review and oversight of the mining activities tends to be complex in nature, requiring time-intensive engineering review for the initial application and review throughout the term of the permit. Unlike the traditional floodplain use permit, which authorizes the construction of a structure, the floodplain use permit for a S&G is in place for an industrial operation. The permit is issued by the District for term of five years and requires biannual inspections to ensure proper operation within the permit guidelines. Following the five-year term, the permit must be renewed to continue operation.

Several fees are in place for the administration of the floodplain use permit for S&G operations. An initial application fee is applied at the beginning stages of an operation. This \$12,800 fee is

intended to recover the cost of the District to conduct the initial evaluation of the proposed operation and its potential impact on the floodplain. Fees are in place to recover the cost of the biannual inspections, major/minor amendments initiated by the applicant, and for conducting an engineering review required as the result of an issue of noncompliance.

2.2.3 Other Fees

Additional fees established by the District are included in an "other fee" category. This includes the five-year renewal fee for the S&G floodplain use permit and a floodplain clearance (FC) fee. In accordance with the current regulations, the FC is available for activities that are being proposed on a parcel that contains a floodplain, but where the actual location of the activity is not within the floodplain, nor will its implementation adversely impact the floodplain in any way. The issuance of an FC typically entails some general review on an administrative and/or technical level to verify that the proposed construction project/structure is not constituting a regulated use of the floodplain.

Fees are established for the issuance of a variance by an applicant. In general, a variance is the allowance of an activity within a floodplain where an applicant is provided relief from some or all of the regulatory requirements set forth in the floodplain regulations. Additional fees for continuance of hearing and reposting of the variance are included as well.

As another technical function provided by the District, the review of conditional letters of map revision/letters of map revision (CLOMR/LOMR) for submittal to FEMA is conducted by experienced engineering and hydrological staff within the District. Fees have been established in the existing schedule aimed at recovering the cost of the review based on the level of complexity of the CLOMR/LOMR as dictated by FEMA.

3.0 DATA COLLECTION FROM SIMILAR ENTITIES

To provide a complete consideration of approaches to fee implementation, AMEC conducted research into the structure and approaches that other agencies around Maricopa Country use for their fee structures. A collection of select fee schedules is provided in Appendix C for reference. AMEC reviewed the fee schedules for the following agencies:

- City of Albuquerque, New Mexico
- Clark County (Nevada) Regional Flood Control District
- Clark County, Nevada
- City of Las Vegas, Nevada
- City of Henderson, Nevada
- Montgomery County, Maryland
- Dallas County, Texas
- Douglas County, Colorado
- King County, Washington
- Maricopa County (Arizona) Planning and Development
- Mohave County, Arizona
- Pinal County, Arizona

- San Diego County, California
- Cook County, Illinois
- Harris County, Texas
- Town of Marana, Arizona
- Riverside County, California
- San Bernardino, California
- City of Tempe, Arizona
- City of Phoenix, Arizona
- Yavapai County, Arizona
- Yuma County, Arizona
- Dade County, Florida
- City of Atlanta, Georgia
- Broward County, Florida

Findings and considerations relevant to the District's operations, as well as discussions of particular agencies is included in this section.

3.1 Permitting Structure

The evaluation of other government agencies revealed a multitude of approaches to conducting permitting and guidance for development within the County. As the District provides permitting and guidance for development within incorporated and unincorporated areas of the County, other regional districts contacted do not provide similar services. For instances, the Clark County Flood Control District operates similarly to the District. They are tax funded (through a countywide sales tax) and their main responsibility is to implement projects and strategies to provide for flood control throughout the county. They differ from the District in that they do not provide permitting services for development within the county. Permitting is conducted by the Clark County Developmental Services or local municipalities. The District will provide for technical review and guidance when requested by the permitting authorities.

The location of permitting services varies for the agencies sampled. The structure and goal of the fees schedules also varies. To provide an overview of the varying approaches observed in the assessment, a discussion of a select number of agencies/entities is provided in the following sections.

3.1.1 Yuma County

Flood control permitting services in Yuma County are managed through Yuma County's Developmental Services Department. The department conducts plan reviews for engineering and flood control reports, provides permitting for development within floodplains, conducts inspections and provides a variety of related services to the community.

In an attempt to be fully cost recoverable, the department has developed a detailed fee schedule that attempts to account for a variety of specific services. Categories for floodplain use permits are divided into commercial/industrial, earthwork, multifamily dwellings, other developments, recreational vehicle (RV) or mobile home parks, single-family dwellings,

subdivisions, and utility systems. The fee structure for the floodplain use permit is a flat fee ranging from \$135 to \$350. In addition to the flat fee, permits for RV and mobile home parks and subdivisions have an additional rate (\$4) applied per space/lot. The schedule provides for the automatic doubling of permit fees for applicants who begin work in advance of obtaining permit authorization.

In addition to permitting fees, the County provides methods for recovering costs for providing a variety of other services including plotting of color maps, photocopying, and preparing subscriptions for public notices. Additional services such as special inspections, research, agreement preparation, map generation and other services are provided by the department on a per hour basis.

3.1.2 City of Houston, Texas

Floodplain regulation within the jurisdiction of Houston Texas is managed by the City's Planning and Development Services Division, Floodplain Management Office. Permitting fees assessed for floodplain use permits by the City are divided into two categories: single-family residential and commercial/multifamily residential. Each category is further divided into subcategories that are ranked based on their anticipated level of complexity and assumed time to complete the permitting effort. Subcategories within the single-family residential category include nonsubstantial, substantial, residential replat and floodway activity. Fees range from \$100 to \$600. Subcategories under the commercial/multifamily residential category include nonsubstantial, grading and fill, substantial, redevelopment, new development and floodway development. A further breakdown of the subcategories is provided based on the estimated size of disturbance (over or under 1 acre). Fees in this category range from \$300 to \$2,450. No additional service fees related to floodplain management were identified.

3.1.3 Miami-Dade County, Florida

Floodplain management and permitting within Miami-Dade County is provided through the County's Department of Environmental Resource management. Fees implemented through the department include floodplain reviews/determinations, evaluation of finish floor elevations on a per unit basis, CLOMR/LOMR review, flood requirement waiver issuance and other similar services. The county provides for a rather detailed breakdown of field inspection costs as detailed in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1: Miami-Dade County Floodplain Inspection Services

| Inspection | Fee |
|---|-------|
| Reinspection after first failed inspection | \$65 |
| Substantial damages/improvements inspection | \$65 |
| Inspection in lieu of elevation certificate | \$150 |
| Breakaway wall inspection | \$275 |
| Tie beam Inspection | \$130 |
| Equipment inspection | \$200 |
| Foundation inspection | \$200 |
| Initial foundation inspection | \$210 |

The approach implemented by the County allows detailed charges to be implemented for each individual inspection. The inclusion of a failed inspection fee provides for the recovery of costs related to the need for reinspection services.

3.1.4 King County, Washington

Oversight of floodplain uses in King County, Washington, is conducted through the Department of Development and Environmental Services. Floodplains are lumped in a rather general category referred to as “critical areas,” which include areas prone to flooding (floodplains), earthquakes, erosion, and landslides, as well as wetlands, rivers streams, and other water bodies. Projects proposing an impact on or use of a critical area must undergo a critical area review, which is similar to the District’s floodplain use permit process. The fee structure established by King County was found to be unusual in our assessment. Following an initial site visit (\$290), other fees are applied on an hourly basis for reviews, inspections, monitoring, and critical area designations. Conducting the critical area review and submittal to the department can be accomplished one of three ways: (1) using the department as a consultant to conduct the review and generate a report on an hourly basis, (2) choosing a consultant on the department’s “preferred consultant” list, or (3) choosing another consultant. If choosing a preferred consultant the department will commit to a flat fee of \$725 for the review. Choosing another consultant will commit the department to a flat fee of \$1,304 for the review.

The fee structure adopted by King County allows for the recovery of all costs to the department for developmental services as they relate to floodplain uses, as well as other permitting functions that the department oversees. It is reasonable to assume that a structure such as this would require diligent tracking and recordkeeping. While efficient in recovering the department’s costs for services, this structure may produce some uncertainty in the public’s ability to accurately estimate permitting costs.

3.1.5 Other General Approaches

A fairly common approach identified in the assessment was the adoption of a rather simplistic approach to fee structure. A variety of agencies investigated, including floodplain permitting bodies within the following jurisdictions, implement only one flat fee for the issuance of a floodplain use permit:

- Montgomery County, Maryland - \$730.00
- City of Tempe, Arizona - \$1,992.50
- Town of Marana, Arizona - \$100.00
- Mohave County, Arizona - \$50.00
- Douglas County, Colorado - \$100.00

In addition, some agencies take an approach to project review that implements a fee based on a set fee per plan (City of Phoenix, Maricopa County Planning and Development. Other agencies make simple distinctions based on the source of the development. Dallas County, Texas,

implements one fee for a permit to an individual submitting an application (\$100) and a higher fee (\$250) to a commercial developer.

4.0 ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

To assist the District in the identification and resolution of any shortcomings in the existing fee structure, AMEC conducted extensive interviews and discussions with District personnel. The discussions were aimed at developing a better understanding of the existing structure, District personnel's understanding of the structure, and the way in which fees are applied to projects that are submitted for approval. Additionally, open dialogue was solicited to better assess the positive and negative aspects of the current structure and what improvements should be made. Individuals interviewed included division managers, technical reviewers, and other staff.

Discussions with District personnel provided insight into the general fee schedule and their application of specific fees. In general, the existing schedule was found to lack clarity as to what fees are to be assessed for a given situation. The use of the nonengineering versus engineering distinctions in the Category 1 permits provided for the assignment of projects to the identified subcategories without a clear distinction being made as to the level of effort. Instead, the assumption was based on the submittal of the application, as is discussed in more detail below.

The current schedule fails to provide a broad-based system that allows the District to recover fees for the many time-intensive permit and oversight-related activities that they undertake. The cost of the permit can be recovered through the application of the permit fee, and to some extent the inspection fee. Other costly efforts such as compliance and enforcement activities, minor amendment processes, and a variety of additional inspection requirements and engineering reviews are not currently cost recoverable. To provide the District with a better system to recover the cost for compliance and permitting-related services in an equitable manner, the inclusion of additional fee categories is warranted, particularly for inspection and enforcement related efforts.

The issuance of a general permit requires that certain assumptions can and should be made as to the identification of projects with similar complexity. These projects may be classified as requiring a similar review effort; however, when this approach is applied generally, it can have unintended effects. For instance, providing only two general categories for residential/commercial/industrial permits may result in excessive fees for a rather minor project, such as the installation of a chain-link fence, while at the same time applying too low of a fee for the construction of a single-family residence. In the current system, both of these examples would be required to obtain a Category 1 permit, although the value of the projects and the complexity of the reviews have few similarities. To alleviate this issue, an expansion of the current categories to better group projects with similar complexity may be warranted. Specific findings related to the individual categories and fees are discussed in this section.

4.1 Floodplain Use Permits – Residential and Commercial

4.1.1 Engineering versus Nonengineering

As discussed earlier, the distinction between engineered and nonengineered Category 1 permits is apparently based on the method in which the floodplain use permit application is submitted. The general understanding from District reviewers was that the only assumed distinction was whether the plans were or were not developed by a licensed engineer. This approach seems to make a clear assumption on the level of complexity of the project and ensuing review based simply on the development of project plans.

A simplistic situational example may be the construction of a residential patio within a floodplain. If the plans are provided by the applicant to show the location and planned specifications of the driveway without certain engineering certifications, the project would be treated as a nonengineering permit. However, if the identical project design was conducted and produced by an engineering firm and submitted with a certification by an engineer registered in the State of Arizona, the application would be considered as an engineered permit. The complexity of the project does not change; however, the difference in the form of submittal would not only dictate the fee imposed for the permit, but also the personnel within the District who would review the application.

A common misconception among staff is that a Category 1 engineering permit must be reviewed internally by a registered engineer or hydrologist. Therefore, personnel within the Division who are not registered engineers or hydrologists are presumed to be unable to review and process engineered plans despite their high level of training, expertise, and proficiency with the application of the regulations. Division management and supervisory personnel indicated that this perceived distinction between the two subcategories within the Category 1 permit should not be made. While certain projects within Category 1 may require the review of a registered engineer or hydrologist based on the need for a greater understanding of certain principles, this designation should be made based on the individual merits of the project and not the manner in which the application is submitted.

In general, it was found that the use of the engineered/nonengineered classifications within the Category 1 permits created a fair amount of unintended assumptions to occur. Staff without professional accreditation as an engineer/hydrologist oftentimes assume a defined review ability that is not necessarily consistent with their highly proficient skill set. Additionally, engineers/hydrologists are led to make the assumption that if they are conducting a review of an application it automatically is considered an engineering-level permit regardless of complexity. The result of the distinction between engineered and nonengineered permits has resulted in unintended distinctions that are not based on complexity, size of project, or actual level of review.

4.1.2 Inspections

As discussed in previous sections, an inspection fee is established to be implemented in the event that an inspection is required. Additionally, inspections are only performed for projects

that are occurring in unincorporated Maricopa County, as projects occurring in incorporated areas of the county are inspected by the local jurisdiction. Application of this fee for individual projects was not generally clear among personnel. General misconceptions as to when it was appropriate to apply the inspection fee were found among individuals.

Through discussions with District personnel, it was determined that all permit applications for activities occurring within unincorporated Maricopa County require at least one inspection and that the average project requires approximately three inspections of a site. Therefore, permitting for any project within unincorporated Maricopa County should have an associated fee that automatically accounts for the cost to the District of conducting inspections. Conversely, permitting for activities within incorporated portions of the county should not be structured to recover the cost of the inspection, as the local jurisdiction will likely impose a fee to recover the cost of those services in the local level. AMEC's findings indicate that a structure that clearly accounts for the cost of the permit inspection within the permit fee for unincorporated portions of the county would provide more clarity to both District personnel and the general public.

4.2 Sand and Gravel

Permitting for S&G operations differs from other floodplain use permit reviews in a variety of aspects, primarily in the uniformity in the operations. While mining operations may differ from one operation to another, the ability to deal with one type of activity or floodplain use allows a more specific fee schedule to be applied. While specific activities may differ, all operations are required to obtain a five-year permit, coordinate with the District for biannual site inspections, and renew the permit at the end of the permitted term. Discussions with S&G personnel helped to identify the need for minor modifications to the existing fee schedule. Recommendations are provided in Section 5.

4.3 Other Fees

The existing fee schedule includes other fees that may be applied for permitting and review services provided by the District not otherwise accounted for in the processing of floodplain use permits. Included in this section are fees associated with the review and issuance of FCs for construction of structures within a parcel that contains a floodplain where the structure is outside the floodplain and therefore does not constitute as a floodplain use. To date the FC has classified this scenario, as well as occasions of minor or incidental uses of the floodplain, per Section 506 of the Floodplain Regulations.

Internal policy has defined an incidental use in a floodplain as including awnings, benches, bill boards, carports, corrals, demolition, fences (pipe, vinyl, pole, barbed), fire pits, light poles, nonsubstantial additions (interior remodel/repair, vertical additions, later additions less than or equal to 250 square feet), patio covers, portable pens and stalls, ramadas, shade structures (with nonsolid sides), sheds/enclosures less than or equal to 120 square feet, signs, tenant improvements, wheelchair ramps, and wrought-iron pool barriers. While the processing of these uses may be identical to the process for processing an FC for a nonuse, differentiating between the two in the fee schedule may allow for the recovery of any additional effort that may be required in the verifying the accuracy of the structure details.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

As a result of the findings of the assessment, AMEC recommends revising the existing fee schedule to:

- Provide better clarity for both District personnel as well as the general public
- Provide a more accurate means of recovering costs for the services provided by the District as part of the permitting and compliance activities
- More accurately grouping like services based on similarities in effort, complexity, size, and other relevant characteristics
- Accurately capture the unique characteristics of the District, taking into account the various functions for which the District wishes to recover fees as well as those which are considered a customer service effort

The following sections provide a discussion of the recommended categories for inclusion in the updated fee schedule. A draft fee schedule that may be included in the regulations is provided in Appendix A.

5.1 Floodplain Use Permits

To provide clarity in the application of fees for floodplain use permits, dividing the residential, commercial, and industrial uses into three categories based on complexity of project review would provide a three-tiered fee structure:

- Complexity 1 – Fee category to be applied for the review and issuance of residential floodplain use permits for uses that lack structural or design complexity. Floodplain uses in this category are minor in their potential impact on the floodplain. (Examples: pools, patios, fences, driveways, sheds, etc.)
- Complexity 2 – Fee category to be applied for the review and issuance of a residential/commercial/industrial floodplain use that requires limited technical review. This includes developments related to single-family residential, mobile/manufactured building, or commercial/industrial use, or any other use of the floodplain that, based on professional judgment, has the potential to result in a more significant impact on the floodplain than Complexity 1. (Examples: single-family residential structures, manufactured homes/structures, etc.)
- Complexity 3 – Fee category to be applied for the review and issuance of residential/commercial/industrial floodplain use that requires a more technical review due to the level of complexity. This category includes residential subdivisions, commercial/industrial malls or parks, or any other development that, based on professional judgment, has the potential to result in a more significant impact on the floodplain as compared to Complexity 1 and Complexity 2. (Examples: engineered subdivisions, commercial business parks, strip malls, roadways, industrial utility projects, etc.)

In general, Complexity 2 and 3 are similar to the existing Category 1 and 2 permits, which distinguish small and typically single-structure projects from more complex, engineered subdivisions and industrial parks. The addition of a third category, Complexity 1, is intended to distinguish minor residential uses such as patios, pools, etc. from slightly more complex uses such as residential/commercial/industrial building construction. Doing this will allow for a nominal fee to be applied for smaller, less complex projects that often involve less effort to review and have a generally lower construction cost for the applicant.

The assessment found that there were definite cost differences related to the processing of floodplain use permit within unincorporated Maricopa County as compared to incorporated areas of the county. This is due to the responsibility of the District to perform inspection services for all permits within unincorporated Maricopa County. The current use of the inspection fee among District personnel is unclear in the existing fee schedule as well. To clarify this, it would be recommended that the fees for the three complexities of the floodplain use permit be divided between unincorporated Maricopa County and incorporated areas of the county as noted below:

- Community Fee - Fees issued for permits within the jurisdiction of an incorporated area within Maricopa County where the District oversees such regulatory authority as part of an established IGA or resolution. Unless otherwise noted, the District does not provide inspection and verification of permitted activities for community permits. Inspection services are to be provided by the local authority.
- Unincorporated County Fee - Fees issued for permits within unincorporated Maricopa County where the District has full permitting and inspection jurisdiction over floodplain activities. Fees may also be imposed for the issuance of a permit within a community in the event that the community has deferred inspection authority to the District as part of an IGA or resolution.

Separating the fees in this way would remove the need for the reviewer to make a determination as to whether or not to apply the inspection fee. The fee would be applied to the total cost of the permit for locations within unincorporated Maricopa County and omitted when the project is within incorporated areas of the county.

5.2 Floodplain Clearance

Traditionally, the FC has been available for the construction of an improvement that does not constitute a floodplain use. As internal policy has worked to develop a more refined explanation of this concept (expanding it to clarify incidental uses and limiting the minimal amount of floodplain within a parcel that requires District involvement), it is recommended to consider a new approach to the classification. The following fee entries would provide for a more clear delineation of the three unique conditions that may occur under the current FC: the incidental use, the determination by FCDMC of no use/impact, and the determination by FCDMC staff at Maricopa County Planning and Development (P&D) of no use/impact.

- Incidental Use – Fee category to be applied for the review and processing of an incidental use of a floodplain in accordance with the Floodplain Regulations. (Examples:

awnings, benches, billboards, carports, corrals, demolition, fences [pipe, vinyl, pole, barbed], fire pits, light poles, nonsubstantial additions [interior remodel/repair, vertical additions, later additions less than or equal to 250 square feet], patio covers, portable pens and stalls, ramadas, shade structures [with nonsolid sides], sheds/enclosures less than or equal to 120 square feet, signs, tenant improvements, wheelchair ramps, and wrought-iron pool barriers.)

- Determination of No Impact – Technical Review – Fee category to be applied for the review and processing of project improvements conducted within a property that contains a designated floodplain, where District review has determined that a use of the floodplain will not occur.
- Determination of No Impact – Administrative Review – Fee category to be applied for the review and processing of project improvements conducted within a property that contains a minimal amount of floodplain, generally on the perimeter of the property, where due to the location, amount, and distance from any proposed improvement, does not require the District review to determine its impact on the floodplain. Review and determination of such activities is conducted on an administrative level by District staff within P&D.

The Maximus study estimated the cost to the District of issuing an FC to be approximately \$400. This takes into account that in issuing an FC a standard review process must take place, and in some occasions a cursory inspection of a site may occur to verify site details. The District had previously determined that setting the FC fee at this level would not be justifiable, considering the cost of the permit itself would found to cost slightly more (\$435). Separating the traditional FC into two separate categories—incidental waiver and determination of no use—technical review, or DNU-TR—would allow a higher fee to be associated with the incidental use waiver. Assessing a slightly higher fee for the permit waiver, but still less than the full permit fee, would allow the District to recover a higher percentage of its costs for conducting the review, while keeping the fees at a level consistent with the District's current structure.

Currently, projects that are traditionally given a FC are generally submitted for floodplain review by District personnel at P&D when floodplain is noted on a parcel as part of the development review process. This initial review is done as part of an administrative process. In this case the project is reviewed by the District and, if it meets FC requirements, the FC is granted and the applicable fee is assessed. However, when a project is noted by District staff as having a minimal amount of floodplain existing on the perimeter of the site, far removed from any construction activity, staff will note the existence of the floodplain but not refer the project to the Floodplain Management Division for technical review and determination of permitting requirements. At the present time, this type of review and determination is not clearly tracked and there is not defined classification or category to which it applies. By instituting the DNU-TR and administrative review (DNU-AR) the District would be able to clearly track the projects for which this condition applies. Determinations that are made by District administration staff would be classified and tracked as DNU-AR and no fee would be assessed. Determinations made by District technical staff (which are consistent to the current FC process) would be classified as

DNU-TR and applied with a fee consistent to the existing FC fee level, or a more appropriate level as determined by the District.

5.3 Amendments

The existing fee schedule allows for the application of a permit amendment fee of \$215 for amending a permit after issuance. AMEC found that the primary cause for amendments was the request for an extension of the permit term (one year) or for a name change. This is a minimal effort, and the current fee appears to be fairly high. In addition, it was found that any condition that may require substantial effort after the issuance of the permit would likely require the applicant to return to the District for a new permit. Therefore, instances where an amendment to a permit would justify the current fee seem to be unlikely. To rectify this, a smaller permit fee may be considered to allow for the District to recover some, if not all, of the effort that is entailed in processing the typical administrative type amendments. The proposed fee category and guidance explanation would include:

- Permit Amendment – Fee category to be applied for processing the revision of an existing permit, where the reason for the request was initiated by the applicant and the permit fee has already been paid in full. Applicable amendments may include the request for a time extension or a change of permit ownership.

5.4 Inspection Services

While inspection services are assumed to be provided as part of the permitting process, and their costs absorbed in the permitting fee, conditions often exist that require added inspections. It is fairly common for applicants to come to the District to request a permit for a structure that is already built. In this case, the District reviewers are required to evaluate the structure in accordance with the same standards that would apply for a structure that was being proposed. However, beginning the review of a structure that has already been completed requires additional effort and site verifications through inspection services. Inspectors may be required to conduct several inspections to ascertain information that would normally be submitted prior to construction initiation. This situation results in more effort on the behalf of the District through both the review and inspections.

In other situations, an applicant may apply for a permit, and upon initial review the District may identify an existing use that was not installed or completed under a permit. This requires additional field inspections to verify details of the existing use such as location, elevation, size, and other aspects that may impact the floodplain. To provide a method for the District to recover their costs for these additional inspections the following as-built inspection fee would be recommended:

- As-Built Inspection Fee – Fee category to be applied for the District's inspection of a structure that has been completed prior to the landowner or responsible party obtaining a floodplain use permit. Fee shall be applied for the inspection of an existing use of a floodplain regardless of whether the structure is or is not the subject of the applicant's permitting request.

Increase inspection costs may also be the result of the applicant failing inspections due to their lack of preparation for the inspection. The result is that the District inspector arrives on site to find conditions not conducive for inspection and has to reschedule the inspection. Repeat offenders on a single project can quickly result in escalating costs incurred by the District. To provide the District with a means of recouping these costs, the following fee category would be recommended:

- Reinspection Fee – Fee category to be applied in the event that a scheduled inspection is not able to be performed by the District due to a lack of proper site preparation or coordination by the applicant.

An important clarification should be made that this fee is not intended to be implemented as the result of a failed inspection due to the project specifications not meeting certain regulatory requirements. The District's main goal is to provide guidance and protection for the citizens of Maricopa County from flooding. Inspections are provided to ensure that certain regulatory requirements are met and to alert the applicant if inspected conditions do not meet the standards for proper flood protection. The reinspection fee should not be used as a punitive fee when determining that the use does not meet standards at the time of inspection. Instead, it is meant to provide for the recovery of costs to the District as the result of the applicant not being properly prepared for the District inspection.

5.5 Post-Construction Permitting

Noncompliance with regulatory requirements is not uncommon within the District's jurisdiction. Formal procedures are in place to mandate compliance of homeowners participating in activities that constitute a regulated floodplain use. If taken through the complete enforcement process, which includes a legal hearing, penalties may be imposed to recover the District's cost and act as a punitive fee against the defendant. However, most cases of noncompliance do not proceed to the penalty phase, and compliance-related costs are not recovered. Efforts involved in bringing a noncompliant party into compliance can be costly to the District. Numerous inspections, internal case development, correspondence with the responsible party, and other such efforts can impose a high cost to the District. To provide the District with a means for recovering costs incurred as part of bringing a noncompliant party into compliance with the permit requirement, the following fee categories would be recommended:

- Post-Construction Initiation Permit Fee (as part of an enforcement action) – Fee category to be applied for the review and processing of a floodplain use permit (Complexity 1, 2 or 3) where the applicant has begun construction prior to obtaining the required permit. The category and associated fee is applicable only when the District **has** begun an official enforcement action for the activity. The fee category shall be applied in addition to the standard permitting fee(s).
- Post-Construction Initiation Permit Fee (voluntary compliance) – Fee category to be applied for the processing and review of a floodplain use permit (Complexity 1, 2 or 3) where the applicant has begun construction prior to obtaining the required permit. The

associated fee is applicable only when the applicant initiates the permit process and the District **has not** begun an official enforcement action. The fee category shall be applied in addition to the standard permitting fee(s).

A two-tiered post-construction fee would provide different fees to be assessed to account for the two typical outcomes to a noncompliance issue. The first fee—the post-construction initiation permit fee (as part of an enforcement action)—would be applied when an official enforcement action has been implemented. In this case, the District has generally put forth a significant amount of effort in its attempt to bring the responsible party into compliance in an informal manner through repeated site visits and education as to the permitting requirements. When these informal methods prove to be unsuccessful, a formal enforcement case is opened to begin building a legal case against the party. This category would have a higher fee associated with it to allow for a greater percentage of cost recovery and to act as a deterrent against knowing and willful noncompliance.

The second fee category—post-construction initiation permit fee (voluntary compliance)—would apply to a situation where the responsible party initiates the permitting process after beginning construction of the regulated use, but prior to the District commencing a formal enforcement action. This scenario may include instances where the District inspector becomes aware of a noncompliant party and is required to put forth moderate effort (site visits, follow-up conversations, etc.) to educate the party of the permitting requirements. When this activity results in the party willingly initiating the permitting process, this lower-level fee may be implied to recover any costs related to the effort incurred.

This two-tiered approach has been implemented by P&D in its current fee schedule. P&D management indicated that the instances of noncompliance have significantly decreased since the implementation of the fee. The assumption is that the fee has acted as a deterrent to knowing noncompliance as well as a means of cost recovery. Instituting this fee structure at the District would presumably do the same, and would be aided by the community's familiarity with the concept through P&D's previous enactment.

5.6 Sand and Gravel

The existing fee schedule contains a generally broad base of fee categories for the program. This is partly a function of the fact that the S&G operations are fairly homogenous in their use of the floodplain, which allows the District to anticipate instances for which fees may be assessed. In discussions with District S&G personnel, a few modifications to the schedule may be beneficial to both the District and the permittees. The following fee categories are recommended:

- Permit Application – Fee category to be applied for the initial processing and review of an application for S&G mining activities occurring within a designated floodplain.
- Compliance Inspection – Fee category to be applied for the District's completion of a semiannual or compliance follow-up inspection of an active mining facility operating under a floodplain use permit.

- Suspended Facility Compliance Inspection – Fee category to be applied for the District's completion of a biannual inspection when the permitted facility has suspended operations in accordance with the provisions of the Floodplain Regulations. The fee is only applicable for operations that have notified the District of the intent to cease operations for an extended time.
- Noncompliance Engineering Review – Fee category to be applied for the engineering review of a facility as the result of an identified noncompliance issue.
- Permit Renewal – Fee category to be applied for the review and processing of the renewal of an active permit. Permit renewals are required every five years of activity operation, in accordance with the provisions of the Floodplain Regulations.
- Major Amendment – Fee category to be applied for the processing of a S&G permit amendment that is considered to be relatively major in complexity and its potential impact on the floodplain. (Examples: expanding permitted pits, adding new engineered structures such as berms or grading controls, etc.)
- Minor Amendment – Fee category to be applied for the processing of a S&G permit amendment that is considered to be relatively minor in complexity and its potential to impact the floodplain. (Examples: change in project phasing, relocation of access locations, changes in berm protection riprap, etc.)
- Administrative Amendment – Fee category to be applied for the processing of a S&G permit amendment that is administrative in nature and that is not occurring as part of a major/minor amendment request. (Examples: change in ownership, name change, etc.)

Two additions and one subtraction to the fee schedule are proposed. The addition of a suspended site inspection was recommended by S&G staff for sites whose owners that have informed the District of their plans to temporarily cease operations for an extended period of time. This would be a nominal fee that would provide cost recovery for what would amount to a short site inspection aimed at verifying the inactivity of the site. This inspection fee would take the place of the regular biannual fee for facilities that have suspended operations. Discussions with S&G staff indicated that the intent would also be to allow facilities that are planning to be suspended for more than a year to extend the end date of their active permits (five-year permit period) for an amount of time equal to the time the facility had suspended operations. If this is the intent and a mining operation was allowed to enter into a suspended status, the reduced inspection fee would make a reasonable addition to the fee schedule for facilities that have suspended operations.

S&G staff indicated that while the existing major and minor amendment fee structure is adequate for the majority of amendments that are requested by permittees, administrative amendments such as name change or permit transfers tend to be completed without the assessment of a fee. The minor amendment fee of \$3,700 is somewhat unreasonable to be

assessed for administrative amendments. To allow the District to recover the cost of processing these amendments, a nominal administrative amendment fee is proposed. This fee would be much less than the minor amendment fee and would be used to cover the costs of the administrative amendments.

In assessing the existing fee schedule for S&G operations, staff members were found to lack any understanding as to what situations might necessitate the use of the engineering review fee. All engineering reviews conducted by S&G personnel are conducted either as part of the initial application stage and amendment, as a noncompliance review, or during the renewal stage. Discussions with District personnel found that there was no clear understanding as to when the fee should be applied or any historic knowledge of the purpose of the fee. In light of this, it is recommended that the fee be removed from the fee schedule.

5.7 Appeals/Variance (Flood Control Review Board or Board of Directors)

Fees for appeals and variances were found to be adequate in providing the necessary categories. Instances of variances being issued are not common and the categories included in the existing fee schedule appear to be adequate. Clarifying language that may be added to supplement the fee categories is included below:

- Residential/Commercial/Industrial (posting required) – Fee category to be applied for the issuance of a variance by the Administrator, Floodplain Review Board, or affirmed by the Board of Directors.
- Continuance of Hearing – Applicants Request – Fee category to be applied in the event that an applicant initiates a request of continuance for a scheduled hearing.
- New Posting Fee – Fee category to be applicable for the reposting of a notice of variance with the Maricopa County Recorder's office.

5.8 Floodplain Delineation Review

Floodplain delineation services provided by the District include the review of the CLOMR and/or LOMR for submittal to FEMA in an attempt to redelineate a floodplain. The CLOMR/LOMR is often required when an applicant requests authorization by the District to construct in a floodplain and in doing so will be required to import fill to raise elevations or to otherwise alter the nature drainage course. The District may also provide for review of an outside entities' floodplain delineation or other engineering/hydrologic technical study. In assessing the fee schedule, it is recommended to provide for the addition of one category and the subtraction of another category. The proposed fee categories and descriptions are included below:

- CLOMR/LOMR (MT1) – Fee category to be applied for the technical review of a CLOMR and/or LOMR submittal to FEMA. The fee is applied for projects that meet the technical criteria for MT-1 submittals to FEMA where the revisions requested are primarily due to modifications to the drainage characteristics as the result of fill being placed.

- CLOMR/LOMR (MT2) – Fee category to be applied for the technical review of a CLOMR/LOMR submittal to FEMA. The fee is applied for projects that meet the technical criteria for MT-2 submittals to FEMA where the revisions requested are primarily due to complex modifications to the drainage characteristics that involve the alteration of drainage flows, patterns, rates, velocities, and other dynamic factors.
- Review of Floodplain Study – Fee category to be applied for the District's technical review and consideration of an independent third-party floodplain study. This fee may be applied when the District performs a review of a study conducted by an outside entity in support of a permit application or other regulatory requirement.

The categories for the review of the CLOMR/LOMR MT-1 and MT-2 are adequate and appropriate for the review services that the District performs for those submittals. The CLOMR/LOMR fee category for alluvial fans is recommended for removal from the fee schedule. In discussions with District personnel, it was found that the review of this sort is uncommon, and when it does occur, the cost recovery for assessing the MT-2 fee is adequate.

One of the key considerations in conducting this assessment was to ensure that the District has the appropriate tools in place, in the form of fee categories, to recover the cost of providing permitting and review services. One issue that has not been unaccounted for and that appears to have the potential to require a great deal of effort on the District's behalf is the review of third-party studies, delineations, or technical analysis in the permitting process. In the event that an applicant is presenting new engineering data for a floodplain, or whether the District is requested to review a technical study, without the proper fee in place the District is unable to recover any of the cost. To provide for a mechanism to recover the cost for such a review, it is recommended that a floodplain delineation review fee be established.

5.9 Fee Levels

The draft fee schedule included in Appendix A includes suggested dollar figures that may be appropriate for the proposed categories. The levels were determined based on the existing fee schedule and data generated as part of the Maximus study. The Maximus study determined the cost to the District for performing certain permit-related activities (permit issuance, inspections, etc.) and provided the District with a three-year cost escalating structure. It is assumed that fee levels presented in the June 2008 fee schedule are consistent with values today with the assumption that inflation, or the lack thereof, occurring since that time is not to a level of significance that would greatly impact the fees established at that time. While the Maximus study was limited to the categories that the District had in place, the existing fee schedule and associated levels are similar enough to the current level to allow for fairly appropriate levels to be set. When possible, like fees currently implemented by P&D were used as a reference for determining the fee level.

The District's Finance staff has compiled preliminary data on the cost of permitting services using the existing fee categories. A review of the data indicated some significant differences between the preliminary cost data and the data generated as part of the Maximus study. This may be the result of a limited sample size (six weeks of data), an undefined number of permits

being processed at the given time, or similar limitations. For these reasons, the determination of the fee levels produced as part of this assessment relied primarily on the Maximus study.

6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

In the consideration of the proposed fee categories and levels provide as part of this effort, the District may consider some of the following recommendations to provide for increased efficiency in relation to the fee schedule and cost recovery:

- The District may consider tracking cost/effort incurred in completing fee-based services in comparison with the newly adopted fee structure to assess the appropriateness of the fee levels. Tracking may best be performed on a per permit basis to evaluate the cost to issue permit/waiver/inspection services and other fee-based services.
- A standardized decision matrix may be beneficial to enable District management and staff to seamlessly identify the appropriate category of floodplain use permit complexity (Category 1, 2 or 3) an application for which permit coverage applies. Having a standardized and documented approach may provide more efficiency and transparency in both the review and fee assessment process.
- A brief reassessment of the newly adopted fee schedule should be performed and given sufficient time to allow for ample feedback and input from staff as to the appropriateness of the categories and the need for further revisions.

APPENDIX A
PROPOSED FEE SCHEDULE

Flood Control District of Maricopa County
 Fee Schedule Assessment
 AMEC Project No. 15-2010-3023
 January 12, 2011

| FLOODPLAIN USE PERMITS | COMMUNITY FEE | UNINCORPORATED COUNTY FEE |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Complexity 1 – Minor, noncomplex residential property development | \$200 | \$250 |
| Complexity 2 – Single- family residential, mobile/manufactured building, commercial/industrial development | \$500 | \$650 |
| Complexity 3 – Residential subdivision, commercial/industrial center, other complex residential or commercial development | \$1,200 | \$1,500 |
| Incidental use | \$100 | \$100 |
| Determination of no use – technical review | \$50 | \$50 |
| Determination of no use – administrative review | N/A | N/A |
| Permit amendment | \$50 | \$50 |
| As-built inspection fee | \$100 | \$100 |
| Reinspection fee | \$100 | \$100 |
| Post-construction initiation permit fee (as part of enforcement action) | \$500 | \$500 |
| Post-construction initiation permit fee (voluntary compliance) | \$100 | \$100 |
| SAND AND GRAVEL | FEE | |
| Permit application | \$12,800 | |
| Compliance inspection | \$430 | |
| Suspended facility compliance inspection | \$50 | |
| Noncompliance engineering review | \$1,600 | |
| Permit renewal | \$6,400 | |
| Major amendment | \$7,440 | |
| Minor amendment | \$3,700 | |
| Administrative amendment | \$50 | |
| APPEALS/VARIANCE (FLOOD CONTROL REVIEW BOARD OR BOARD OF DIRECTORS) | FEE | |
| Residential/commercial/industrial (posting requires) | \$2,600 | |
| Continuance of hearing – applicant's request | \$50 | |
| New posting required | \$170 | |
| FLOODPLAIN DELINATION REVIEW | FEE | |
| CLOMR/LOMR (MT1) | \$880 | |
| CLOMR/LOMR (MT2) | \$3,000 | |
| Review of floodplain study | \$1,500 | |
| OTHER | FEE | |
| Regulation (per copy) | \$5 | |

Note: Fees shall not be levied by the Flood Control District of Maricopa County to any governmental entities (federal, state, or municipal) for services provided by the District.

FEE SCHEDULE GUIDANCE

Floodplain Use Permit Fee Categories

Complexity 1 – Fee category to be applied for the review and issuance of residential floodplain use permits for uses that lack structural or design complexity. Floodplain uses in this category are minor in their potential impact on the floodplain. (Examples: pools, patios, fences, driveways, sheds, etc.)

Complexity 2 – Fee category to be applied for the review and issuance of a residential/commercial/industrial floodplain use that requires little technical review. This includes development related to single-family residential, mobile/manufactured building, or commercial/industrial use, or any other use of the floodplain that, based on professional judgment, has the potential to result in a more significant impact on the floodplain than Complexity 1. (Examples: single-family residential structures, manufactured homes/structures, etc.)

Complexity 3 – Fee category to be applied for the review and issuance of residential/commercial/industrial floodplain use that requires a more technical review due to the level of complexity. Includes residential subdivisions, commercial/industrial malls or parks, or any other development that, based on professional judgment, has the potential to result in a more significant impact on the floodplain as compared to Complexity 1 and Complexity 2. (Examples: engineered subdivisions, commercial business parks, strip malls, roadways, industrial utility projects, etc.)

Incidental Use Waiver – Fee category to be applied for the review and processing of an incidental use of a floodplain in accordance with the *Floodplain Regulations for Maricopa County* (Floodplain Regulations). (Examples: awnings, benches, billboards, carports, corrals, demolitions, fences [pipe, vinyl, pole, barbed], fire pits, light poles, nonsubstantial additions [interior remodel/repair, vertical additions, later additions less than or equal to 250 square feet], patio covers, portable pens and stalls, ramadas, shade structures [nonsolid sides], sheds/enclosures less than or equal to 120 square feet, signs, tenant improvement, wheelchair ramps, and wrought-iron pool barriers.)

Determination of No Impact – Technical Review – Fee category to be applied for the review and processing of project improvements conducted within a property that contains a designated floodplain, where Flood Control District of Maricopa County (District) review has determined that a use in the floodplain will not occur.

Determination of No Impact – Administrative Review – Fee category to be applied for the review and processing of project improvements conducted within a property that contains a minimal amount of floodplain, generally on the perimeter of the property, where due to the location, amount, and distance from any proposed improvement, does not require the District review to determine its impact on the floodplain. Review and determination of such activities is conducted on an administrative level by District staff at Maricopa County Planning and Development.

Permit Amendment – Fee category to be applied for processing the revision of an existing permit, where the reason for the request was initiated by the applicant and the permit fee has already been paid in full. Applicable amendments may include the request for a time extension or a change of permit ownership.

As-Built Inspection Fee – Fee category to be applied for the District's inspection of a structure that has been completed prior to the landowner or responsible party obtaining a floodplain use permit. Fee shall be applied for the inspection of an existing use of a floodplain regardless of whether the structure is or is not the subject of the applicant's permitting request.

Reinspection Fee – Fee category to be applied in the event that a scheduled inspection is not able to be performed by the District due to a lack of proper site preparation or coordination by the applicant.

Post-Construction Initiation Permit Fee (as part of an enforcement action) – Fee category to be applied for the review and processing of a floodplain use permit (Complexity 1, 2 or 3) where the applicant has begun construction prior to obtaining the required permit. The category and associated fee is applicable only when the District has begun an official enforcement action for the activity. The fee category shall be applied in addition to the standard permitting fee(s).

Post-Construction Initiation Permit Fee (voluntary compliance) – Fee category to be applied for the processing and review of a floodplain use permit (Complexity 1, 2 or 3) where the applicant has begun construction prior to obtaining the required permit. The associated fee is applicable only when the applicant initiates the permit process and the District has not begun an official enforcement action. The fee category shall be applied in addition to the standard permitting fee(s).

Sand and Gravel Permit Fee Categories

Permit Application – Fee category to be applied for the initial processing and review of an application for sand and gravel mining activities occurring within a designated floodplain.

Compliance Inspection – Fee category to be applied for the District's completion of a semiannual or compliance follow-up inspection of an active mining facility operating under a floodplain use permit.

Suspended Facility Compliance Inspection – Fee category to be applied for the District's completion of a biannual inspection when the permitted facility has suspended operations in accordance with the provisions of the Floodplain Regulations. The fee is only applicable for operations that have notified the District of the intent to cease operations for an extended time.

Noncompliance Engineering Review – Fee category to be applied for the engineering review of a facility as the result of an identified noncompliance issue.

Permit Renewal – Fee category to be applied for the review and processing of the renewal of an active permit. Permit renewals are required every five years of activity operation, in accordance with the provisions of the Floodplain Regulations.

Major Amendment – Fee category to be applied for the processing of a sand and gravel permit amendment that is considered to be relatively major in complexity and its potential impact on the floodplain. (Examples: expanding permitted pits, adding new engineered structures such as berms or grading controls, etc.)

Minor Amendment – Fee category to be applied for the processing of a sand and gravel permit amendment that is considered to be relatively minor in complexity and its potential to impact the floodplain. (Examples: change in project phasing, relocation of access locations, changes in berm protection riprap, etc.)

Administrative Amendment – Fee category to be applied for the processing of a sand and gravel permit amendment that is administrative in nature and that is not occurring as part of a major/minor amendment request. (Examples: change in ownership, name change, etc.)

Appeals/Variance (Flood Control Review Board or Board of Directors) Fee Categories

Residential/Commercial/Industrial (posting required) – Fee category to be applied for the issuance of a variance by the Administrator, Floodplain Review Board, or affirmed by the Board of Directors.

Continuance of hearing – Applicants Request – Fee category to be applied in the event that an applicant initiates a request of continuance for a scheduled hearing.

New Posting Fee – Fee category to be applicable for the reposting of a notice of variance with the Maricopa County Recorder's office.

Floodplain Delineation Review Fee Categories

CLOMR/LOMR (MT1) – Fee category to be applied for the technical review of a conditional letter of map revision (CLOMR) and/or letter of map revision (LOMR) submittal to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). The fee is applied for projects that meet the technical criteria for MT-1 submittals to FEMA where the revisions requested are primarily due to modifications to the drainage characteristics as the result of fill being placed.

CLOMR/LOMR (MT2) – Fee category to be applied for the technical review of a CLOMR/LOMR submittal to FEMA. The fee is applied for projects that meet the technical criteria for MT-2 submittals to FEMA where the revisions requested are primarily due to complex modifications to the drainage characteristics that involve the alteration of drainage flows, patterns, rates, velocities, and other dynamic factors.

Review of Floodplain Study – Fee category to be applied for the District's technical review and consideration of an independent third-party floodplain study. This fee may be applied when the District performs a review of a study conducted by an outside entity in support of a permit application or other regulatory requirement.

APPENDIX B
EXISTING FEE SCHEDULE

PROPOSED FEE SCHEDULE
 FLOODPLAIN REGULATION
 for
 MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA
 July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010

The following administrative fees for fiscal year 2009 shall remain unchanged from the 2008 fee schedule for processing of Appeals, Floodplain Use Permits, Floodplain Variances, plans review and performance bonds with no provision for refund:

FLOODPLAIN USE PERMITS

Category 1: Permits which require a minimum of technical review

| | |
|--|--------|
| Single Family Residence, Mobile/Manufactured Buildings, Commercial/Industrial | |
| Non- engineered | \$ 465 |
| Engineered | \$ 635 |
| Site inspection (when required) | \$ 160 |
| Category 1 Amendment (When a site inspection is required) | \$ 215 |

Category 2: Permits, which require technical hydrologic or engineering review.

| | |
|--|----------|
| Residential Subdivisions, Commercial including strips and centers, Industrial including parks. | \$ 1,270 |
| Category 2 Amendment | \$ 850 |

Sand and Gravel Operations

| | |
|--|----------|
| Initial Permit Application | \$12,800 |
| Compliance Inspection (semi-annual or violation follow-up) | \$ 430 |
| Engineering Review | \$ 1020 |
| Non-compliance review | \$ 1600 |
| Major Amendment..... | \$ 7440 |
| Minor Amendment | \$ 3,700 |

OTHER FEES

| | |
|---|----------|
| Floodplain Clearance | \$ 50 |
| Sand and Gravel Renewal (Five Year) | \$ 6,400 |

Appeals/Variances (FCAB or Board of Directors)

| | |
|--|----------|
| Residential/Commercial/Industrial (posting required) | \$ 2,600 |
| Continuance of Hearing — Applicant’s Request | \$ 50 |
| If new posting is required | \$ 170 |

Floodplain Delineation Review

| | |
|------------------------------|----------|
| CLOMR/LOMR (MT I) | \$ 880 |
| CLOMR/LOMR (MT2) | \$ 3,000 |
| CLOMR/LOMR Alluvial Fan..... | \$ 6,800 |
| Regulation (per copy) | \$ 5 |

Performance Bond: 100% cost of required improvement or cost to abate violation, or 50% of value at risk, whichever is higher.

APPENDIX C

SAMPLES OF COLLECTED FEE SCHEDULES

**City of Albuquerque, New Mexico
Planning and Development
Fee Schedule**

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

Planning Department

Planning and Development Fee Schedule

NOTE: Fees listed are for one action, application, appeal, permit, etc.

Effective 04/25/2008

| Plan Approvals & Amendments (EPC) | |
|---|-------------------|
| Advertisement for Public Notification | \$75 |
| Advertisement for Public Notification for Appeal of action | \$50 |
| Appeal of action | \$55 |
| Deferral Requested by Applicant | \$110 |
| Amendment to Area Plan | \$425 |
| Amendment to Comprehensive Plan | \$425 |
| Amendment to Facility Plan | \$425 |
| Sector Development Plan Approval (by EPC/City Council at same time of zone map amendment) | \$70 |
| Sector Development Plan Approval (by EPC/City Council prior to zone map amendment) | \$230 |
| Amendment to Sector Development Plan Text | \$565 |
| Amendment to Sector Development Plan Zone Map less than 1 acre | \$240 |
| Amendment to Sector Development Plan Zone Map 1- 10 acres | \$240 + \$55/acre |
| Amendment to Sector Development Plan Zone Map over 10 acres | \$685 + \$10/acre |

| Site Development Plans (EPC & DRB) | |
|---|---------|
| Advertisement for Public Notification | \$75 |
| Advertisement for Public Notification for Appeal of action | \$50 |
| Appeal of action | \$55 |
| Deferral Requested by Applicant | \$110 |
| Administrative Amendment Approval | \$45 |
| Wireless Telecommunication Facility | \$3,000 |
| Wireless Collocation Facility | \$2,000 |
| Amendment requiring interdepartment review and public hearing | \$255 |
| Approval of Site Plan (no charge for DRB signoff of EPC delegated Site Plan only) | \$385 |
| Extension or Amendment (no Public Notification) of Site Plan | \$50 |

| Zone Map Amendment (EPC) | |
|--|-------------------------|
| Advertisement for Public Notification | \$75 |
| Advertisement for Public Notification for Appeal of EPC action | \$50 |
| Appeal of EPC action | \$55 |
| Deferral Requested by Applicant | \$110 |
| Map Amendment less than 1 acre | \$240 |
| Map Amendment 1 - 10 acres (a) | \$240 + \$55/acre |
| Map Amendment over ten acres (b) | \$685 + \$10/acre |
| Overlay Zone | 25% of (a) or (b) above |
| Establishment of Zoning Upon Annexation | No fee |
| Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment | \$565 |

| Subdivision Ordinance (DRB) | |
|---|---|
| Advertisement for Public Notification | \$75 |
| Advertisement for Public Notification for Appeal of action | \$50 |
| Appeal of action | \$190 |
| Deferral Requested by Applicant (on Public Hearing Case) | \$110 |
| Deferral Requested by Applicant (on Non-Public Hearing Case) | \$50 |
| Bulk Land Variance | \$145 |
| Major Subdivision Plat | \$565 + \$15 / parcel and \$95 per proposed intersection of Public Streets; Maximum fee \$3500 |
| Minor Subdivision Plat | \$145 + \$70 / lot |
| Street Name Change | \$145 + \$95 per existing public street intersection |
| Subdivision Ordinance Text Amendment | \$565 |
| Vacation of Rights of Way or Easement (Public or Private) Contiguous area when action alters Public ROW Contiguous area where no Public ROW is included | Vacations \$300 per each contiguous Road Easement or ROW \$ 45 per each contiguous Public or Private easement where no Public Road is included. |
| Extension or Amendment of Preliminary Plat | \$50 |
| Extension of Subdivision Improvements Agreement (SIA) or Extension of Sidewalk Deferral | \$50 |

| Miscellaneous Fees | |
|--|--|
| Sewer Tap Permit Fee | \$50 per tap |
| Flood Hazard Ordinance Plan Check Fee | \$50 per certification |
| Drainage Permit Review Fees Major or Minor Subdivision Site Development Plans Letter of Map Revision Conditional Letter of Map Revision Building Permit | \$50 base fee + \$10/lot \$100 per submittal \$50 per request \$50 per request \$50 per permit |
| Traffic Impact Study Review | \$150 per review |

| Conflict Management Program | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| EPC | \$50 per application |
| DRB | \$20 per application |
| BOA, LUCC, ZHE | \$10 per application |

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

Planning Department

Planning and Development Fee Schedule

NOTE: Fees listed are for one action, application, appeal, permit, etc.

Effective 04/25/08

| Landmarks and Urban Conservation Commission | |
|---|-------|
| Advertisement for Public Notification (LUCC Application) | \$35 |
| Advertisement for Public Notification -Appeal of LUCC Action | \$50 |
| Appeal of LUCC Actions | \$55 |
| Deferral Requested by Applicant | \$110 |
| CoA -LUCC Certificate of Appropriateness | |
| -Change to building exterior requiring building or demolition permit | \$35 |
| -Change to exterior where no building permit is required and building is on Nat'l Register or is a Landmark | \$25 |
| -Change to interior which is of significance and building is a Landmark | \$25 |
| Landmark Status (designation or rescission) | \$55 |

| Special Exceptions (ZHE/BoA) | |
|--|-------|
| Advertisement for Public Notification (ZHE Application) | \$35 |
| Advertisement for Public Notification for Appeal of BoA action to City Council | \$50 |
| Appeal to City Council or Board of Appeals of action | \$55 |
| Deferral Requested by Applicant | \$110 |
| SE - Conditional Use | \$100 |
| SE - Non-conforming Use Expansion | \$100 |
| SE - Variance | \$100 |

| Zoning Enforcement | |
|---|--|
| Community Residential Program Initial Fee & Review Fee (Annual) | \$55 (initial) // \$35 (annual) |
| Emergency Shelter Initial Fee & Annual Review | \$55 (initial) // \$35 (annual) |
| Liquor Certification | \$70 |
| Methadone Center Review | \$145 |
| Outdoor Seating Review | \$35 - \$145 |
| Plan Check Fee - Less than 4000 GSF and Greater than 4000 GSF | \$25 -less than 4000 GSF / \$45 -greater than 4000 GSF |
| Public Dance Review | \$275 |
| Research, Field Verification & Enforcement for Certifications of Zoning | \$165 per request* |
| Sign Permit | \$70+\$0.70 sq ft after 50 sq ft |
| Tent Permit | \$45 |
| Wall / Fence Permit | \$25 |
| Zoning Certification | \$35 |

* In addition to processing fees required in Section 14-16-4-6 (C) City Comprehensive Zoning Code.

**Dallas County, Texas
Public Works
Fee Schedule**



DALLAS COUNTY
LEVEL 1 DEVELOPMENT PERMIT APPLICATION FORM

STATE OF TEXAS §
COUNTY OF DALLAS §

Complete and return to:
Dallas County Public Works
411 Elm Street, Suite 400
Dallas, Texas 75202

- LEVEL 1 PERMIT (Initial Floodplain Verification) FEE- \$35
LEVEL 2 PERMIT (Individual - Restricted Building in Floodplain) FEE- \$100
LEVEL 3 PERMIT (Developer - Restricted Building in Floodplain) FEE- \$250

A LEVEL 1 Permit (Floodplain Review) is required prior to any form of development on any property located in the unincorporated area of Dallas County.

A LEVEL II Permit is required to install any structure on any property located in the unincorporated area of Dallas County within 100 feet of any portion of the 100-yr floodplain. A LEVEL II Permit is also required prior to the approval of a subdivision plat by a developer of a subdivision tract of less than 5 acres in the unincorporated area if any portion of the tract of land is situated within 100 feet of the 100-yr floodplain.

A LEVEL III Permit is required prior to approval of a subdivision plat by a developer of a subdivision tract of greater than or equal to 5 acres in the unincorporated area if any portion of the tract of land is situated within 100 feet of the 100-yr floodplain.

APPLICATION NUMBER _____ DATE _____

1. NAME OF APPLICANT: _____
MAILING ADDRESS : _____
CONTACT PHONE NO: _____

2. LOCATION OF PROPERTY (complete as appropriate)
Property address _____
Include the following:
() Plat -- current Boundary Survey by a Texas R.P.L.S.
() Metes and Bounds (narrative description of the property) - copy of the Deed
() Vicinity Map & Site Plan with proposed layout of building.
() DCAD Account No. - copy of last year's Tax Statement

3. IS PROPERTY BEING SUBDIVIDED [2 or more lots] () Yes, see below () No
If Yes, Include the following
() Number of Proposed Lots _____
() Total number of acres being subdivided _____

4. NATURE OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION (check and complete as appropriate)
() Residential () Non-Residential () Mixed-Use
() Alteration of a Natural Waterway of Drainage Channel () Septic System
() Placement of Fill () Sand or Gravel Operation () Other

**Douglas County, Colorado
Sustainable Development Department
Fee Schedule**



Douglas County Community Planning &
Sustainable Development Department
Engineering Division
100 Third Street, Castle Rock, CO. 80104
303-660-7490

Authorized Representative / Company :

Project:

DV #

ENGINEERING REVIEW FEE SCHEDULE

| <i>DESCRIPTION</i> | <i>ENGR FEE</i> | <i>QUANTITY</i> | <i>SUB-TOTAL</i> |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|
| ZONING | \$6,500.00 | <5 acres | |
| | \$9,900.00 | ≥ 5 ac. and < 25 ac. | |
| | \$13,300.00 | ≥ 25 acres | |
| SKETCH PLAN | \$2,500.00 | <5 Acres | |
| includes plans, drainage reports, grading & erosion | \$5,000.00 | ≥ 5 ac. and < 25 ac. | |
| | \$7,500.00 | ≥ 25 acres | |
| PRELIMINARY PLAN | \$2,500.00 | < 5 acres | |
| includes plans, drainage reports, grading & erosion | \$5,000.00 | ≥ 5 ac. and < 25 ac. | |
| | \$7,500.00 | ≥ 25 acres | |
| FINAL PLAT + | \$5,000.00 | <5 Acres | |
| includes plans, drainage reports, grading & erosion | \$5,800.00 | ≥ 5 ac. and < 25 ac. | |
| | \$7,500.00 | ≥ 25 acres | |
| MINOR DEVELOPMENT PLAT + | \$5,000.00 | <5 Acres | |
| includes plans, drainage reports, grading & erosion | \$5,800.00 | ≥ 5 ac. and < 25 ac. | |
| | \$7,500.00 | ≥ 25 acres | |
| SITE IMPROVEMENT PLAN NON-RESIDENTIAL ++ and Major Revisions to Site Plan | \$3,000.00 | <5 Acres | |
| includes plans, drainage reports, grading & erosion | \$5,500.00 | ≥ 5 ac. and < 25 ac. | |
| | \$9,500.00 | ≥ 25 acres | |
| SITE IMPROVEMENT PLAN NON-RESIDENTIAL ++ "Pad Site" (Part of a Larger Approved SIP) | \$1,500.00 | | |
| USE BY SPECIAL REVIEW +++ | \$3,000.00 | <5 Acres | |
| includes plans, drainage reports, grading & erosion | \$5,500.00 | ≥ 5 ac. and < 25 ac. | |
| | \$9,500.00 | ≥ 25 acres | |
| FINAL PLAT / REPLAT (Non-Administrative) | \$640.00 | | |
| REPLAT / LOT LINE (Administrative) | \$300.00 | | |
| SITE IMPROVEMENT PLAN - Minor Revision | \$400.00 | | |
| SITE IMPROVEMENT PLAN - Minor Modification | \$200.00 | | |
| MAJOR PD AMENDMENT | \$500.00 | | |
| SERVICE PLAN (Special District) | \$640.00 | | |

ENGINEERING REVIEW FEE SCHEDULE

| <i>DESCRIPTION</i> | <i>ENGR FEE</i> | <i>QUANTITY</i> | <i>SUB-TOTAL</i> |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| RIGHT-OF-WAY DEDICATION (Public) | \$200.00 | | |
| RIGHT-OF-WAY (ROW) VACATION | \$2,400.00 | | |
| MINOR ADJUSTMENT TO EXISTING ROW | \$200.00 | | |
| DEDICATION OF DRAINAGE EASEMENT | \$200.00 | | |
| VACATION of Access or Drainage Easements | \$1,000.00 | | |
| VACATION of Other Easements (May be used for Access or Drainage Easements if Part of a Larger Project) | \$400.00 | | |
| SB 35 EXEMPTION | \$1,200.00 | | |
| Location & Extent | \$1,000.00 | | |
| Rural Site Improvement Plan | \$2,000.00 | | |
| PLANNED DEVELOPMENT (Zoning) Administrative Amendment | \$400.00 | | |
| <u>CONSTRUCTION PLAN REVIEWS:</u> | | | |
| Street / Storm Construction plans | \$1,600.00 | < 5 acres | |
| | \$2,000.00 | ≥ 5 ac. and < 25 ac. | |
| | \$2,400.00 | ≥ 25 acres | |
| District Roadway Construction Plan Review (2) | $\$700 + \$60 \sqrt{Y}$ | | |
| District Storm Sewer Plan Review (2) | $\$700 + \$75 \sqrt{Y}$ | | |
| ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION PLANS FOR 35 ACRE DEVELOPMENT or for other private roads outside of the Rural SIP process or Platting process | \$500.00 | | |
| SEPARATE SIGNAGE AND STRIPING PLAN (only if not a part of an overall construction plan set) | \$200 per sheet | | |
| TRAFFIC SIGNAL PLANS (Per Intersection) | \$800.00 | | |
| Utility Construction Plan Review: (Gas, Electric, Telephone, Cable TV): For submittals greater than 1000 LF | \$450.00 | | |
| Grading, Erosion & Sediment Control (GESC) | $\$250 + \25 (acre of disturbance) | | |
| Temporary Batch Plant / GESC Plan | $\$250 + \25 (acre of disturbance) | | |
| Low Impact Grading, Erosion & Sediment Control | \$50.00 | | |
| LANDSCAPE PLANS (2) | $\$350 + \$60 \sqrt{Y}$ | | |
| <u>OTHER REVIEW SERVICES:</u> | | | |

ENGINEERING REVIEW FEE SCHEDULE

| <i>DESCRIPTION</i> | <i>ENGR FEE</i> | <i>QUANTITY</i> | <i>SUB-TOTAL</i> |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Regional Detention Pond Construction Plan (1) | $\$500 + \$40 \sqrt{X}$ | | |
| Regional Water Quality Facilities | \$400.00 | | |
| Floodplain Modification Study: | | | |
| Minor | \$800.00 | | |
| Major | \$1,600.00 | | |
| Floodplain Development Permit | \$100.00 | | |
| Pavement Design Report, including minor construction plans and / or revisions | \$250.00 | | |
| Phase I Drainage Report (1) | \$336.00 | < 5 acres | |
| | \$560.00 | ≥ 5 ac. and < 25 ac. | |
| | \$672.00 | ≥ 25 acres | |
| Phase II or Phase III Drainage Report | \$672.00 | < 5 acres | |
| | \$1,120.00 | ≥ 5 ac. and < 25 ac. | |
| | \$1,344.00 | ≥ 25 acres | |
| TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY FOR: | | | |
| Rezoning, Sketch Plan or Preliminary Plan | \$2,000.00 | | |
| Updated Report to Original for Sketch or Preliminary | \$1,000.00 | | |
| Final Plat / MDP / PDP | \$500.00 | | |
| Site Improvement Plan | \$500.00 | | |
| Use By Special Review | \$1,500.00 | | |
| SIGNAL WARRANT STUDY (Per Intersection) | \$200.00 | | |
| VARIANCE REQUEST | \$200.00 | | |
| NOTICE OF CHANGE TO APPROVED PLAN - MINOR REVISION | \$200.00 | | |
| NOTICE OF CHANGE TO APPROVED PLAN - MAJOR REVISION | \$500.00 | | |
| Alternative Roadway Standards for Public Roads | \$2,500.00 | | |
| Revision to Alternative Roadway Standards | \$500.00 | | |
| Private Roadway Standards | \$1,000.00 | | |
| Revision to Private Roadway Standards | \$250.00 | | |
| LICENSE AGREEMENT | \$200.00 | | |

DV #

ENGINEERING REVIEW FEE SCHEDULE

| <i>DESCRIPTION</i> | <i>ENGR FEE</i> | <i>QUANTITY</i> | <i>SUB-TOTAL</i> |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|
| INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT (Special District) | \$1,000.00 | | |
| MODIFICATION to Standard Improvements Agreements | \$500.00 | | |
| MINIMUM REVIEW FEE | \$300.00 | | |
| | | <i>TOTAL</i> | \$0.00 |

NOTES:

- ** Only for lot line changes up to 4 lots or 0-5% of lots affected in a subdivision, otherwise see Final Plat fee.
 - + Fees as shown on schedule B-1 for Construction Plans, Pavement Design Reports & Drainage Reports, etc., which accompany the final plat submittal, shall be collected at the time of the submittal of the Final Plat.
 - ++ Refers to specific type of commercial site development as defined in the County Zoning Resolutions.
 - +++ Douglas County Engineering reserves the right to send referrals to outside agencies from which expert technical assistance may be requested. Fees may be assessed by referral agencies for their outside review and they shall be paid by the applicant.
 - * A "Pad Site" is part of a larger approved Site Improvement Plan (SIP). The following items must exist (or be approved) to qualify as a "Pad Site": detention/WQ, access to the Larger SIP, parking (generally), grading and utilities. No revisions are required to the overall drainage patterns / detention / WQ / etc. No revisions are required to the access points to the Larger SIP. The consulting engineer for the project is required to submit a letter (minimum) certifying that the drainage and access for the "Pad Site" are in substantial compliance with the Larger SIP Drainage Report and Traffic Report.
1. X denotes area in acres.
 2. Y denotes longitudinal distance in hundreds of feet.
 3. The fees listed above are for the initial submittal. All third resubmittals are charged 50% of the initial submittal fee. Resubmittals following a "conditional approval" require no fee.

RECEIPT INFORMATION:

DATE:

CHECK #

FROM:

King County, Washington
Example Development Processing Fee Detail

Summary of estimated permit fees

In effect as of July 1, 2009

Single Family Residence

Attention:

The following is an example only. Actual fee depend upon services required and length of review. Current hourly charges are \$140/hour. Sample may be rounded to the nearest dollar.

[Go to Index](#)

POTENTIAL PROCESSING FEE DETAIL:

This permit/review process may be subject to Project Management.

Not all fees are reflected in the estimates below. Fees that are not shown may include:

- Traffic and School Mitigation Fees (reference **DDES Bulletins 46 and 47** for additional information). These fees include the fee based on location or jurisdiction and an administrative fee.
- Fire sprinkler permits are generally bidder design and permit fees are dependent on the type and amount of devices/equipment installed.
- Separate Grading review - samples of these fees may be found under the Fee Listing Grading permits.
- Shorelines review for property under shorelines jurisdiction - samples of these fees may be found under the Fee Listing Shoreline Permits.

Even though sample fees are given for critical areas and site engineering/drainage review, it is important to note that these fees may vary dramatically depending on the specific site.

Example A is a proposed structure involving:

- 1,500 square feet of new impervious area
- application review that determined no additional site or critical area reviews needed
- a valuation of \$36,450.

| | |
|---|---------|
| Counter Service Fee (fixed) | \$568 |
| Building Code Review (based on valuation) | \$360 |
| Site Engineering & Drainage Review (base + hourly) | \$362 |
| Fire Flow Review (fixed) | \$181 |
| Building Inspection Services (based on valuation) | \$360 |
| Site Inspection | - |
| Erosion Sediment Control Inspection | \$35 |
| Critical Area Review (See Critical Areas fee page .) | \$290 |
| Project Management | - |
| State Building Code Fee (fixed) | \$4.50 |
| | ----- |
| Estimated Total | \$2,161 |

Example B is a proposed structure involving:

- less than 2,000 square feet of new impervious area
- a required site visit, and application review determined no additional drainage review needed
- critical areas
- a valuation of \$36,450.

| | |
|---|---------|
| Counter Service Fee (fixed) | \$568 |
| Building Code Review (based on valuation) | \$360 |
| Site Engineering & Drainage Review (base + hourly) | \$725 |
| Fire Flow Review (fixed) | \$181 |
| Building Inspection Services (based on valuation) | \$360 |
| Site Inspection | - |
| Erosion Sediment Control Inspection | \$35 |
| Critical Area Review (See Critical Areas fee page.) | \$1,304 |
| Project Management | \$280 |
| State Building Code Fee (fixed) | \$4.50 |
| | ----- |
| Estimated Total | \$3,818 |

Example C is a proposed structure involving:

- greater than 2,000 square feet and less than 10,000 square feet of new impervious area
- critical areas
- site issues
- a valuation of \$418,710.

| | |
|---|---------|
| Counter Service Fee (fixed) | \$568 |
| Building Code Review (based on valuation) | \$1,946 |
| Site Engineering & Drainage Review (base + hourly) | \$1,449 |
| Fire Flow Review (fixed) | \$181 |
| Building Inspection Services (based on valuation) | \$1,946 |
| Site Inspection | - |
| Erosion Sediment Control Inspection | \$35 |
| Critical Area Review (See Critical Areas fee page.) | \$1,304 |
| Project Management | \$280 |
| State Building Code Fee (fixed) | \$4.50 |
| | ----- |
| Estimated Total | \$7,714 |

Example D is a proposed structure involving:

- greater than 10,000 square feet of new impervious area
- critical areas
- site issues
- a valuation of \$418,710.

| | |
|---|---------|
| Counter Service Fee (fixed) | \$568 |
| Building Code Review (based on valuation) | \$1,946 |
| Site Engineering & Drainage Review (base + hourly) | \$4,249 |
| Fire Flow Review (fixed) | \$181 |
| Building Inspection Services (based on valuation) | \$1,946 |
| Site Inspection | \$7,700 |
| Erosion Sediment Control Inspection | \$35 |
| Critical Area Review (See Critical Areas fee page.) | \$1,304 |
| Project Management | \$700 |
| State Building Code Fee (fixed) | \$4.50 |
| | ----- |

Estimated Total

\$18,634

Total building permit fees are established according to a matrix of industry standards and King County Code (KCC). They vary to factors which are reviewed at the time of application submittal. Application fees are a subset of total permits fees which are determined by a combination of KCC and department financial policies. Variables influencing the application fee include, but are not limited to:

- Size of proposed building
- Construction type (e.g. wood, masonry, etc.)
- Intended use (e.g. residential, commercial, etc.)
- Type of reviews required (e.g. drainage, critical areas, etc.)
- Extent/complexity of site and drainage improvements

[TO TOP](#)

**Maricopa County, Arizona
Planning and Development Department
Fee Schedule**

DRAINAGE REGULATIONS FOR MARICOPA COUNTY

Chapter 16 – Fee Schedule

Fee Schedule

Drainage Regulations for Maricopa County, Arizona

The following fees shall be charged for the processing of plan reviews, drainage clearances, appeals, drainage variances, and performance bonds with no provision for refund. In accordance with Section 403 of the Drainage Regulations for Maricopa County, the Drainage Administrator may adopt directives for the effectuation of this fee schedule.

SECTION 1601. Not Used

SECTION 1602. PLANNING AND ZONING

Area Master Plans (Including Development Master Plans)

| | |
|--|---------|
| (If concurrent review of DMP and Plats, lower fee is waived) Up to 640 Acres | \$6,000 |
|--|---------|

| | |
|----------------------|--------------|
| Plans over 640 Acres | + \$10 / ac. |
|----------------------|--------------|

| | |
|---------------|----------|
| Maximum Total | \$80,000 |
|---------------|----------|

Plan Amendments (for which a Drainage Review is required by Planning Services) up to 640 Acres

\$3,000

| | |
|----------------------|------------|
| Plans over 640 Acres | + \$5 / ac |
|----------------------|------------|

| | |
|---------------|----------|
| Maximum Total | \$40,000 |
|---------------|----------|

Zoning Case and UPD Review (without precise plan entitlements)

\$60

Plan of Development Review (with precise plan entitlements) including Special Use plans (except SFR Special uses)

\$1,000

+ \$500 ac

| | |
|---------------|----------|
| Maximum Total | \$11,000 |
|---------------|----------|

Minor Amendment to a Plan of Development including Special Use plans (except SFR special uses) Including Billboards, WCF or Cellular Sites, and non-livable buildings on Sites disturbing less than 1 acre

\$650

SUP – Modification of Stipulations

\$60

Special Use plan for Single Family Residential (SFR) – Including Modification of Stipulations or Amendments

\$210

Pre-Application Review and Meetings

\$150

DRAINAGE REGULATIONS FOR MARICOPA COUNTY

Chapter 16 – Fee Schedule

| SECTION 1603. SUBDIVISIONS | |
|---|--------------------|
| Subdivisions - Preliminary Plats | \$2000 + \$200/ac |
| Maximum Total | \$34,000 |
| | |
| Subdivisions - Final Plat | \$1000 + \$25/ac |
| Maximum Total | \$10,000 |
| | |
| Re-Plat for Note Corrections or Easement Adjustments without Drainage Impacts | \$60 |
| Other Corrections | \$1,000 + \$25 ac |
| Maximum | \$10,000 |
| | |
| SECTION 1604. DEVELOPMENT CONSTRUCTION PLANS | |
| Commercial & Industrial Sites and Uses, Multi-family Residential, Subdivision Infrastructure, Schools, Golf Courses and Other Recreational Facilities, Non-Exempted Municipal, Special Districts, Churches, and all other precise plans | |
| Maximum | \$2,000 + \$250 ac |
| Inspections for Permits on Precise Plans ¹ with In-Progress Inspections Required | + \$250 ea. |
| Inspections for Permits on Precise Plans ¹ with a Final Inspection / As-builts Required | + \$350 |
| Failed Inspection Fee | + \$350 |
| | |
| Modification to Issued Permits on Precise Plans ¹ | \$2,000 + \$125 ac |
| Maximum Total | \$22,000 |
| With Additional Inspections Required for Modified Permits on Precise Plans ¹ | + \$250 ea. |
| | |
| Minor Accessory Construction On Precise Plans ² | \$400 |
| With Final Inspection (Required) | + \$250 |
| Total | \$650 |
| | |
| Failed inspection fee for Minor Accessory Construction | + \$250 |
| Modification to issued Permits for Minor Accessory Construction | \$250 |
| With Additional Inspections Required for Modified Minor Accessory Construction | + \$250 |
| | |
| Major Accessory Construction On Developed Commercial Sites ³ | \$5,000 |
| With Additional Inspections Required for Major Accessory Construction | + \$250 ea. |
| With a Final Inspection/ As-builts Required | + \$350 |
| | |
| Failed Inspection Fee for Major Accessory Construction | + \$250 |
| Modification to Issued Permits for Major Accessory Construction | \$2500 |
| With Additional Inspections Required for Modified Major Accessory Construction | + \$250 |

DRAINAGE REGULATIONS FOR MARICOPA COUNTY

Chapter 16 – Fee Schedule

| SECTION 1605. RESIDENTIAL SITE/DRAINAGE PLANS | |
|---|-----------------|
| Subdivision lots, Custom lots, Rural Single Family | |
| Approved by Administrative Review | \$60 |
| Approved by Site Inspection | +\$150 |
| With an In-Progress Inspection Required | +\$150 |
| With Final Inspection Required | +\$150 |
| Maximum Total | \$510 |
| Approved by Engineering Review | \$360 |
| With Pre-Issuance Site Inspection (Required) | \$150 |
| Minimum Total | \$510 |
| With Drainage Report Required for Engineering Review | +\$240 |
| With an In-Progress Inspection Required | +\$150 |
| With Final Inspection Required | +\$150 |
| Maximum Total | \$1050 |
| Failed Inspection for Residential Permits | \$150 |
| Additional and Concurrent Permits for Engineering Review | + \$30 ea |
| In-Progress Inspection Required for Additional and Concurrent Permits | +\$150 |
| Multi-Parcel Projects | + \$150/ parcel |
| Modification to Issued Permits | \$250 |
| Modification to Issued Permits on Multi-Parcel Projects | + \$75/ parcel |
| 1606. NEW SFR IN APPROVED SUBDIVISION – EXPEDITED PROCESS | |
| Lots Approved for Expedited Permit Process with Certified Pad Elevation | \$60 |
| Final Inspection Required | +\$150 |
| 1607. Other Fees | |
| Drainage Review Board Cases (Variances and Appeals) | |
| Commercial and Subdivision | \$1,000 |
| All Other Sites | \$250 |
| Board of Adjustment | \$100 |

DRAINAGE REGULATIONS FOR MARICOPA COUNTY

Chapter 16 – Fee Schedule

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Special Inspections - Consultations with County Staff (On-site or at County Offices) | |
| SFR | \$150 |
| Commercial | \$300 |
| Complex Projects | \$350 |
| | |
| Work begun or completed without a permit | |
| Residential (violation case opened before permit initialization) | \$500 |
| Residential (voluntary compliance without a violation case opened) | \$250 |
| | |
| Commercial including subdivisions (with or without open violation case opened) | Fees Doubled |
| | |
| Pre-Application review and meetings | \$150 |
| | |

¹ **COMMERCIAL & INDUSTRIAL SITES AND USES, MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, SUBDIVISION INFRASTRUCTURE, SCHOOLS, GOLF COURSES AND OTHER RECREATIONAL FACILITIES, NON-EXEMPTED MUNICIPAL, SPECIAL DISTRICTS, CHURCHES, AND ALL OTHER PRECISE PLANS**

² **SITES MUST HAVE AN ESTABLISHED PRIMARY USE, BE DEVELOPED IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE DRAINAGE REGULATIONS, AND THE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY MUST DISTURB LESS THAN ONE ACRE. MINOR ACCESSORY CONSTRUCTION INCLUDES, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO, SIGNS, ADA ACCESSIBILITY RAMPS, PROPANE TANKS, TRASH ENCLOSURES, NON-LIVABLE AND ACCESSORY BUILDINGS, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 301.**

³ **SITES MUST HAVE AN ESTABLISHED PRIMARY USE, BE DEVELOPED IN SUBSTANTIAL CONFORMANCE WITH THE DRAINAGE REGULATIONS, AND THE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY MUST DISTURB LESS THAN 5 ACRES.**

| Date of Revisions | | |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Δ 1 | January 28, 2009 | TA2008010 |
| Δ 2 | August 19, 2009 | TA2008011 |

**San Diego County, California
Department of Public Works
Fee Schedule**

EFFECTIVE APRIL 10, 2009
SCHEDULE A – PUBLIC WORKS FEES AND DEPOSITS

The following fees and initial deposits shall be paid to the Department of Public Works for the processing of the following permits and applications:

| CASE TYPE | AUTHORITY | AMOUNT | F/D |
|---|--------------------|---------------|------------|
| ADMINISTRATIVE PERMITS | 459 CAC | | |
| Application | | \$795 | D |
| Modification | | \$795 | D |
| Time Extension | | \$795 | D |
| APPEALS | | | |
| Administrative Decision | 459.2 CAC | \$825 | D |
| To Board of Supervisors | 459.1 CAC | \$825 | D |
| To Planning Commission | 459.1 CAC | \$1,315 | D |
| ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FORMATION | 459.2.1 CAC | | |
| Deposit | | \$5,535 | D |
| BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENTS | 459.3 CAC | | |
| Application (2 to 4 Lots) | | \$1,260 | D |
| CENTERLINE REVIEW | 81.804 CC | | |
| Review | | \$1,435 | D |
| CERTIFICATES OF COMPLIANCE | 459.4 CAC | | |
| Application Legal Lot (up to 4 Lots) | | \$485 | D |
| Each Additional Increment of 1 Lot or Part Thereof | | \$80 | D |
| Certificate of compliance With Boundary Adjustment | | \$1,245 | D |
| Each Additional Lot Increment | | \$85 | D |
| TPM, Review | | \$1,175 | D |
| Violation | | \$3,995 | D |
| CAC = San Diego County Administrative Code, CC = San Diego County Code, GC = California Government Code, GO = San Diego County Grading Ordinance, CEQA = California Environmental Quality Act, D = Deposit, F = Fee, | | | |

| CASE TYPE | AUTHORITY | AMOUNT | F/D |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|-----|
| ENVIRONMENTAL ACTIONS (CEQA) | | | |
| CEQA Exemption Review | 459.5 CAC | \$245 | D |
| Policy I-119 Review | 459.5 CAC | \$1,385 | D |
| EIR Review | 459.5 CAC | \$2,060 | D |
| Extended Initial Studies & Technical Reviews | 459.5 CAC | \$2,020 | D |
| Negative Declaration Review | 459.5 CAC | \$1,615 | D |
| CEQA Exemption - Grading | 81.205 CC | \$400 | D |
| Application for Environmental Initial Study (AEIS) - Large | 87.301 CC | \$4,455 | D |
| AEIS - Average | 87.301 CC | \$2,890 | D |
| AEIS - Previous CEQA Action | 87.301 CC | \$1,220 | D |
| GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT | | | |
| Application | | \$1,020 | D |
| GRADING PERMIT (PLAN CHECK BY CU. YDS:) | | | |
| Minor DPW Grading Permit | 87.206 CC | \$1,430 | D |
| Major Grading Permit (under 10,000) | 87.208 CC | \$2,240 | D |
| Major Grading (10,000 or greater) | 87.208 CC | \$3,170 | D |
| Inspection | 87.301 CC | 5% Const. Cost ≤\$100K + 3% Const. Cost>\$100K \$600 Minimum | D |
| Materials Testing | 87.301 CC | 1.5% Const. Cost≤\$50 +1% Const. Cost>\$50K \$400 Minimum | D |
| HABITAT LOSS PERMIT (With Appropriate Environmental Action) | 86.102 CC, 86.104 CC | \$1,745 | D |
| IMPROVEMENT PLAN Parcel Map (TPM) | 81.205 CC, 81.206 CC & 87.301 CC | | |
| Parcel Map (TPM) Review | | \$2,135 | D |
| CAC = San Diego County Administrative Code, CC = San Diego County Code, GC = California Government Code, GO = San Diego County Grading Ordinance, CEQA = California Environmental Quality Act, D = Deposit, F = Fee | | | |

| CASE TYPE | AUTHORITY | AMOUNT | F/D |
|---|---|--|-----|
| IMPROVEMENT PLAN Tentative Parcel Map (TPM) - Continued | 81.205 CC, 81.206 CC & 87.301 CC | | |
| Parcel Map (TPM) Inspection - Public Improvement | | 5% Construction Cost<=\$100K + 3% Const. Cost>\$100K \$3,000 Minimum | D |
| Parcel Map (TPM) Inspection - Private Improvement | | 5% Construction Cost<=\$100K + 3% Const. Cost>\$100K \$800 Minimum | D |
| Parcel Map (TPM) Materials Testing | | 1.5% Construction Cost<=\$50K + 1% Const. Cost>\$50K \$400 Minimum | D |
| IMPROVEMENT PLAN Subdivision Map Tentative Map™ | 81.205 CC, 81.206 CC & 87.301 CC | | |
| Subdivision Map TM Review | | \$6,070 | D |
| Subdivision Map TM Inspection | | 5% Construction Cost<=\$100K + 3% Const. Cost>\$100K \$1,100 Minimum | D |
| Subdivision Map TM Inspection | | 5% Construction Cost<=\$100K + 3% Const. Cost>\$100K \$1,100 Minimum | D |
| Subdivision Map TM Material Testing | | 1.5% Construction Cost<=\$50K + 1% Const. Cost>\$50K \$1,100 Minimum | D |
| CAC = San Diego County Administrative Code, CC = San Diego County Code, GC = California Government Code, GO = San Diego County Grading Ordinance, CEQA = California Environmental Quality Act, D = Deposit, F = Fee | | | |

| CASE TYPE | AUTHORITY | AMOUNT | F/D |
|--|--------------------|--|-------------|
| LOT STAKING | 460.31 CAC | | |
| Full Subdivision | | \$1,100 Minimum Plus \$27 per acre 1-50 acres (\$1,127-\$2,450) Plus \$13 per acre 51-100 acres (\$2,463-\$3,100) Plus \$7 per acre 101+ acres (\$3,107+) | D |
| Self Certification with Right-of-way only | | 1/3 of above formula with a minimum of \$650 | D |
| MAJOR SUBDIVISIONS (TENTATIVE MAP) | | | |
| Tentative Map | 81.205 CC | 1-20 lots \$9,905 21-50 lots \$10,605 51+ lots \$12,330 | D D D |
| Change of Circumstance (Map modification) | 81.205 CC | \$1,115 (Phase 1) \$2,310 (Phase 2) | D |
| Expired Tentative Map | 81.202 CC | \$2,495 | D |
| Revised Map | 81.311 CC | \$1,570 | D |
| Replacement Map | 81.311 CC | \$555 | D |
| Resolution Amendment | 81.203.5 CC | \$1,095 | D |
| TM, Review | 81.202 CC | \$7,280 | D |
| Time Extension | 81.202 CC | \$555 | D |
| MAJOR USE PERMIT | 460.32 CAC | | |
| Application | | \$1,975 | D |
| Modification | | \$1,260 | D |
| Time Extension | | \$1,260 | D |
| MINOR SUBDIVISIONS (TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP) | | | |
| Tentative Parcel Map | 81.608 CC | \$2,365 | D |
| Expired Tentative Parcel Map | 81.608 CC | \$1,570 | D |
| Revised Tentative Parcel Map | 81.608 CC | \$1,570 | D |
| Parcel Map Review | 81.205 CC | \$3,740 | D |
| CAC = San Diego County Administrative Code, CC = San Diego County Code, GC = California Government Code, GO = San Diego County Grading Ordinance, CEQA = California Environmental Quality Act, D = Deposit, F = Fee | | | |

| CASE TYPE | AUTHORITY | AMOUNT | F/D |
|---|-------------------------------|---|------------|
| MINOR SUBDIVISIONS (TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP) Continued | | | |
| Map Modification | 81.205 CC | \$1,115 (Phase 1) | D |
| Modification | 81.608 CC | \$2,310 (Phase 2) | D |
| Modification | 81.608 CC | \$710 | D |
| Time Extension | 81.608 CC | \$560 | D |
| MINOR USE PERMIT | 459.7 CAC | | |
| Application | | \$1,290 | D |
| Modification | | \$1,290 | D |
| Minor Deviation | | \$1,290 | D |
| Time Extension | | \$1,290 | D |
| PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE | 459.8 CAC | | |
| Pre-Application Plan Pre-submittal | | \$235 | D |
| Pre-Application - Minor Projects | | \$550 | D |
| Pre-Application - Major Projects | | \$1,485 | D |
| RECLAMATION PLAN | 459.9 CAC | | |
| Application | | \$2,275 | D |
| Modification | | \$1,205 | D |
| RECORD OF SURVEY | 460 CAC | | |
| Application | | \$465 for first sheet +\$55 for each additional sheet | F |
| REZONE (ZONE CLASSIFICATION) | 460.33 CAC | | |
| Application | | \$1,480 | D |
| ROAD MATTERS | | | |
| Opening/Vacation | 461 CAC, & 462 CAC | \$1,890 | D |
| Remandment Review | 460.1 CAC, | \$1,605 | D |
| SEWER PLAN REVIEW | 460.34 CAC | | |
| Review | | \$740 | D |
| CAC = San Diego County Administrative Code, CC = San Diego County Code, GC = California Government Code, GO = San Diego County Grading Ordinance, CEQA = California Environmental Quality Act, D = Deposit, F = Fee | | | |

| CASE TYPE | AUTHORITY | AMOUNT | F/D |
|---|--------------------|---------------|------------|
| SENSITIVE LAND ORDINANCE | 460.30 CAC | | |
| Application | | \$415 | F |
| SITE PLANS | 460.2 CAC | | |
| Application | | \$1,095 | D |
| Minor Deviation | | \$475 | D |
| Modification | | \$785 | D |
| Time extension | | \$375 | D |
| Plan Review | | \$565 | D |
| SPECIFIC PLANS (LARGE SCALE) | 395 CAC | | |
| Application | | \$640 | D |
| Amendment | | \$640 | D |
| STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP) | 460.36 CAC | | |
| Minor SWMP | | \$630 | D |
| Major SWMP | | \$1,425 | D |
| Best Management Practice (BMP) Maintenance | | \$5,890 | D |
| STREET LIGHT REVIEW | 460.3.1 CAC | | |
| Review | | \$430 | D |
| Annexation | | \$410 | F |
| STREET NAME SIGNS FEE | 460.3 CAC | | |
| Sign Installation/Replacement | | \$215 | F |
| VARIANCE (V) | 460.4 CAC | | |
| Application | | \$740 | D |
| Administrative Variance | | \$740 | D |
| Minor Deviation | | \$740 | D |
| Modification | | \$740 | D |
| Time Extension | | \$740 | D |
| CAC = San Diego County Administrative Code, CC = San Diego County Code, GC = California Government Code, GO = San Diego County Grading Ordinance, CEQA = California Environmental Quality Act, D = Deposit, F = Fee | | | |

**SCHEDULE B - PROVISIONS
STANDARD HOURLY RATES**

All fees, deposits, and charges shall be calculated and will be charged using the following standard hourly rates:

| POSITION | HOURLY RATE |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Administrative Analyst I, II, III | \$124.44 |
| Assistant Engineer | \$155.04 |
| Assistant Land Surveyor | \$155.04 |
| Associate Transportation Specialist | \$118.56 |
| Civil Engineer | \$155.04 |
| Construction Technician | \$155.04 |
| County Counsel Attorney | \$207.00 |
| County Counsel Paralegal | \$122.00 |
| Engineering Technician I, II, III | \$116.28 |
| Equipment Operator | \$74.97 |
| Land Surveyor | \$155.04 |
| Land Use/Environmental Planner I, II | \$104.43 |

| | |
|---|----------|
| Land Use/Environmental Planner III | \$134.52 |
| Land Use/Environmental Planning Manager | \$152.22 |
| LUEG Program Manager | \$201.96 |
| Project Manager, DPW | \$175.44 |
| Public Works Program Coordinator | \$148.78 |
| Office Support Specialist | \$77.52 |
| Senior Civil Engineer | \$175.44 |

**Broward County, Florida
Development and Environmental Regulation Division
Fee Schedule**



Development Permit Application Fee Schedule

Board of County Commissioners, Broward County, Florida
 Environmental Protection and Growth Management Department
 Development and Environmental Regulation Division
 Effective 10/01/08

Fees Associated with Plat / Findings of Adequacy Applications (if applicable)

| | |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Sketch Plat | No charge |
| Standard Plat | \$4,032 |
| Small Plat (municipal, not on a Trafficway) | \$1,814 |
| Trips Fee (for areas subject to road fees) | \$259; each additional site- \$108 |
| (for areas subject to transit concurrency fees) | \$187; each additional site- \$108 |

Fees Associated with Informational Trips Report (if applicable)

| | |
|----------------------|----------|
| Trips Fee | \$187.00 |
| Each Additional Site | \$108.00 |

Fees Associated with Site Plans (if applicable)

| | |
|---|--|
| Full Site Plan Review (to be determined by Development and Environmental Regulation Staff) | |
| Residential | \$475 + \$14/ residential unit |
| Non-residential | \$475 + \$2.90/ 100 sq.ft. of non-residential floor area |
| Trips Fee | \$187 |
| Fire Protection Review Fee | \$230 |
| Sign Deposit | \$50 per sign |
| DERD Fee | \$60 |
| Minor Review Site Plans (to be determined by Development and Environmental Regulation Staff) | |
| Residential | \$158 + \$14/ residential unit |
| Non-Residential | \$158 + \$2.90/ 100 sq.ft. of non-residential floor area |
| Trips Fee | \$187 |
| One single family or duplex | |
| Application Fee | \$29 |
| Trips Fee | \$36 |
| Conceptual Site Plan | |
| DERD Fee | \$72 |

Fees Associated with Impact Fee Administration

| | | |
|--|-------|--|
| Impact Fee Status Letter/Refunds | \$36 | (for each half hour of research) |
| Security Draw | \$144 | (per security draw) |
| Credit Ledger Update | \$158 | (per credit ledger update) |
| Lien/Agreement Release | \$58 | (for up to 10 lots/buildings in the same plat) |
| Surety Bond/Letter of Credit Increase | \$144 | (per plat) |
| Release and Statement of Acknowledgement/ Termination Agreement | \$144 | (per plat) |

NOTE: Recording Fees are not included in the fees listed in the table above.

MAKE ALL CHECKS PAYABLE TO: BROWARD COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

See Reverse Side



Development Permit Application Fee Schedule

Board of County Commissioners, Broward County, Florida
 Environmental Protection and Growth Management Department
 Development and Environmental Regulation Division
 Effective 10/01/08

Fees Associated with Delegation Requests

| | | | |
|---|--------------------|---|---------|
| Amend the Non-Vehicular Access Line | Before Recordation | \$1,138 | |
| | After Recordation | \$2,246 | |
| Modify Other Roadway Related Conditions | Before Recordation | \$1,109 | |
| | After Recordation | \$1,613 | |
| Modify Other Non-Roadway Related Conditions | | \$720 | |
| Amend Notation on Plat | Full Review | Before Recordation | \$1,325 |
| | | After Recordation | \$1,526 |
| | Minor Review | Before Recordation | \$547 |
| | | After Recordation | \$749 |
| | Trips Fees | Increase (Standard Road Concurrency Districts) | \$259 |
| | | Decrease (Standard Road Concurrency Districts) | \$187 |
| | | Increase/Decrease (All Transit Concurrency Districts) | \$187 |
| Each Additional Site | \$108 | | |
| Building Permit Prior to Plat Recordation | | \$346 | |
| Non-Standard Agreements | | \$418 | |
| Standard Agreements | | \$158 | |
| Waiver of Paved Access or Sidewalk Requirement | | No Fee | |
| Deferral of County Commission Items (at applicant's request) | | \$166 per request | |

Other Development Permit Application Fees

| | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|
| Environmental Impact Review | | \$1,613 |
| Archaeological Review Fee | | \$655 |
| Action Plan /Capacity Study | Review of Roadway Capacity | \$2,160 |
| | Action Plan | Flat Base Rate: \$2,160 |
| | *Plus Cost Per Trip: | Per trip over capacity on most affected link \$1.40 |
| | | Per trip over capacity on second most affected link \$1.10 |
| | <i>To a maximum of \$17,280</i> | Per trip over capacity on third most affected link and on each additional link \$0.70 |
| *The number of over capacity trips shall be determined at final permit application according to the Broward County Land Development Code. | | |
| Concurrency Agreement (with out Action Plan) | Flat Base Rate: | \$2,160 |
| <i>To a maximum of \$17,280</i> | Plus: | \$1.40 per trip of reserve road capacity the developer is seeking to have assigned through the agreement. |
| Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Application | New or Incremental DRI | \$9,360 |
| | Substantial Deviation | \$8,064 |
| | Non-Substantial Deviation | \$4,464 |

MAKE ALL CHECKS PAYABLE TO: BROWARD COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Revised 2/18/09

**Cook County, Illinois
Department of Building and Zoning
Fee Schedule**

**DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND ZONING
OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

DONALD H. WLODARSKI
COMMISSIONER OF BUILDING AND ZONING
OF COOK COUNTY



County Administration Building
69 W. Washington, Suite 2830
Chicago, IL 60602-3169
TEL (312) 603-0500
FAX (312) 603-9940
TDD (800) 526-0857

ORDINANCE AMENDMENTS

**PART E.
NEW FEES**

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND ZONING

CONTRACTOR'S BUSINESS REGISTRATION FEE

Fee for initial registration of all contractors* shall be Seventy Five Dollars(\$75), which sum shall be paid by Applicant in advance and upon filing application; provided, however, that any Registrant may renew his registration upon the payment of the Annual Renewal Fee of Thirty Seven Dollars and 50/100's (\$37.50).

All registrations will run concurrent to the County fiscal year, December 1st to November 30th.

* Contractor registration will be applicable to all those in the building trades who contract to supply certain materials or do certain work for a stipulated sum. Property owners (i.e. homeowners) can serve as their own general contractor without registering and/or paying registration fees.

ANNUAL INSPECTIONS

Annual Inspections shall be at the rate of \$45.00 per hour, per inspector and include but not limited to the following inspections: plumbing, electrical, building, fire, elevator, and liquor and/or food dispensing establishments.

Extenuating inspections shall be billed at double the normal rate and with the approval of the Building Commissioner.

LOCAL PUBLIC ENTITIES AND NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION FEES

A. All building and zoning permit fees shall be waived for public entities defined as county, township, municipality, municipal corporation, school district, forest preserve district, park district, fire protection district, sanitary district, library systems and all other local governmental bodies; provided, however, that annual inspection fees (where applicable) remain at the hourly rate of Forty-five (\$45) Dollars.

B. Valid not-for-profit organizations will be required to pay ten (10) percent of the standard fees as established by ordinance.

(Continued)

ZONING FEE SCHEDULE

1-Z. REVISED FEES FOR PETITIONERS FOR PUBLIC HEARINGS ON MAP AND TEXT AMENDMENTS, SPECIAL USES AND VARIATION TO THE COOK COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE AS COMPREHENSIVELY AMENDED.

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| A. | Petition for a Text Amendment | \$375.00 |
| B. | Petition for a Map Amendment | |
| | 1. Less than one acre | 300.00 |
| | 2. One acre to five acres | 675.00 |
| | 3. Five acres to ten acres | 1,350.00 |
| | 4. Ten acres to twenty acres | 1,800.00 |
| C. | Petitions for the Following Special Uses | |
| | 1. Excavations for Artificial Lake on which Subdivision is proposed | 1,500.00 |
| | 2. If sand, gravel, rock or fill to be sold from above item, additional | 3,000.00 |
| | 3. Extraction of rock, sand, gravel, peat or any type of Borrow Pit | 3,000.00 |
| | 4. Extraction of Top Soil | 750.00 |
| | 5. Sanitary Land Fill | 4,500.00 |
| | 6. Dry Land Fill | |
| | Under five acres | 375.00 |
| | Over five acres | 3,000.00 |
| | 7. All hospitals, sanitariums, convalescent homes, nursing and rest homes for profit | 1,500.00 |
| | 8. Planned Developments | |
| | Five acres and under | 300.00 |
| | Over five acres to ten acres | 675.00 |
| | Over ten acres to fifteen acres | 1,050.00 |
| | Over fifteen acres | 1,800.00 |
| | 9. All other listed Special Uses as provided for in the Zoning Ordinance | \$375.00 |
| D. | Petitions for Variations | |
| | 1. All variations in all residential districts, regardless of number of different variations sought | \$112.50 or \$22.50 per lot whichever is greater |
| | 2. All variations in all commercial and industrial districts, regardless of number of variations sought | \$225.00 plus cost of court reporter transcript |
| E. | Any combination of petitions, such as an Amendment, Special Use, and Variation, if requested by the applicant, will be treated as individual petitions as far as fees are concerned, but will be consolidated and heard at the designated time for the Public Hearing, before the Zoning Board of Appeals of Cook County. | |
| F. | Fees for any other uses not included in this list or new uses not yet conceived, shall be determined by the Commissioner of Building and Zoning until such time as a resolution can be presented to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County. | |

2-Z. FOR USES OF LAND NOT INVOLVING BUILDINGS

NOTE: All Acreage Computed on Gross Acres as Computed by Surveyor on Plat of Survey.

- A. Sanitary Land Fill (Garbage Disposal or Organic Materials)
\$450.00 + \$150.00 per acre or fraction thereof.
- B. Commercial Land Fill (Filling Holes with Non-combustible Materials, Stone, Concrete, Clay, Etc.)

- \$450.00 + \$150.00 per acre or fraction thereof.
- C. Quarries (Extraction of Rock, Stone, Etc.)
\$450.00 + \$150.00 per acre or fraction thereof.
- D. Borrow Pits (Extraction of Gravel or Soil)
\$450.00 + \$150.00 per acre or fraction thereof.
- E. Commercial Peat Bogs (Extraction of Peat)
\$375.00 + \$105.00 per acre or fraction thereof.
- F. Commercial Stripping of Top Soil
\$450.00 + \$150.00 per acre or fraction thereof.
- G. Golf Courses, including Par 3 (Establishment of Fairways and Greens)
\$375.00 + \$105.00 per Fairway and Green
- H. Miniature Golf Courses.
\$450.00
- I. Golf Driving Ranges
\$450.00
- J. Barge Slips (Any Size)
\$525.00
- K. Creation of Artificial Lakes and Ponds or Detention Ponds.
\$225.00 + \$112.50
- L. Any other uses not included in this list or new uses not yet conceived to be at the discretion of the Commissioner of Building and Zoning until such time resolution can be presented to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County to establish fee schedule.
- M. These fees do not include the cost of any buildings or any plumbing or electrical work that may be needed in conjunction with the project. Additional fees will be assessed separately based on the existing construction fee schedule.

3-Z. FOR USES OF LAND INVOLVING BUILDINGS AND/OR NEW CONSTRUCTION

- A. Single Family Residence District (R-1 through R-5)
 - 1. Plan examination fee for principal uses 75.00
 - 2. Plan examination fee for accessory uses 60.00
- B. General Residence Districts (R-6 and R-8)
 - 1. Plan examination fee for principal uses for a two to six dwelling structure 75.00
plus \$15.00 for each additional dwelling unit
 - 2. Plan examination fee for a single accessory use for a two to six dwelling units structure 60.00
plus \$15.00 for each additional accessory use when included with the submission of a principal use or accessory use.
- C. Business District (C-1 through C-8)
 - 1. Plan examination fee for principal uses. 112.50
 - 2. Plan examination fee for accessory uses. 90.00
- D. Manufacturing District (I-1 through I-4)
 - 1. Plan examination fee for principal uses. 112.50
 - 2. Plan examination fee for accessory uses. 90.00
- E. Miscellaneous Uses
 - 1. Plan examination fee for special uses. 75.00
 - 2. Floodplain, Drainage, Grading and Soil Erosion, Sediment Control Permits.
 - a. Residential:
 - One (1) Acre or less 56.25
 - Over One (1) to Two (2) Acres 112.50
 - Over Two (2) to Three (3) Acres 168.75
 - Over Three (3) to Five (5) Acres 225.00
 - Over Five (5) Acres Constitutes a Landfill and a Special Use is required.
 - b. Commercial, Industrial and Public Land:

| | |
|--|--------|
| One (1) Acre or less | 131.25 |
| Over One (1) to Two (2) Acres | 187.50 |
| Over Two (2) to Three (3) Acres | 262.50 |
| Over Three (3) to Five (5) Acres | 300.00 |
| Over Five (5) Acres Constitutes a Landfill and a Special Use is required. | |
| F. Grading and Drainage Highway Review | |
| 1. Residential | |
| One (1) Acre or less | 37.50 |
| Over One (1) to Two (2) Acres | 75.00 |
| Over Two (2) to Three (3) Acres | 112.50 |
| Over Three (3) to Five (5) Acres | 187.50 |
| Over Five (5) Acres | 225.00 |
| 2. Commercial, Industrial and Public Land | |
| One (1) Acre or less | 225.00 |
| Over One (1) to Two (2) Acres | 300.00 |
| Over Two (2) to Three (3) Acres | 375.00 |
| Over Three (3) to Five (5) Acres | 450.00 |
| Over Five (5) Acres | 750.00 |

Where a plan submittal is rejected a third time, an additional fee of \$150.00 shall be paid before a fourth review is made. An additional fee of \$150.00 shall be charged for each subsequent submittal and review.

BUILDING PERMIT FEE SCHEDULE

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| 1-B. NEW CONSTRUCTION | |
| Minimum Fee of | 52.50 |
| or \$15.00 each for each 1,000 cubic fee of volume, or fractional part of space computed from the basement to the highest part of the roof including all projections. | |
| 2-B. PRIVATE GARAGES – SHEDS | |
| Minimum Fee is | 40.50 |
| or \$15.00 each for each 1,000 cubic fee of volume, or fractional part computed from the basement to the highest part of the roof including all projections. | |
| 3-B. ALTERATIONS, REMODELING AND MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS OF CONSTRUCTION | |
| Minimum Fee is | 52.50 |
| plus \$15.00 for each additional \$1,000.00 of estimated cost in excess of \$5,000.00. The estimated cost shall be based on the cost as shown on contracts signed between the owner and all contractors for the actual cost of the project. | |
| 4-B. DEMOLITION | |
| A. One (1) story or single family detached dwelling | 112.50 |
| B. Sheds or private garages | 75.00 |
| C. Other than above | 1.5% of cost of work, minimum |
| | 450.00 |
| 5-B. TRAILER CAMPS | |
| Minimum Fee is | 150.00 |
| plus \$37.50 for each trailer site. | |
| 6-B. AMUSEMENT PARKS | |
| A. Portable Devices – for each exhibit | 75.00 |
| B. Permanent Devices – for each exhibit | 300.00 |
| 7-B. CANOPIES | |
| Fee | 150.00 |

**Miami-Dade County, Florida
Department of Environmental Resources Management
Fee Schedule**

I.O. No.: 4-42
Ordered: 02/02/2010
Effective: 02/12/2010

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
IMPLEMENTING ORDER

FEE SCHEDULE FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

AUTHORITY:

The Miami-Dade County Home Rule Charter including among others Sections 1.01 and 2.02A, Chapter 24 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Chapter 403 of the Florida Statutes, and Chapter 62 of the Florida Administrative Code.

SUPERSEDES:

This Implementing Order supersedes IO 4-42, ordered September 18, 2009 and effective October 1, 2009.

POLICY:

This Implementing Order establishes a schedule of fees to cover the cost of processing permits, reviewing plans, and establishes procedures for providing other services.

PROCEDURE:

The Director of the Department of Environmental Resources Management shall be responsible for the collection of fees, accounting of revenue and delivery of services delineated in this Implementing Order.

FEE SCHEDULE:

The fee schedule adopted by this Implementing Order is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

This Implementing Order is hereby submitted to the Board of County Commissioners of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

County Manager

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT
DEPARTMENT

FEE SCHEDULE

Effective: February 12, 2010

**MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT**

INDEX

| <u>Plan Review Fees</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---|--------------------|
| I. Water Supply Facilities | 1-2 |
| II. DERM Permitted Sewage Treatment Facilities | 2-4 |
| III. Building Permit Processing | 4-5 |
| IV. Environmental Impact Reviews | 6 |
| V. Variances, Appeals, Extensions of Time | 6-8 |
| VI. Water Management and Natural Resources Permits | 8-17 |
| VII. Tree Removal Permits | 17-21 |
| VIII. Endangered Land Tax Exemption | 21 |
| IX. Industrial Waste Plan Reviews | 21-22 |
| X. Pollution Control Review Fees | 22-25 |
| XI. Solid Waste Site Plan and Construction Permits Review | 25-26 |
| XII. Air Pollution Facility Plan Reviews | 26-27 |
| XIII. Storage Tank Plan Review | 27 |
| XIV. Petroleum Plan Review | 27 |
| XV. Miscellaneous Reviews | 28-29 |
| XVI. After-the-Fact Plan Reviews | 29 |
| XVII. Revisions of Previously Approved Plans | 29 |
| XVIII. Revisions of Second Resubmittals | 29 |
| <u>Operating Permits</u> | |
| I. DERM Package Potable Water Treatment Plants | 30 |
| II. DERM Package Sewage Treatment Plants | 30 |
| III. Sewage Pump Stations | 30 |
| IV. Grease Traps | 30 |

| | | |
|---------|--|-------|
| V. | Private Gravity Sewers | 31 |
| VI. | Water Utilities | 31 |
| VII. | Sewer Utilities | 31 |
| VIII. | Resource Recovery and Management (Solid Waste) Disposal Facilities | 31-36 |
| IX. | Industrial Waste 2 - Reclaim Systems | 36 |
| X. | Industrial Waste 3 - Pretreatment | 36 |
| XI. | Industrial Waste Pretreatment - (IWP) | 37 |
| XII. | Industrial Waste 4 - Ground Discharges | 37 |
| XIII. | Hazardous Materials Storage and Transportation | 37 |
| XIV. | Industrial Waste 5 - Hazardous Materials/- Waste Users/Generators | 38-40 |
| XV. | Industrial Waste 6 - Non-Residential Uses in Wellfield Areas | 40 |
| XVI. | Risk Based Corrective Action (RBCA) Closure | 40 |
| XVII. | Agricultural Waste 2 | 41 |
| XVIII. | Agricultural Waste 3 | 41 |
| XIX. | Agricultural Waste 4 | 41 |
| XX. | Agricultural Waste 6 | 42 |
| XXI. | Air Emissions | 42-43 |
| XXII. | Enforcement of Covenant Provisions | 44 |
| XXIII. | Boat Docking & Boat Storage Facilities | 44 |
| XXIV. | After-the-Fact Permits | 44 |
| XXV. | Performance Based Operating Permit | 44-45 |
| XXVI. | Previous Year's Unpaid Permit Fees | 45 |
| XXVII. | Recovery of Administrative Costs | 45 |
| XXVIII. | One-Time Two-Year Permit Extension Pursuant to Ordinance No. 10-14 | 46 |

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| | Outside wellfield | \$530/Unit |
| 2. | Commercial/Industrial: Within wellfield | \$1,290 \$110 if use generates a liquid waste other than domestic sewage; + \$75 if use exceeds allowable sewage loading; + \$75 if property is within feasible distance to public sanitary sewer and/or a public water main |
| | Outside wellfield | \$1,150 \$110 if use generates a liquid waste other than domestic sewage; + \$75 if use exceeds allowable sewage loading; + \$75 if property is within a feasible distance to public sanitary sewer and/or a public water main |
| C. | Wellfield Protection Requirements (use of hazardous materials, generation of hazardous waste, sewage loading, drainage facilities) | \$1,290 |
| D. | Flood criteria and Other Finished Floor Elevation Requirements | |
| | 1. Residential | \$660 per unit |
| | 2. Commercial | \$1,150 per unit |
| E. | Sewage Treatment Plant | \$1,125 |
| F. | Exotic Species Variance | \$690 |
| G. | Variances or Extensions of Time Regarding Single Family Coastal Construction Requirements | \$320 |
| H. | Appeals of Decision of the Director | \$660 |
| I. | Continuances Requested by Applicant | \$265 |

| | | |
|----|---|-----------------------|
| J. | Appeals, Variances, or Extensions of Time Concerning a Miami-Dade County Stormwater Utility Bill, Residential Single Family | \$45 per home Unit |
| K. | Appeals, Variances, or Extensions of Time Concerning a Miami-Dade County Stormwater Utility Bill, per Residential Multi-family Property or Account: | |
| | 1. 2 - 10 units per property or account | \$70 |
| | 2. 11 - 50 units per property or account | \$200 |
| | 3. 51 or more units per property or account | \$400 |
| L. | Appeals, Variances, or Extensions of Time Concerning a Miami-Dade County Stormwater Utility Bill, per Nonresidential Property | \$265 |
| M. | Variances or extensions of time for compliance for existing single family residences or duplex residences that having abutting public water or sanitary sewer mains seek to continue to be served by an on-site drinking water supply well or a septic tank | \$200 |
| N. | Other Variances, or Extensions of Time | \$1,150 |

VI. Water Management and Natural Resources Permits

| | | |
|----|--|---|
| A. | Biological Assessment Requiring Field Inspection ² | |
| | 1. Single family | \$400 (for each non-contiguous parcel) |
| | 2. Multi-family, commercial or agricultural | \$795 (for each non-contiguous parcel) |
| | 3. Other (those requesting establishment of an additional \$690 wetlands management line) shall be added to the fees above | |
| | 4. Repeat Assessment Requiring Field Inspection | \$265 |
| B. | Class I Permit (coastal construction work in tidal waters and coastal wetlands) | Fee based on estimated and cost of project for which permit is required |

² If recipient of a biological assessment applies for Class I or Class IV Permit and the assessment remains valid, a \$265 credit for single family application and \$530 credit for multi-family application shall be given.

| <u>1. Construction Cost</u> | <u>Application Fee</u> | <u>Permitting Fee¹</u> |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| \$0-\$2,499 | \$250 | \$205 Short Form \$375 Standard Form |
| \$2,500 - \$4,999 | \$425 | \$205 Short Form or \$375 Standard Form |
| \$5,000 - \$9,999 | \$470 | \$375 Short Form or \$555 Standard Form |
| \$10,000 - \$19,999 | \$470 plus \$23 for each thousand dollars of con- struction cost above \$10,000 | \$470 Short Form or \$625 Standard Form plus \$25 for each thousand dollars of construction cost above \$10,000 |
| \$20,000 - \$74,999 | \$470 plus \$25 for each thousand dollars of con- struction cost above \$10,000 | \$470 Short Form or \$625 Standard Form plus \$25 for each thousand dollars of construction cost above \$10,000 |
| \$75,000 - \$1,000,000 | \$470 plus \$25 for each thousand dollars of con- struction cost above \$10,000 | \$470 Short Form or \$625 Standard Form plus \$28 for each thousand dollars of dollars of construction cost above \$10,000 |
| Over \$1,000,000 | \$28,750 | \$28,750 |

In the event that short form is forwarded to the County Commission for approval, an additional fee of \$175 shall be collected from the applicant.

If after County Commission approval is given, the applicant modifies his project or proposes additional work beyond the original boundaries or scope of the project, an additional application fee for the new work shall be assessed according to the Class I Permit fee schedule above.

Covenant Recording \$200 plus actual recording fee

2. Review of plans for exempt projects

- | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| a. Replacement of seawall caps | \$75 |
| b. Replacement of tie rods | \$75 |
| c. Riprap placement inspection | \$170 |

¹ Fees may vary slightly if, prior to issuance, the estimated costs of the project have changed from the estimate made when the permit application was submitted.

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| 3. Permit modification review | | \$10% of initial fee or \$200, whichever is greater |
| 4. Permit extension review | 25% of permit fee or \$1,000 whichever is less | |
| 5. Permit transfer review | | \$175 |
| 6. Variance for prohibited fixed and floating structures | | \$1,240 |

C. Mangrove Trimming

| <u>Size of Area To be Trimmed</u> | <u>Application Fee</u> | <u>Permit Fee</u> |
|--|--|---|
| Less than 1000 sq. ft. | \$225 | \$225 Short Form or \$370 Standard Form |
| 1000 - 5000 sq. ft. | \$530 | \$530 Short Form or \$675 Standard Form |
| 5001- 10,000 sq. ft. | \$1,280 | \$1,280 Short Form or \$1,425 Standard Form |
| 10,001 - 100,000 sq. ft. | \$1,280 plus \$225 for each additional 10,000 sq. ft. | \$1,280 Short Form or \$1,425 Standard Form plus \$225 for each additional 10,000 sq. ft. |
| Over 100,000 sq. ft. | \$3,305 | \$3,305 Short Form or \$3,435 Standard Form |
| Certification of Professional Mangrove Trimmers by Miami-Dade County biennially | | \$305 |
| Registration of Professional Mangrove Trimmers not Certified by Miami-Dade County annually | | \$760 |

- D. 1. Class II (discharge to surface water) & Class III (work in canal right-of-way) Class VI surface water management for projects zoned other than residential)

Permit Application Fee -
Estimated cost of project construction

| | |
|-----------------|-------|
| \$0 - \$2,499 | \$215 |
| \$2,500 or more | \$490 |

Permit Fee -

Estimated cost of project construction

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| \$0 - \$1,000 | \$330 |
| \$1,001- \$50,000 | \$355 |
| | plus \$55 per \$1,000 of estimated cost in excess of \$1,000 |

| | |
|---|---------|
| Over \$50,000 | \$2,500 |
| plus \$35 per \$1,000 of estimated cost in excess of \$50,000 | |

2. Initial environmental assessment \$375 plus \$30 per acre of project area
3. Special project review/drainage plan evaluation (qualitative & quantitative Assessment) \$400 plus \$40 per acre of project area
4. Environmental review of commercial, industrial, or residential drainage plans
 - a. Minor review \$140
 - b. Major review \$210
5. Drainage well permit review \$200/well
6. Surface Water Management/ Environmental Resources Permit Per South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) Fee Schedule Plus \$80 for Plan Review
7. Permit extension review (Class II, III, and VI) 25% of permit fee or \$1,000, whichever is less
8. Permit Transfer (Class II, III, & VI) \$175
9. Cut and Fill permit application \$490
10. Permit modification review 10% of combined permits and application fee or \$200, whichever is greater (Class II, III, & VI)
11. Amount of Contribution to the Miami-Dade County Stormwater Compensation Trust Fund as an alternative means of providing equivalent stormwater management within the same basin in lieu of implementing the Cut and Fill criteria on properties located within the North Trail Basin, Bird Drive Basin and Basin B, all located in Miami-Dade County.

The owner of a parcel of land located in a special drainage basin, defined as the Bird Drive Basin, North Trail Basin, or Basin B, all located within Miami-Dade County, and which **1.** has continuously contained four and one-half (4.5) or less acres of gross area since September 30, 1997; and **2.** is subject to the Cut and Fill criteria set forth in Sections 24-58.1, 24-58.2 and 24-58.3 of the Code of Miami-Dade County; may to the Miami-Dade County Stormwater Compensation Trust Fund as an alternative means of providing equivalent stormwater management within the same basin in lieu of implementing the Cut and Fill criteria on the parcel of land itself.

The above-referenced contribution by the property owner shall be computed according to the following formula.

a. State Certified Property Appraised value as determined within the time period commencing one hundred and twenty (120) days prior to the date of contribution.

\$ _____

b. Percent to be paid into the Stormwater Compensation Trust Fund

* _____ %

Total to be paid: (a) x (b) =

\$ _____

*The following are the percentages applicable to each basin:

| Basin | % Dry Retention |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| North Trail Basin | 33.25 |
| Bird Drive Basin | 38.0 |
| Basin B | 39.0 |

The above percentages may be modified depending upon site-specific land use and topographical conditions applicable to each project, together with an engineering report in support of the percentage modification. Any proposed modifications to the above-referenced percentages shall be substantiated by an engineering report submitted to DERM by the applicant. The proposed percentages, when applied in the above formula, shall provide stormwater management equivalency to the stormwater management set forth in the Cut and Fill criteria established for the specific basin.

DERM shall determine if the proposed modification meets the stormwater management equivalency aforesaid based upon the engineering report prepared by a registered professional engineer

licensed in the State of Florida. The burden shall be upon the applicant to prove that the proposed modification meets the aforesaid stormwater management equivalency standard.

No plat or waiver of plat shall be approved until the amount of contribution computed pursuant to the formula above has been paid to Miami-Dade County, and said fee shall be based upon the current appraised value as determined by a State Certified Property Appraiser within the time period commencing one hundred and twenty (120) days prior to the date of contribution.

E. Class IV Permit (work in freshwater wetlands)

1. Filling

| | <u>Size</u> | <u>Application Fee</u> | <u>Permit Fee</u> |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a. Short Form | <0.5 acres | \$490 | \$490 |
| | 0.5-1.5 acres | \$610 | \$530 |
| | >1.5-15.0 acres | \$200/acre (\$715 min.) | \$150/acre (\$635 min.) |
| In Environmental Protection Areas | <1.0 acres | \$2,250 | \$675 |
| b. Standard Form | >10 acres | \$ 225 /acre to max. of \$9,120 | \$185 /acre to max of \$7,600 |
| | In Environmental Protection Areas | \$225/acre (\$1,140 min.) | \$185/acre (\$1,150 min.) |

2. Rockmining/lake excavations (for each individual lake)

| | <u>Size</u> | <u>Application Fee</u> | <u>Permit Fee</u> |
|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| a. Short Form | 0.5 acre or less | \$455 | \$455 |
| | 0.6 - 5 acres | \$610 | \$490 |
| | 5.1 - 50.0 acres | \$530 | \$490 |
| | + \$115 /acre >5 acres | | + \$150 /acre to max. of \$7,600 |
| | 50.1 - 160 acres | \$5,700 + \$30 /acre > 50 acres | \$7,600 |
| | 161.1 - 320 acres | \$9,050 + \$16 /acre >160 acres | \$7,600 |
| | >320 acres | \$11,575 | \$7,600 |

| | | | |
|------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| b. Standard Form | 0.5 acre or less | \$610 | \$455 |
| | 0.6 - 5 acres | \$760 | \$490 |
| | 5.1 - 50.0 Acres | \$760 | \$490 |
| | | + \$145 /acre >5 acres | + \$150 /acre to max. of \$7,600 |
| | 50.1 - 160 acres | \$ 7,215 + \$30 /acre >50 acres | \$7,600 |
| | 161.1 - 320 acres | \$10,600 + \$16 /acre >160 acres | \$7,600 |
| | >320 acres | \$13,100 | \$7,600 |

3. Lake excavations in conjunction with filling³

| | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 0.5 acres or less | \$530 | \$530 |
| | More than 0.5 - 1.5 acres | \$910 | \$610 |
| | More than 1.5 - 5.0 acres | \$1,325 | \$760 |
| | Over 5.0 acres | \$265 /acre to max. of \$9,125 | \$190 /acre to max. of \$7,600 |

4. Rockplowing, Agriculture

| | <u>Size</u> | <u>Application Fee</u> | <u>Permit Fee</u> |
|------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| a. Short Form | 1 acre or less | \$455 | \$455 |
| | 1.1-5 acres | \$565 | \$530 |
| | Over 5 acres | \$610 + \$150 per acre | \$530 + \$115 per acre |
| b. Standard Form | | \$190 /acre to max. of \$8,375 | \$150 /acre max. of \$6,085 |

5. Roads

| | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| a. 1 or 2 lanes (natural grade) | \$375 + \$1.15 /ft. to max. of \$4,570 | \$375 + \$1.15 /ft. to max. of \$3,035 |
| b. 1 or 2 lanes (above) | \$375 | \$375 |

³ For the purpose of this fee schedule, the maximum size of any individual lake or lake excavation and associated filling is 640 acres. Any lake excavation or lake and associated filling beyond 640 acres will be assessed as a separate application and permit fee pursuant to the above schedule.

| | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| natural grade) | + 1.30 /ft. to max. of \$4,570 | + \$1.30 /ft. to max. of \$4,570 |
| c. Greater than 2 lanes | \$375 +\$1.60 /ft. to max. of \$6,875 | \$375 + \$1.60 /ft. to max. of \$6,875 |

6. Permit renewal, minor revision, or transfer:

- a. Permit modification review 10% of combined permits and application fee or \$200, whichever is greater.
- b. Permit extension review 25% of permit fee or \$1,000, whichever is less.
- c. Permit transfer review \$175

7. Covenant Recording \$200 plus actual recording fee

8. Other work

Fee shall be based upon cost of construction as per Class I Permit fee schedule.

9. Work in wetland basins:
Mitigation schedule for
Bird Drive and North Trail Basins

| <u>Mitigation Contribution</u> | |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Per acre of impact | |
| 1995 - | \$28,480 |
| 1996 - | \$29,704 |
| 1997 - | \$30,981 |
| 1998 - | \$32,361 |
| 1999 - | \$33,741 |
| 2008 - | \$45,984 |
| 2009 - | \$47,823 |
| 2010 - | \$49,736 |
| 2011 - | \$51,725 |
| 2012 - | \$53,794 |

F. Application for Processing Water Control Property Rights

| | <u>Fee</u> |
|---|---|
| 1. Release of canal reservation | \$690 + \$125 per each 100 ft. of canal frontage |
| 2. Quit claim of easement and all other changes | \$850 per case |

| | | |
|----|---|---|
| 3. | Processing and issuance of letter of agreement for use of canal reservations and easements | \$350 + \$70 per each 330 ft. of canal frontage |
| 4. | Review of permits for fences within canal reservations and easements | \$80 |
| G. | De-watering Permit | |
| | 6 days or less | \$520 |
| | 7 to 30 days | \$635 |
| | 31 days to 90 days | \$980 |
| H. | Processing of County and Federal Flood Criteria | |
| 1. | Waiver of Miami-Dade County flood criteria (less than 2 acres; Plan Review Section) | |
| a. | Residential | \$300 |
| b. | Commercial | \$475 |
| 2. | Waiver of Miami-Dade County flood criteria (2 acres or more; Water Control Section) | |
| a. | Residential | \$260 plus \$50/acre |
| b. | Commercial/Industrial | \$460 plus \$50/acre |
| 3. | Grading Review | |
| a. | Residential per building site | \$45 |
| 4. | Review of structures in Flood Zone X with finished floor below grade | \$260 |
| 5. | Flood proofing: | |
| a. | General Reviews | \$500 |
| b. | Elevator Pit Reviews | \$220 |
| 6. | Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) review single structure | \$260 + \$15 for each additional lot |
| 7. | Conditional LOMR review | |
| a. | Multiple lots - up to 5 lots | \$260 |
| b. | Over 5 lots | \$260 + \$15 for each additional lot |

| | | |
|-----|---|------------|
| 8. | 100-year calculation | \$105 |
| 9. | 100-year calculation with major review | \$200 |
| 10. | Plan review establishing substantial improvement | |
| | a. Residential | \$105 |
| | b. Commercial | \$190 |
| 11. | Flood zone inquiry | \$65 |
| 12. | Processing of elevation certificate | \$60 |
| 13. | Processing of Tie Beam elevation certificate | \$50 |
| 14. | Binding letter for setting elevation requirements | |
| | a. Minor plan review | \$100 |
| | b. Major plan review | \$200 |
| 15. | Floodplain Construction Inspection | |
| | a. Re-inspection, after 1 st failed inspection | \$65 |
| | b. Substantial Damages/Improvement inspection | \$65 |
| | c. Inspection in lieu of elevation certificate (as allowed) | \$150 |
| | d. Break Away Wall inspection (High Hazard) | \$275 |
| | e. Tie Beam Inspection (High Hazard) | \$130 |
| | f. Equipment Inspection (High Hazard) | \$240 |
| | g. Foundation inspection (High Hazard) | \$200 |
| | h. Initial Foundation Inspection | \$210 |
| I. | Federal Flood Criteria (administrative variances) | |
| | 1. Residential | \$460/unit |
| | 2. Commercial | \$920/unit |
| J. | Processing of Public Notices and Advertisements | \$125/ad |

VII. Tree Removal Permits

| | | |
|----|---|---|
| A. | Applications Not Within Natural Forest Communities Zoning | Fee |
| | 1. Residential | \$63 application fee plus \$12 per tree up to a max. of \$320 |

**Harris County, Texas
Architecture & Engineering Division
Fee Schedule**

HARRIS COUNTY PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE DEPARTMENT
 ARCHITECTURE & ENGINEERING DIVISION - PERMIT OFFICE
 10555 Northwest Freeway, Suite 120
 Houston, Texas 77092-8620
 (713) 956-3000

PERMIT FEE SCHEDULE EFFECTIVE MARCH 1, 2007
 EXHIBIT B

| PERMIT OR ACTIVITY | PERMIT FEE | INSPECTION FEE |
|--|--|---|
| Development Residential – Class I (single family dwellings, manufactured homes, etc.) | \$100 | None |
| Development Residential – Class II | \$250 | Includes one \$20 Inspection Fee |
| Development Commercial – Class I | \$.06 per square foot \$10.00 Minimum | None |
| Development Commercial – Class II | \$.12 per square foot \$20.00 Minimum | Requires one \$20 Inspection Fee |
| Manufactured Home Park – Class I | \$6 per space | None |
| Manufactured Home Park – Class II | \$12 per space | Requires one \$20 Inspection Fee |
| Earthen Fill on Subdivision Lots – Class I | \$6 per lot | None |
| Earthen Fill on Subdivision Lots – Class II | \$12 per lot | Requires one \$20 Inspection Fee |
| Earthen Fill on Acreage Tracts - Class I | \$12 per acre | None |
| Earthen Fill on Acreage Tracts - Class II | \$24 per acre | Requires one \$20 Inspection Fee |
| All Other Development Permits (paving, storage sheds, pools, etc.) | Class I \$5 Per \$10,000 Value Class II \$10 Per \$10,000 Value | MIN-\$10 MAX-\$1,000 MIN-\$20 MAX-\$3,000 |
| Driveway (Residential) - existing culvert | \$80 | Includes one \$20 Inspection Fee |
| Driveway (Residential) - new culvert | \$80 | Includes one \$20 Inspection Fee |
| Driveway (Residential) - curb cut | \$100 | Includes two \$20 Inspection Fees |
| Driveway (Commercial) - existing culvert or curb cut | \$130 | Includes two \$20 Inspection Fees |
| Driveway (Commercial) - without existing culvert | \$200 | Includes two \$20 Inspection Fees |
| On-site Sewage Facility (Residential) | \$260 (Does not include \$16 affidavit filing fee) | Includes two \$50 Inspection Fees (minimum) and \$10 state surcharge |
| On-site Sewage Facility (Commercial) | \$410.00 (Does not include \$16 affidavit filing fee) | Includes two \$50 Inspection Fees (minimum) and \$10 state surcharge |
| Holding Tank/Port-O-Can | \$210 Minimum | Includes one \$50.00 Inspection Fee (Minimum) And \$10.00 State Surcharge |
| Toll Road Signs | \$140 | Includes one \$20 Inspection Fee |
| Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ) Signs | \$110 | Includes two \$30 Inspection Fees |
| PERMIT OR SERVICES | | COST |
| Returned Check Fee | | \$30.00 |
| Affidavit Filing Fee | | \$16.00 |
| OSSF Maintenance Reports | | \$10.00 |
| INSPECTIONS/RE-INSPECTIONS | | |
| Subdivision Re-inspection Fee | | \$1,000.00 each |
| Driveway | | \$20.00 each |
| Sewage Facility | | \$50.00 each |
| Sign (ETJ) | | \$30.00 each |
| Sidewalk | | \$25.00 each |
| Any Other Inspections Requiring a Visit to Site | | \$20.00 each |

| PERMIT OR ACTIVITY | PERMIT FEE | INSPECTION FEE |
|--|---|----------------|
| FIRE CODE FEES AND INSPECTIONS | | |
| Public Building or Commercial Establishment Permit (Includes First Inspection & First Re-inspection) (CFC) | The fee is based on the valuation of the construction project and is charged per building. For projects valued at: \$0 thru \$200,000 \$500.00 \$200,001 thru \$1,000,000 \$975.00 \$1,000,001 thru \$2,500,000 \$1250.00 \$2,500,001 thru \$5,000,000 \$1875.00 Over \$5,000,000 \$2500.00 New Commercial Construction will be calculated at construction cost or \$75.00 per square foot whichever is greater. | |
| Commercial Express Fire Code Certificate of Compliance, (CNFCCC) | \$260.00 | |
| Fire Inspection 3 rd Visit (CF2) (Fee is charged after 2 nd failure) | \$260.00 | |
| FIRE PROTECTION INSPECTION FEES | | |
| Fixed Pipe System | \$200.00 Per kitchen | |
| Fire Alarm System | The fee is based on the number of initiating devices included in the system. For systems with 200 or fewer initiating devices the fee is \$200.00 For systems with 201 or more initiating devices the fee is \$200.00 plus \$0.50 for each device in excess of 200. The maximum fee is \$2000.00 | |
| Fire Suppression System | For systems with 200 or fewer sprinkler heads the fee is \$200.00. For systems with 200 or more sprinkler heads the fee is \$200.00 plus \$.50 for each head in excess of 200. The maximum fee is \$2000.00 | |
| Fire Alarm and Suppression System Remodels Additions and Relocations | \$100.00 plus \$0.50 per device. The maximum fee is \$2000.00. | |
| Smoke Control, Elevator Recall, Door access control, Standpipes, Dry Pipe Hose Connections and Wall hydrants | \$200.00 | |
| Sprinkler Alarm Monitoring Station | \$50.00 | |
| COMPLIANCE FEES | | |
| Certificate of Non-Compliance (Floodplain) | \$100.00 | |
| Certificate of Compliance (Floodplain) | \$20.00 | |
| Inspection of Property for Compliance | \$50.00 | |
| Other charges incurred by the County Engineer in enforcing the Flood Plain Management Regulations | \$0.405 Per Mile \$45.00 per hour | |
| STORM WATER QUALITY FEES | | |
| Storm Water Quality Permit | \$300.00 | |
| Storm Water Quality Permit Renewal | \$150.00 | |
| Storm Water Quality Transfer of Permit | \$300.00 | |
| Re-inspection Fee | \$50.00 | |
| ADMINISTRATIVE FEES | | |
| Plats | \$600.00 | |
| Residential Lots (each) | \$10.00 | |
| Reserves (per 100 feet of road frontage) | \$20.00 | |
| NOTES | | |
| <p><i>All permit fees are to be doubled for all construction starting prior to obtaining a permit including minimum and maximum fees. Charge per square foot shall also include the floors of attached and detached garages and all building floors of multiple story buildings. Permit fees are not refundable. Inspection fees are charged for each visit to the jobsite.</i></p> | | |

**City of Henderson, Nevada
Department of Public Works
Fee Schedule**

TABLE J Public Works Permits, Study and Review fees

| Fee or Service Name / Description | Fee |
|---|-------------|
| EXCAVATION | |
| Excavation Permit <60' | \$ 325.00 |
| Excavation Permit >60' | \$ 350.00 |
| HYDROLOGY | |
| Hydrology Study <5Ac | \$ 750.00 |
| Hydrology Study 5-320 Ac | \$ 2,000.00 |
| Hydrology Study 320-2560 Ac | \$ 4,000.00 |
| Hydrology Study 2560+ Ac | \$ 6,000.00 |
| Hydrology Study 3rd+Reviews | \$ 400.00 |
| Hydrology Study Revision | \$ 400.00 |
| TRAFFIC | |
| Traffic Study <5 Ac | \$ 500.00 |
| Traffic Study 5-40 Ac | \$ 1,000.00 |
| Traffic Study 40-320 Ac | \$ 2,000.00 |
| Traffic Study 320-2560 Ac | \$ 4,000.00 |
| Traffic Study 2560+Ac | \$ 6,000.00 |
| Traffic Study 3rd+ Reviews | \$ 250.00 |
| Traffic Study Update < 5Ac | \$ 100.00 |
| Traffic Study Update >5Ac | \$ 250.00 |
| Barricade Plans | \$ 50.00 |
| CIVIL IMPROVEMENT | |
| Plan Check (Total Estimated Construction Cost)* | 2.25% |
| Plan Reproduction (per sheet) | \$ 15.00 |
| Improvement Agreement | \$ 50.00 |
| Plan Revision | \$ 380.00 |
| Inspection 1st \$25,000 (Total Estimated Construction Cost)* | 7.50% |
| Inspection next \$75,000 (Total Estimated Construction Cost)* | 6.50% |
| Inspection over \$100,000 (Total Estimated Construction Cost)* | 4.30% |
| Bond Replacement | \$ 500.00 |
| Bond Reduction | \$ 550.00 |
| Bond Maintenance/Release | \$ 100.00 |
| Driveway/Sidewalk Permit | \$ 95.00 |
| BUILDING PERMIT SURCHARGE | |
| Building Permit Surcharge (Percent of Total Building Plan Check and Permit Fees Assessed) | 1.0% |

* See Bond Calculation Form (Table J)

**Town of Marana, Arizona
Fee Schedule**

TOWN OF MARANA
 COMPREHENSIVE FEE SCHEDULE
 EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 2, 2010

| Fee/Description | Amount | Unit of Measure | Notes |
|---|------------|------------------|--|
| DEVELOPMENT SERVICES | | | |
| Planning | | | |
| Annexation; Processing Fee | \$500.00 | Per annexation | Applies to annexations not initiated by the Town. |
| General Plan Map Major Amendment; Base Fee | \$2,600.00 | Per amendment | |
| General Plan Map Major Amendment; Acreage Fee | \$40.00 | Per acre | |
| General Plan Map Minor Amendment; Base Fee | \$2,000.00 | Per amendment | |
| General Plan Map Minor Amendment; Acreage Fee | \$20.00 | Per acre | |
| Specific Plan; Residential Land up to 4.0 residences per acre; Base fee | \$1,400.00 | Per submittal | Maximum fee not to exceed \$35,000.00. |
| Specific Plan; Residential Land up to 4.0 residences per acre; Acreage fee (if over five acres) | \$50.00 | Per acre | Maximum fee not to exceed \$35,000.00. |
| Specific Plan; Residential Land 4.01 to 20 residences per acre; Base fee | \$2,500.00 | Per submittal | Maximum fee not to exceed \$35,000.00. |
| Specific Plan; Residential Land 4.01 to 20 residences per acre; Acreage fee (if over five acres) | \$70.00 | Per acre | Maximum fee not to exceed \$35,000.00. |
| Specific Plan; Residential Land 20.01 residences per acre and above and Commercial and Industrial Land Uses; Base fee | \$2,700.00 | Per submittal | Maximum fee not to exceed \$35,000.00. |
| Specific Plan; Residential Land 20.01 residences per acre and above and Commercial and Industrial Land Uses; Acreage fee (if over five acres) | \$70.00 | Per acre | Maximum fee not to exceed \$35,000.00. |
| Text Amendment to Adopted Specific Plan | \$1,500.00 | Per submittal | Maximum fee not to exceed \$35,000.00. |
| Land Use Designation Amendment to Specific Plan | See note | Per submittal | Fee is is same as total application fee for specific plan as calculated. |
| Significant Land Use Change; Up to 1.00 Acre | \$550.00 | Per submittal | |
| Significant Land Use Change; 1.01 Acres to 10.00 Acres | \$1,250.00 | Per submittal | |
| Significant Land Use Change; Over 10.01 Acres | \$1,750.00 | Per submittal | |
| Rezoning; To rezone property from any zone to AG, RD-180, R-144, R-80, R-36, R-20, and R-16; Not more than five acres | \$850.00 | Per submittal | |
| Rezoning; To rezone property from any zone to AG, RD-180, R-144, R-80, R-36, R-20, and R-16; More than five and up to ten acres | \$1,500.00 | Per submittal | |
| Rezoning; To rezone property from any zone to AG, RD-180, R-144, R-80, R-36, R-20, and R-16; More than ten acres; Base fee | \$2,500.00 | Per submittal | Maximum fee not to exceed \$10,000.00. |
| Rezoning; To rezone property from any zone to AG, RD-180, R-144, R-80, R-36, R-20, and R-16; More than ten acres; Acreage Fee | \$100.00 | Per acre | Maximum fee not to exceed \$10,000.00. |
| Rezoning; To rezone property from any zone to AG, RD-180, R-144, R-80, R-36, R-20, and R-16; Site analysis review fee | \$1,200.00 | Per submittal | Maximum fee not to exceed \$10,000.00. |
| Rezoning; To rezone property from any zone to AG, RD-180, R-144, R-80, R-36, R-20, and R-16; Re-submittal Fee | See note | Per re-submittal | Fee is 50% of original fee for applicable items. |
| Rezoning; To rezone property from any zone to R-12, R-10, R-8, R-7, R-6, MH, MHS, and RV; Not more than five acres | \$1,800.00 | Per submittal | |

TOWN OF MARANA
 COMPREHENSIVE FEE SCHEDULE
 EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 2, 2010

| | | | |
|--|------------|---------------|---|
| Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR); Review Fee | \$70.00 | Per hour | Minimum fee is \$350. Cost includes subsequent Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) review. |
| Letter of Map Revision (LOMR); Review Fee | \$70.00 | Per hour | Minimum fee is \$280.00. |
| Review of Geotechnical Report; Hourly Rate | \$70.00 | Per hour | Minimum fee is \$280.00. |
| Review of Supplemental Calculations other than Drainage or Geotechnical Reports; Hourly rate | \$70.00 | Per hour | Minimum fee is \$280.00. |
| Subdivision Design Exception Report (if not part of a specific plan); Base fee | \$300.00 | Per report | |
| Subdivision Design Exception Report (if not part of a specific plan); hearing fee | \$200.00 | Per hearing | |
| Review of Grading Plans; 0-5 acres, base fee | \$770.00 | Per submittal | |
| Review of Grading Plans; 0-5 acres; acreage fee | \$25.00 | Per acre | |
| Review of Grading Plans; 5.01-20 acres; base fee | \$800.00 | Per submittal | |
| Review of Grading Plans; 5.01-20 acres; acreage fee | \$20.00 | Per acre | |
| Review of Grading Plans; 20.01-40 acres; base fee | \$900.00 | Per submittal | |
| Review of Grading Plans; 20.01-40 acres; acreage fee | \$15.00 | Per acre | |
| Review of Grading Plans; 40.01-100 acres; base fee | \$1,010.00 | Per submittal | |
| Review of Grading Plans; 40.01-100 acres; acreage fee | \$13.00 | Per acre | |
| Review of Grading Plans; Over 100 acres; base fee | \$1,340.00 | Per submittal | |
| Review of Grading Plans; Over 100 acres; acreage fee | \$10.00 | Per acre | |
| Review of Construction Plans; Base fee | \$400.00 | Per submittal | |
| Review of Construction Plans; sheet fee | \$95.00 | Per sheet | |
| Bridge Plan Review; Total Valuation Fee of \$1.00 to \$500.99 | \$15.00 | Per submittal | |
| Bridge Plan Review; Total Valuation Fee of \$501.00 to \$2,000.99 | See note | Per submittal | Fee is \$15.00 for the first \$500.00 plus \$2.00 for each additional \$100.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$2,000.00. |
| Bridge Plan Review; Total Valuation Fee of \$2,001.00 to \$25,000.99 | See note | Per submittal | Fee is \$45.00 for the first \$2000.00 plus \$9.00 for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$25,000.00. |
| Bridge Plan Review; Total Valuation Fee of \$25,001.00 to \$50,000.99 | See note | Per submittal | Fee is \$252.00 for the first \$25,000.00 plus \$6.50 for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$50,000.00. |

TOWN OF MARANA
 COMPREHENSIVE FEE SCHEDULE
 EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 2, 2010

| | | | |
|---|----------|----------------|--|
| Bridge Plan Review; Total Valuation Fee of \$50,001.00 to \$100,000.99 | See note | Per submittal | Fee is \$414.50 for the first \$50,000 plus \$4.50 for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$100,000.00. |
| Bridge Plan Review; Total Valuation Fee of \$100,001.00 to \$500,000.99 | See note | Per submittal | Fee is \$639.50 for the first \$100,000.00 plus \$3.50 for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$500,000.00. |
| Bridge Plan Review; Total Valuation Fee of \$500,001.00 to \$1,000,000.99 | See note | Per submittal | Fee is \$2039.50 for the first \$500,000.00 plus \$3.00 for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$1,000,000.00. |
| Bridge Plan Review; Total Valuation Fee of \$1,000,001.00 and up | See note | Per submittal | Fee is \$3,539.50 for the first \$1,000,000.00 plus \$2.00 for each additional \$1,000,000.00 or fraction thereof. |
| Golf Course Plan; Base fee | \$300.00 | Per submittal | |
| Golf Course Plan; Sheet Fee | \$25.00 | Per plan sheet | |
| Public/Semi-Public Water System Plan Review; Base fee | \$400.00 | Per submittal | |
| Public/Semi-Public Water System Plan Review; Hourly review rate | \$95.00 | Per sheet | Excluding cover sheet, except in case of one-sheet plans. |
| Public/Semi-Public Pool Plan Review; Base fee | \$200.00 | Per submittal | |
| Public/Semi-Public Pool Plan Review; Hourly review rate | \$70.00 | Per hour | Three-hour minimum review time. |
| Review of Plans for Wastewater Treatment Facilities; Base fee | \$500.00 | Per submittal | |
| Review of Plans for Wastewater Treatment Facilities; Sheet fee | \$95.00 | Per sheet | Excluding cover sheet. |
| Review of Individual Septic System Plans; Base fee | \$100.00 | Per submittal | |
| Review of Individual Septic System Plans; Sheet fee | \$85.00 | Per sheet | |
| Review of Individual Septic System Plans; Monitor Percolation Testing | \$70.00 | Per hour | |
| Review of Individual Septic System Plans; Each Additional Inspection | \$70.00 | Per hour | |
| Review of Individual Septic System Plans; Certification | \$25.00 | Per submittal | |
| Assurances; Substitute Assurance | \$300.00 | Per submittal | Initial assurance agreement included in final plat costs. |
| Assurances; Partial Release of Assurance | \$20.00 | Per submittal | |

TOWN OF MARANA
 COMPREHENSIVE FEE SCHEDULE
 EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 2, 2010

| | | | |
|---|----------|---------------|--|
| Type 1 Grading Permit; Base fee | \$100.00 | Per submittal | |
| Type 1 Grading Permit; Plan Check Fee | \$175.00 | Per submittal | |
| Type 1 Grading Permit; Construction Cost Fee | See note | Per submittal | Fee is the greater of \$300.00 or 2.0% of the construction cost. |
| Type 1 Grading Permit; Violation Fee | See note | Per violation | Fee is double the permit fee. |
| Type 2 Grading Permit; Base fee | \$100.00 | Per submittal | |
| Type 2 Grading Permit; Construction Cost Fee; Subdivisions | See note | Per submittal | Fee is the greater of \$500.00 or 1% of the construction costs or 3.5% of the construction cost for the Town acting as Engineer of Record. |
| Type 2 Grading Permit; Construction Cost Fee; Commercial Developments | See note | Per submittal | Fee is the greater of \$800.00 or 1% of the construction costs or 3.5% of the construction cost for the Town acting as Engineer of Record. Includes multi-family housing developments. |
| Type 2 Grading Permit; At-Risk Grading Fee | \$500.00 | Per submittal | |
| Type 2 Grading Permit; Violation Fee | See note | Per violation | Fee is double the permit fee. |
| Blasting Permit | \$50.00 | Per permit | Fee is in addition to Type 2 Grading Permit Fee. |
| Form B Permit; Base Fee | \$100.00 | Per submittal | |
| Form B Permit; Construction Cost Fee | See note | Per submittal | Fee is the greater of \$500.00 or 1.0% of the construction costs or 4.5% of the construction cost for the Town acting as Engineer of Record. |
| Form B Permit; Violation Fee | See note | Per violation | Fee is double the permit fee. |
| Form A Permit- Major Utilities; Base Fee | \$100.00 | Per submittal | |
| Form A Permit - Major Utilities; Construction Cost Fee | See note | Per submittal | Fee is the greater of \$500.00 or 1.0% of the construction costs or 2.5% of the construction cost for the Town acting as Engineer of Record. |
| Form A Permit - Major Utilities; Violation Fee | See note | Per violation | Fee is double the permit fee. |
| Floodplain; Floodplain Hazard Sheet | \$5.00 | Per report | |

TOWN OF MARANA
 COMPREHENSIVE FEE SCHEDULE
 EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 2, 2010

| | | | |
|--|------------|---------------|---|
| Floodplain; Floodplain Use Permit | \$100.00 | Per submittal | |
| Floodplain; Floodplain Variance | \$1,000.00 | Per submittal | |
| Engineering - Traffic | | | |
| Review of Traffic Impact Study; Hourly Rate | \$70.00 | Per hour | Minimum fee is \$560.00. |
| Review of Traffic Impact Statement; Hourly Rate | \$70.00 | Per hour | Minimum fee is \$280.00. |
| Special Event Traffic Control Review | \$30.00 | Per submittal | Applies to events not organized by the Town. |
| Engineering - Environmental | | | |
| Archaeology Report; Monitoring Report Review | \$140.00 | Per submittal | Fee is based on estimated review time. Actual fees may be higher at rate of \$70.00 per hour. |
| Archaeology Report; Results of Monitoring Review | \$70.00 | Per submittal | Fee is based on estimated review time. Actual fees may be higher at rate of \$70.00 per hour. |
| Archaeology Report; Class III Review | \$70.00 | Per submittal | Fee is based on estimated review time. Actual fees may be higher at rate of \$70.00 per hour. |
| Archaeology Report; Testing Plan Review | \$280.00 | Per submittal | Fee is based on estimated review time. Actual fees may be higher at rate of \$70.00 per hour. |
| Archaeology Report; Results of Testing Review | \$140.00 | Per submittal | Fee is based on estimated review time. Actual fees may be higher at rate of \$70.00 per hour. |
| Archaeology Report; Data Recovery Plan Review | \$280.00 | Per submittal | Fee is based on estimated review time. Actual fees may be higher at rate of \$70.00 per hour. |
| Archaeology Report; Results of Data Recovery | \$140.00 | Per submittal | Fee is based on estimated review time. Actual fees may be higher at rate of \$70.00 per hour. |

**City of Las Vegas, Nevada
Planning & Development Department
Fee Schedule**



PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

FEE SCHEDULE

TITLE 18 (SUBDIVISIONS AND RELATED)

| | |
|--|--|
| Tentative Map | \$750 |
| Final Map | \$750 |
| Parcel Map | \$300 |
| Extension of Time (Subdivision Map, LVMC 18.08.170 and 18.10.020) | \$300 |
| Vacation Petition | \$500, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Extension of Time (Vacation) | \$300 |
| Boundary Line Adjustment | \$300 |
| Waiver Application (non-public hearing) | \$300 |
| Waiver Application (public hearing) | \$300, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Notification/Advertising Fee | \$500 |

TITLE 19 (SPECIAL PURPOSE DISTRICTS)

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Development Plan Review | \$700 |
| Minor Modification | \$300 |
| Major Modification | \$500, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |

TITLE 19 (SUMMERLIN APPLICATIONS)

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| City Referral Group | \$300 |
| Minor Modification | \$300 |
| Major Modification | \$700, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Minor Deviation | \$300 |
| Major Deviation | \$300, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |

TITLE 19 (ZONING APPLICATIONS—GENERAL)

| | |
|---|---|
| General Plan Amendment | \$1000, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Master Plan of Streets and Highways Amendment | \$900, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Rezoning | \$700, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Extension of Time (Non-public hearing) | \$300 |
| Extension of Time (Public hearing) | \$300, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Site Development Plan Review (Major or Minor, Non-public hearing) ¹ | \$500 |
| Site Development Plan Review (Major or Minor, Public hearing) | \$500, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Review of Condition (Non-public hearing) | \$300 |
| Review of Condition (Public hearing) | \$300, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Required Review (Public hearing) | \$300, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Special Use Permit | \$500, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Variance | \$300, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Administrative Design Review | \$500 |
| Administrative Deviation | \$300 |
| Waiver Application (Non-public hearing) ² | \$300 |
| Waiver Application (Public hearing) ² | \$300, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Development Agreement | \$1000, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Temporary Commercial Permit | \$100 |
| Temporary Sign Permit | \$100 |
| Home Occupation Permit | \$50 |
| Street Name Change | \$300, plus Notification/Advertising Fee |
| Notification/Advertising Fee (distance up to 1000 feet) | \$500 |
| (distance 1000 to 1500 feet) | \$750 |
| (distance greater than 1500 feet) | \$1,250 |
| Recording of Notice of Zoning Action | \$30 |

TITLE 19 (SIGN CERTIFICATES, TAGS AND PERMITS)³

| | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Master Sign Plan Application | \$300 |
| On-premise Sign (no certificate) | No fee |
| On-premise Sign (with certificate) | \$0.50/square foot |
| Off-premise Sign | \$0.50/square foot |
| Off-premise Sign Renewal | \$0.50/square foot |
| Special Event Sign | \$100 per event |
| Civic Event/Political Sign | No fee |
| Development Entry Sign | \$100 per sign |
| Community Interior Sign | \$100 per sign |
| Subdivision Development Sale Sign | \$100 per sign |
| Subdivision Directional Sign | \$100 per sign |
| Weekend Directional Sign | \$100 per sign |
| Construction Sign | \$0.50/square foot |

TITLE 19 (OTHER FEES)

| | |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Annexation | \$300 |
| Appeal of Director's Decision | \$300 |
| Zoning Verification Letter | \$100 |
| Address Change Request | \$100 |
| Satellite Parking Agreement | \$200 |

¹ Includes administrative reviews conducted by staff

² Applies to "stand alone" waiver applications (e.g., re: distance limitations or development standards under Title 19)

³ These fees represent Planning & Development Department fees only. Other fees may apply (e.g., Building and Safety fees)

**City of Houston, Texas
Planning & Development Services Division
Fee Schedule**



Department of Public Works and Engineering
 Planning & Development Services Division
Floodplain Management Office

PERMIT FEES

The schedule for the development permit fees is based on the type and location of the proposed development, the size of the proposed development, and its ranking in terms of the effort and time required to process the permit. The following table breaks down the development permit categories and their corresponding fees.

| PROJECT TYPE | PERMIT CLASS | | | | Fee |
|--|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------|------------------|
| | Non-conveyance | | Conveyance** | Floodway | |
| | w/ Mitigation* | w/o Mitigation | | | |
| Single Family Residential | | | | | |
| Non-Sustantial/No Addition | N/A | 1 | 1 | N/A | \$ 100 |
| New | 2 | 2 | 2 | N/A | \$ 300 |
| Substantial Improvement/Addition | 2 | 2 | 2 | N/A | \$ 300 |
| Residential Re-plat | 2 | 2 | 2 | N/A | \$ 300 |
| Floodway/Existing Structure - Section 19-43(b) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 3 | \$ 600 |
| Floodway/Vacant Land - Section 19-43(c) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 3 | \$ 600 |
| Floodway (Transfer of Title Inspection) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1 | \$ 100 |
| Commercial/Multi-Family Residential | | | | | |
| Non-Sustantial/No Addition | N/A | 2 | 2 | N/A | \$ 300 |
| Grading and Fill Sitework < 1 acre | 4 | N/A | 5 | N/A | \$1,225;\$1,635 |
| Grading and Fill Sitework ≥ 1 acre | 5 | N/A | 6 | N/A | \$1,635; \$2,045 |
| Substantial Improvement/Addition < 1 acre | 4 | 4 | 6 | N/A | \$1,225; \$2,045 |
| Substantial Improvement/Addition ≥ 1 acre | 5 | 5 | 7 | N/A | \$1,635; \$2,450 |
| Redevelopment < 1 acre | 5 | 5 | 7 | N/A | \$1,635; \$2,450 |
| Redevelopment ≥ 1 acre | 6 | 6 | 7 | N/A | \$2,045; \$2,450 |
| New < 1acre | 5 | 5 | 7 | N/A | \$1,635; \$2,450 |
| New ≥ 1 acre | 5 | 5 | 7 | N/A | \$2,045; \$2,450 |
| Floodway/Existing Structure - Section 19-43(b) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 7 | \$ 2,450 |
| Floodway/Vacant Land - Section 19-43(c) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 7 | \$ 2,450 |
| Floodway (Transfer of Title Inspection) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1 | \$ 100 |
| NOTES | | | | | |
| * Mitigation refers to the compensation of the loss of floodplain storage volume (requires cut and fill calculations) | | | | | |
| ** Conveyance refers to that part of the floodplain that is not in the floodway and where velocity is greater than 1 feet per second | | | | | |

**Montgomery County, Maryland
Office of the County Executive
Fee Schedule**



MONTGOMERY COUNTY EXECUTIVE REGULATION

Offices of the County Executive . 101 Monroe Street . Rockville, Maryland 20850

| | |
|--|--|
| Subject: Schedule of Fees for Permits, Licenses and Inspections – METHOD 3 | Number: 12-08 |
| Originating Department: DEPARTMENT OF PERMITTING SERVICES | Effective Date: July 1, 2008 |

Montgomery County Regulation on:

SCHEDULE OF FEES FOR PERMITS, LICENSES AND INSPECTIONS – METHOD 3

DEPARTMENT OF PERMITTING SERVICES

Issued by: County Executive
Regulation No.

Authority: Code Sections 49-38, 19-6, 19-45, 19-67, 30-11, 27A-5(e)

Supersedes: Regulation No. 16-07

Council review: Method 3 under Code Section 2A-15
Register Vol. 24 No. 5

Comment Deadline: April 30, 2008

Effective Date:

Sunset Date: None

SUMMARY: This Executive Regulation in general increases, by 2.3% permit and license fees that cover the administration, plan review and enforcement programs of the Department of Permitting Services. An additional one time fee increase of 2% has been added to cover costs associated with the new credit card payment option for DPS customers. This regulation also increases by 15%, certain permit and license fees that cover the administration, plan review, and enforcement programs of the Department of Permitting Services. The net increase for all fees except Benefit Performance and Storage of Vendor Confiscated Goods is 19.3%. Fees for Section IV. Benefit Performances and Section V. Storage of Vendor Confiscated Goods were only increased by 4.3% which includes the annual adjustment and the credit card costs. The fee adjustment is required to re-align current fees with the cost of the resources required to perform the necessary functions. Section I.L. Minor Subdivision Plat Review Fee of \$500 was added. Section II.H.4. changes the fees for revisions to previously approved stormwater management concept plans from 50% of the original fee to 50% of the current fee. The fee for Right of Way bond replacement in Section I.J. was adjusted to be the same as the fee for Sediment Control bond replacement. Added to Section VIII. Miscellaneous is a Registration Fee for Responsible Personnel Certification Program (Sediment Control Green Card Training) of \$50. The indexing language has been modified to remove the need for Council approval of an Executive Regulation cases where the only change is a fee increase consistent with the existing indexing language. This regulation has also been revised to clarify existing fee descriptions.



MONTGOMERY COUNTY EXECUTIVE REGULATION

Offices of the County Executive . 101 Monroe Street . Rockville, Maryland 20850

Subject:
Schedule of Fees for Permits, Licenses and Inspections - METHOD 3

Number: 12-08

Originating Department: DEPARTMENT OF PERMITTING SERVICES

Effective Date:
July 1, 2008

ADDRESS: Department of Permitting Services
255 Rockville Pike, Second Floor
Rockville, Maryland 20850

STAFF CONTACT: Reginald Jetter, Chief
Division of Casework Management
240-777-6275

BACKGROUND INFORMATION: The Department of Permitting Services was established as a fee-supported enterprise within the Executive Branch of Montgomery County in 1996. Revenues that support the Department are established under these Method 3 Executive Regulations and by County Council resolution.

I. ROAD AND RIGHT-OF-WAY CONSTRUCTION

All roadway-construction projects, or any construction activity within the boundaries of a dedicated public right-of-way; including roads, sidewalks/bikeways, curbs, gutters, and drainage systems; require a permit and will be charged a fee by the Department of Permitting Services.

- | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| A. Driveway Permit | 14.930% of the estimated project cost |
| 1. A non-refundable filing fee of \$135.00 is required for all driveway permit applications. | |
| B. Grading Permit | 14.930% of the estimated project cost |
| C. Paving Permit | 14.930% of the estimated project cost |
| D. Storm Drain Permit | 14.930% of the estimated project cost |
| E. Special Use Permit | 14.930% of the estimated project cost |

The estimated project cost is determined by the Director of the Department of Permitting Services based on average costs submitted on recent County capital-improvement project



MONTGOMERY COUNTY EXECUTIVE REGULATION

Offices of the County Executive . 101 Monroe Street . Rockville, Maryland 20850

| | |
|--|--|
| Subject: Schedule of Fees for Permits, Licenses and Inspections - METHOD 3 | Number: 12-08 |
| Originating Department: DEPARTMENT OF PERMITTING SERVICES | Effective Date: July 1, 2008 |

bids for comparable work. Permit fees are not transferable.

- F. **Permit Extension:** Road and right-of-way construction permits are valid for a period of 18 months. Upon written request, prior to the expiration of a permit and the payment of an extension fee, the original permit may be extended for 12 months. The fee to extend a permit is ten percent (10%) of the original fee, but not less than \$175.00.
- G. **Refunds:** In the case of an abandonment or discontinuance of a project under permit, a refund of up to 50 percent of the fee paid may be granted provided: (1) No construction has occurred, and (2) A written request for refund is made prior to the expiration date of the permit. Revoked, suspended, or invalid permits or permits in litigation are not eligible for refunds.
- H. **Temporary Construction Activities:** A permit is required for any temporary structure placed within a road or public right-of-way. A minimum fee of \$175.00 will be charged for a crane, dumpster, or scaffolding/pedestrian-walkway permit.
- I. The fee for a revision to a right of way permit is 14.930% of the estimated cost of the revision. The minimum fee for a revision is \$135.00.
- J. The fee for replacement of a Performance Bond, Cash Bond, Irrevocable Letter of Credit, or Certificate of Guarantee is \$110.00. If the purpose of the replacement is to reduce the amount of the instrument due to partial completion of the work, the fee is \$185.00.
- K. The fee for a change of legal description or other administrative revision to a Right of Way Permit is 50% of the minimum permit fee.
- L. **Minor Subdivision Plat Review Fee:** Record plat review fees in the amount of \$500.00 per plat must be paid by the applicant prior to the approval of record plat created under section 50-35A. Minor Subdivisions-Approval Procedures.

II. SEDIMENT CONTROL, STORMWATER MANAGEMENT, AND FLOODPLAIN DISTRICTS

A. General

- 1. Applications, including applications for revisions, must be accompanied by the permit fee or the minimum fee as specified.
- 2. All remaining fees must be paid prior to any subsequent submission for review or



MONTGOMERY COUNTY EXECUTIVE REGULATION

Offices of the County Executive . 101 Monroe Street . Rockville, Maryland 20850

| | |
|--|--|
| Subject: Schedule of Fees for Permits, Licenses and Inspections – METHOD 3 | Number: 12-08 |
| Originating Department: DEPARTMENT OF PERMITTING SERVICES | Effective Date: July 1, 2008 |

prior to permit issuance, whichever occurs first.

3. Stormwater management concept (SWMC) plans may include one or more of the following:
 - Onsite water-quality control
 - Onsite channel protection measures
 - Onsite recharge
 - Onsite flood protection
 - A waiver of any or all onsite control measures

All SWMC fees must be paid before the Department initiates reviews.

4. All Floodplain-District Permit fees must be paid at the time of application or before the Department initiates reviews.
5. In the case of an abandonment or discontinuance of a project under permit, a refund of up to 50 percent of the fee may be granted, provided: (1) No construction has occurred, and (2) A written request for refund is made prior to the expiration date of the permit. Revoked, suspended, or invalid permits or permits in litigation are not eligible for refunds.
6. Permit fees are not transferable.
7. The fee for a revision to a Sediment-Control Permit or a Floodplain-District Permit or a new builder's transfer permit for changes of ownership is the minimum permit fee.
8. The fee for a change-of-legal-description or other administrative revision to a Sediment Control Permit or a Floodplain District Permit is 50% of the minimum permit fee for the permit type being revised.
9. The fee for replacement of a Performance Bond, Cash Bond, Irrevocable Letter of Credit, or Certificate of Guarantee is \$110.00. If the purpose of the replacement is to reduce the amount of the instrument due to partial completion of stormwater-management facilities, the fee is \$220.00.

B. Small-Land-Disturbing-Activities-Sediment-Control Permits

1. Construction of a primary building or addition on single-family residential lots or parcels and is based on total lot size:
 - a. 10,000 square feet, or fewer \$ 630
 - b. 10,001 – 20,000 square feet \$ 1,265
2. Construction activities that do not require the use of an engineered sediment



MONTGOMERY COUNTY EXECUTIVE REGULATION

Offices of the County Executive . 101 Monroe Street . Rockville, Maryland 20850

| | |
|--|--|
| Subject: Schedule of Fees for Permits, Licenses and Inspections – METHOD 3 | Number: 12-08 |
| Originating Department: DEPARTMENT OF PERMITTING SERVICES | Effective Date: July 1, 2008 |

control plan, as determined by the Department and is based on the distributed area.

- | | |
|--|---------------------|
| a. Land disturbance 10,000 square feet or less | \$ 630 |
| b. Land disturbance greater than 10,000 square feet | \$1,265 |
| C. Forest-Harvest Activities Sediment Control Permits | \$ 210 |
| D. Maintenance-of-Stormwater-Management-Facilities Sediment-Control Permits | \$ 545 |
| E. Engineered Sediment-Control Permits and Revisions with Increased Disturbed Area | |
| 1. Per square feet of disturbed area | \$ 0.062 |
| 2. Minimum fee | \$ 1,895 |
| F. Technical Revisions to Engineered Sediment Control Permits (with no increase in disturbed area) | 35% of original fee |
| 1. Minimum fee | \$ 1,895 |
| 2. Maximum fee | \$ 4,160 |
| G. Sediment-Control-Permit Extension | |
| 1. Sediment-Control Plans and Permits are valid for a period not to exceed two (2) years from the date of issuance or approval. Permit extensions are valid for one (1) year. The fee to extend all other Sediment-Control Permits, after written application and before expiration of the original permit, is \$0.0062 per square foot of disturbed area, as designated on the original permit or plan approval. The minimum fee for an extension is \$210. | |
| H. Stormwater Management As-built Plans | |

The fee for the review and approval of stormwater management as-built plans is \$1,895. An additional fee of \$1,895 will be assessed when as-built plans are submitted for stormwater management facilities which have not been constructed in accordance with Department- approved plans.



MONTGOMERY COUNTY EXECUTIVE REGULATION

Offices of the County Executive . 101 Monroe Street . Rockville, Maryland 20850

| | |
|--|--|
| Subject: Schedule of Fees for Permits, Licenses and Inspections – METHOD 3 | Number: 12-08 |
| Originating Department: DEPARTMENT OF PERMITTING SERVICES | Effective Date: July 1, 2008 |

I. Stormwater-Management-Concept-Plan Fees

- | | |
|---|----------|
| 1. For concepts of onsite stormwater-management for a single-family residential lot | \$ 1,040 |
| 2. All other stormwater-management concept plans which are for development of 25 acres or fewer | \$ 2,440 |
| 3. All other stormwater-management concept plans which are for development of greater than 25 acres | \$ 3,120 |
| 4. Fees for revisions to previously approved stormwater-management-concept plans are 50% of the current fee | |

J. Floodplain-District Permit and Floodplain-Study Fees

- | | |
|--|----------|
| 1. Floodplain-District Permit | \$ 730 |
| 2. Review and approval of studies that define, verify or modify Floodplain-District limits | \$ 2,080 |
| 3. Review and approval of dam-breach studies using the TR-60-Q, Max estimation method | \$ 730 |
| 4. Review and approval of all other dam-breach analyses | \$ 2,080 |
| 5. Certification of Floodplain Limits not covered by J.1, J.2, J.3 or J4 | \$ 180 |
| 6. Floodplain-District Permits are valid for two (2) years from the date of issuance. The fee to extend a Floodplain-District Permit is \$[160] 190 and is valid for one (1) year. | |

III. WATER QUALITY REVIEW AND MONITORING IN SPECIAL PROTECTION AREAS

A. Preliminary-Water-Quality-Plan-Review Fees

- | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. Five or fewer acres | \$ 1,425 |
| 2. More than 5 – 15 Acres | \$ 2,670 |
| 3. More than 15 – 25 Acres | \$ 3,905 |
| 4. More than 25 – 75 Acres | \$ 6,960 |
| 5. More than 75 – 125 Acres | \$ 8,705 |
| 6. More than 125 – 175 Acres | \$ 10,420 |
| 7. More than 175 – 225 Acres | \$ 12,145 |
| 8. More than 225 – 275 Acres | \$ 13,855 |

**Riverside County, California
Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District
Fee Schedule**

**RIVERSIDE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL
AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT**

January 2000

DEPOSIT BASED FEE*

SCHEDULE FOR

LAND DEVELOPMENT PROCESSING

(FEES REVISED AS OF SEPTEMBER 15, 1998)

(a 1.5% County Surcharge will be added to all fees⁴)

| <u>ACTIVITY</u> | <u>EFFECTIVE 11/16/98</u> |
|--|---|
| 1. Change of Zone | \$297.00* |
| 2. Conditional Use Permit | |
| a. Recreational Vehicle Park | |
| Base Fee | \$602.00* |
| Lot or Unit Fee | \$5.00/Lot or Site |
| Improvement Plan Check | \$50.00/Lot or Site + 2% ⁽¹⁾ |
| b. Other-Miscellaneous Permit | |
| Base Fee | \$602.00* |
| Lot or Unit Fee | \$5.00/Lot or Site |
| Improvement Plan Check | \$50.00/Lot or Site + 2% ⁽¹⁾ |
| c. Mobile Home Park | |
| Base Fee | \$1,757.00* |
| Lot or Unit Fee | \$5.00/Lot or Site |
| Improvement Plan Check | \$50.00/Lot or Site + 2% ⁽¹⁾ |
| 3. Subdivisions | |
| a. Tentative Tract Map | |
| Base Fee | \$1,757.00* |
| Lot or Unit Fee | \$5.00/Lot |
| Improvement Plan Check | \$50.00/Lot + 2% ⁽¹⁾ |
| b. Planned Residential Developments (Apartments) | |
| Base Fee | \$1,757.00* |
| Lot or Unit Fee | \$5.00/Acre |
| Improvement Plan Check | \$50.00/Acre + 2% ⁽¹⁾ |
| c. Vesting Maps | |
| Base Fee | \$1,757.00* |
| Lot or Acreage Fee | \$5.00/Lot or Acre |
| Improvement Plan Check | \$50.00/Lot or Acre + 2% ⁽¹⁾ |
| e. Condominiums | |
| Base Fee | \$1,757.00* |
| Acreage Fee | \$5.00/Unit or Lot |
| Improvement Plan Check | \$50.00/Unit or Lot |

**RIVERSIDE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL
AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT**
January 2000
DEPOSIT BASED FEE*
SCHEDULE FOR
LAND DEVELOPMENT PROCESSING
(FEES REVISED AS OF SEPTEMBER 15, 1998)
(a 1.5% County Surcharge will be added to all fees⁴)

| <u>ACTIVITY</u> | <u>EFFECTIVE</u> <u>11/16/98</u> |
|---|---|
| 4. Parcel Map (Non-Commercial/Industrial) | |
| a. Tentative Parcel Map | |
| Base Fee | \$878.00* |
| Lot Fee | \$5.00/Lot |
| Improvement Plan Check | \$50.00/Lot + 2% ⁽¹⁾ |
| b. Commercial or Indust. Parcel Maps | |
| Base Fee | \$1,757.00* |
| Lot or Unit Fee | \$5.00/Lot |
| Improvement Plan Check | \$50.00/Lot + 2% ⁽¹⁾ |
| 5. Public Use Permit | \$509.00* |
| Improvement Plan Check | \$50.00/Lot or Site + 2% ⁽¹⁾ |
| 6. Plot Plan | |
| Base Fee | \$420.00* |
| Improvement Plan Check | \$50.00/Lot or Site + 2% ⁽¹⁾ |
| 7. Second Unit Permit | \$282.00* |
| 8. Hazardous Waste Sighting Permit | \$522.00* |
| 9. Large Family Day Care Home | \$165.00* |
| 10. Lot Line Adjustment | \$189.00 |
| 11. Certificate of Compliance | \$261.00 |
| 12. Surface Mining Permit | \$836.00* |

**RIVERSIDE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL
AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT**

January 2000

DEPOSIT BASED FEE*

SCHEDULE FOR

LAND DEVELOPMENT PROCESSING

(FEES REVISED AS OF SEPTEMBER 15, 1998)

(a 1.5% County Surcharge will be added to all fees⁴)

| <u>ACTIVITY</u> | <u>EFFECTIVE 11/16/98</u> |
|--|--|
| 13. Specific Plan Amendment 50% of New Specific Plan | \$1,255.00* \$627.50 |
| 14. Reclamation Plans | \$836.00* |
| 15. Special Studies ⁽²⁾ | \$750 - \$3,000.00* Initial Deposit |
| 16. Pre-Application Review (PAR) ⁽⁵⁾ | \$139.00 |
| 17. State Divisions of Real Estate - Flood Hazard Report for Old Subdivisions Development Base Fee Lot Fee | \$410.00 \$180.00/Lot |
| 18. Separate Application Base Fee (FC \$907 + B&S \$124) Per Building Site (FC \$188) Colorado River Base Fee (FC \$570 + B&S \$124) Per Building Site (FC \$188) | \$1,031.00* \$188.00/Site \$694.00* \$188.00/Site |
| 19. Flood Zone Letter Base Fee Lot Fee Including non-contiguous | \$105.00 \$35.00/Lot |
| 20. FEMA Studies a. Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) b. Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR to LOMR) | \$5,000.00/Deposit* \$2,582.00 |

**RIVERSIDE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL
AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT**
January 2000
DEPOSIT BASED FEE*
SCHEDULE FOR
LAND DEVELOPMENT PROCESSING
(FEES REVISED AS OF SEPTEMBER 15, 1998)
(a 1.5% County Surcharge will be added to all fees⁴)

| <u>ACTIVITY</u> | <u>EFFECTIVE</u> <u>11/16/98</u> |
|--|--|
| 21. Construction Inspection | 3% of bonded value of maintained flood control facilities ⁽³⁾ |
| 22. Research/Consultation Fee | \$65/hour |
| (1) Flood Control Plan Check Plan checks \$50/lot, site or acre plus 2% of the estimated construction cost of flood control/drainage facilities onsite or offsite whether maintained by District or not (as approved by the Chief Engineer) but not less than \$300.00, payable at Flood Control District. | |
| (2) Flood Control Special Studies If special hydrologic/hydraulic studies are required (as determined by the Chief Engineer) the review fee shall be based upon the District's actual direct cost plus administrative overhead. Initial deposit shall be \$750.00 for a minor case and \$3,000 for a major case, payable at Flood Control District. | |
| (3) Inspection - Time Extensions An additional 1% of the bonded value of maintained flood control facilities will be imposed for every additional 3 month extension after the expiration of the specified construction period. | |
| (4) County Surcharge A 1.5% County surcharge will be added to all fees collected and billed covered under Ord. 671.15 to support the new County development fee and Case Tracking System per Ord. 749 adopted December 6, 1994. | |
| (5) Paid to County TLMA - non refundable | |

NOTE: Refund of Fees of Ordinance No. 671.15. It will be the applicant's responsibility to contact any jurisdiction, agency or department for a refund of the fees paid to it, in accordance with the Refund Policy Statement. The jurisdiction, agency or department shall retain a processing fee from the total amount to be refunded.

PC\61177
Revised January 2000

**San Bernardino, California
San Bernardino County Flood Control District
Fee Schedule**

San Bernardino County Flood Control District

Schedule of Fees Ordinance No. FCD 08-01

Effective June 13, 2008 (approved May 13, 2008)

a. PERMIT AND PERMIT AMENDMENTS

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>1. Storm Drain Construction</p> <p>A. Interim and Improved Channels Other Than Concrete</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">I. Up To And Including 42" Diameter Side Drain</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. Filing Fee (Non Refundable)..... \$ 680.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">ii. Inspection Fee..... \$ 910.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">II. Over 42" Diameter Side Drain</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. Filing Fee (Non Refundable)..... \$ 680.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">ii. Inspection Fee..... \$ 1,370.00</p> <p>B. Concrete Lined Channels and Reinforced Concrete Pipe</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">I. Up To And Including 42" Diameter Side Drain</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. Filing Fee (Non Refundable)..... \$ 680.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">ii. Inspection Fee..... \$ 1,140.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">II. Over 42" Diameter Side Drain</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. Filing Fee (Non Refundable)..... \$ 680.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">ii. Inspection Fee..... \$ 1,600.00</p> <p>C. Undocumented Storm Drain Connection (installed Prior to 7/1/96)</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">I. Filing and Inspection Fee..... \$ 60.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">NOTE: Only if subject side drain is allowed to remain as constructed. If after District review any modification is required, the fees in Section 16.0212(b)(1)(A) and/or (B) shall apply.</p> <p>2. General and Miscellaneous Use</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">A. Filing Fee (Non Refundable)..... \$ 680.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">B. Annual Inspection Fee..... \$ 700.00</p> <p>C. Permit Amendments</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">I. General Amendment</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. Administration Fee..... \$ 680.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">II. Permittee Name Change</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. Administration Fee..... \$ 120.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">III. Time Extension</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. Administration Fee..... \$ 120.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">IV. Site Location Change</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i. Administration Fee..... \$ 120.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">D. Confined Space Video Inspection..... \$ 170.00 /hour</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">E. Monthly Land Use Fee..... \$ 460.00 /acre</p> <p>3. Utility Crossings (Each)</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">A. Underground</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">I. Filing Fee (Non Refundable)..... \$ 680.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">II. Inspection Fee..... \$ 700.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">B. Aerial</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">I. Filing Fee (Non Refundable)..... \$ 680.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">II. Inspection Fee..... \$ 610.00</p> <p>4. Parallel Utilities</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">A. Underground</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">I. Filing Fee (Non Refundable)..... \$ 680.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">II. Inspection Fee/Per Lineal Foot..... \$ 1.70 /l.f.</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">Minimum Fee..... \$ 625.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">B. Aerial</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">I. Filing Fee (Non Refundable)..... \$ 680.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">II. Inspection Fee..... \$ 1.15 /l.f.</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">Minimum Fee..... \$ 545.00</p> <p>5. Major Construction</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">A. Filing Fee (Non Refundable)..... \$ 680.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">B. Inspection Fee..... Actual Cost</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">C. Inspection Fee (Minor Projects)..... \$ 50.00 /hour</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">I. Vehicle Mileage..... \$ 7.00 /hour</p> <p>6. Soil Removal or Select Disposal</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">A. Under 50 Cubic Yards (Non Refundable)</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">I. Borrow (Non Refundable)..... \$ 75.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">II. Disposal (Non Refundable)..... \$ 37.50</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">B. 51 Cubic Yards to 100 Cubic Yards</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">I. Borrow (Non Refundable)..... \$ 150.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">II. Disposal (Non Refundable)..... \$ 75.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">C. 101 Cubic Yards to and Including 10,000 Cubic Yards</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">I. Filing Fee (Non Refundable)..... \$ 325.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">II. Administration and Inspection Fee</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">i. Borrow (Non Refundable)..... \$ 1.50 c.y.</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">ii. Disposal (Non Refundable)..... \$ 0.75 c.y.</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">D. Over 10,000 Cubic Yards</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">I. Filing Fee (Non Refundable)..... \$ 640.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">II. Administration and Inspection Fee</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">i. Borrow (Non Refundable)..... \$ 1.50 c.y.</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">ii. Disposal (Non Refundable)..... \$ 0.75 c.y.</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">E. Minor Temporary Ingress (Non Refundable)..... \$ 70.00</p> | <p style="padding-left: 20px;">7. Apiary Rental Site on Property..... \$ 1.00 per colony</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">A. Apiary Rental Site on Property Minimum Fee..... \$ 100.00</p> <p>8. Gravel Operations</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Note: Gravel operation permits will be negotiated on an individual basis by Real Estate Services Department and will be approved by the District's Board of Directors. Existing gravel operation permits will be reissued as leases upon expiration of the permit, and, if needed, will be renegotiated.</p> <p>9. Flood Control District Land Use with Board Approval</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">A. Filing Fee (Non Refundable)..... \$ 680.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">NOTE: Flood Control District land use permits will be negotiated as leases on an individual basis. Existing land use permits will be reissued as leases upon expiration of the permit</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">B. Advertising Structures</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">I. Filing Fee..... \$ 680.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">II. Annual Fee - Flood Control District annual land use permits for advertising structures will be negotiated on an individual basis. Existing permits will be reissued as leases upon expiration of the permit.</p> <p>10. Plan Checking Fees (Permit Major Construction)</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">A. Plan Checking - 1-20 Hours..... \$ 50.00 /hour</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">B. Plan Checking - more than 20 hours..... Actual Cost</p> <p>b. OTHER CHARGES</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">1. Plans and Special Provisions</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">A. Projects Estimated Under \$300,000..... \$ 15.00 /set</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">B. Projects Estimated Over \$300,000..... \$ 25.00 /set</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">2. Mailing Charge, if applicable..... \$ 10.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">A. Special Mailing (express)..... \$ 15.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">c. Reproduction Services</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">1. Research and Handling..... \$ 20.00 /order</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">2. Maps, Exhibits and Plans</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">A. Prints..... \$ 0.40 /sq. ft.</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">B. Plotting Service (3 square feet minimum)..... \$ 0.60 /sq. ft.</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">C. From Microfilm..... \$ 0.25 /sq. ft.</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">3. Miscellaneous Documents</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">A. Black and White Copies</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">I. 8 1/2" x 11"..... \$ 0.15 /page</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">II. 8 1/2" x 14"..... \$ 0.20 /page</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">III. 11" x 17"..... \$ 0.30 /page</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">B. Color Copies</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">I. 8 1/2" x 11"..... \$ 3.00 /page</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">II. 8 1/2" x 14"..... \$ 3.10 /page</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">III. 11" x 17"..... \$ 3.25 /page</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">d. Project Reports</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">1. Research and Handling..... \$ 20.00 /order</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">2. Reports</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">A. Hardcopy..... \$ 41.50 /volume</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">B. Digital..... \$ 55.00 /volume</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">3. San Sevaine Water Creek Project</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">A. Final Loan Application Report..... \$ 83.00 /volume</p> <p style="padding-left: 60px;">B. Final Environmental Assessment Report..... \$ 83.00 /volume</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">e. Hydrology Manuals..... \$ 83.00 /manual</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">f. Flood Hazard Analysis..... \$ 520.00 /report</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">g. Hydrologic-Climatological Research..... \$ 19.75 /half-hour</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">1. Biannual Report..... \$ 41.50 /volume</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">2. Transfer of Data (Diskette/Modem)..... \$ 5.00 /each</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">h. Mapping Services</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">1. Aerial Photo Research and Handling..... \$ 20.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">2. Digital Data Preparation (1 hour minimum)..... \$ 20.00 /hour</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">i. Area Drainage Plan Fees</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">1. Upper Etiwanda Area..... \$ 9,790.00 /acre</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">2. San Sevaine Creek Area..... \$ 4,405.00 /acre</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">3. Comprehensive Storm Drain Plan #3, Project 3-5..... \$ 7,159.00 /acre</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">j. Video Tape Review</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">1. Research and initial setup for viewing..... \$ 20.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">2. Copying (no editing)..... \$ 10.00 /DVD</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">k. Surplus Property</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">1. Investigation Fee (Non Refundable)..... \$ 400.00</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">2. Appraisal and Administration..... \$ 500.00</p> |
|---|--|

**City of Tempe, Arizona
Fee Schedule**

| ENGINEERING/TRAFFIC ENGINEERING FEES | Effective 07/01/10 |
|---|------------------------------------|
| DRAINAGE PERMIT FEES | |
| Individual Storage: | |
| Individual single-family lot | \$22.10 |
| 2 to 5 lots | \$55.05 |
| 6 to 20 lots | \$55.05 |
| + per lot over 5 | \$14.70 |
| 21 to 100 lots | \$275.15 |
| + per lot over 20 | \$6.90 |
| Over 100 lots | \$825.30 |
| + per lot over 100 | \$2.30 |
| Central Storage: | |
| Less than 1 acre | \$110.10 |
| Over 1 acre, per acre | \$110.10 |
| Combination Storage | sum of fees for individual storage |
| MOTOR VEHICLES AND TRAFFIC | |
| <i>Special Permits for Overweight/Overheight Vehicles</i> | |
| Application fee for excess size | \$14.95 |
| plus, each 30-day permit issued for excess size | \$29.90 |
| Each permit issued for excess weight | \$24.95 |
| plus, each 30-day permit issued for excess weight | \$49.85 |
| <i>Special Permit for Hauling Waste Material</i> | |
| Special permit for hauling construction waste fill or waste excavation material: | |
| Under 5,000 cubic yards or less than ten (10) days in duration | no charge |
| Over ten (10) days in duration and less than 5,000 cubic yards | \$531.55 |
| 5,000 to 10,000 cubic yards | \$531.55 |
| Greater than 10,000 cubic yards | \$2,126.20 |
| ENGINEERING PLAN CHECK FEES | |
| <i>Review of grading, drainage, paving, water and sewer plans (Commercial)</i> | |
| First, second and third plan review, inclusive per sheet/discipline | \$423.70 |

| ENGINEERING/TRAFFIC ENGINEERING FEES | Effective 07/01/10 |
|--|--------------------|
| Bus bays (each) | \$251.55 |
| Bus shelters (each) | \$251.55 |
| Alley grading per square yard | \$0.45 |
| New/replacement paving per square yard | \$4.65 |
| Overlay per square yard | \$0.30 |
| Mill and overlay per square yard | \$0.35 |
| Manhole adjustments (each) | \$35.30 |
| Valve box adjustments (each) | \$35.30 |
| Irrigation lines/ditches per linear foot | \$2.75 |
| Storm drains per linear foot | \$2.75 |
| Storm water retention pipes per linear foot | \$1.15 |
| Drywell (each) | \$94.70 |
| Headwalls (each) | \$52.85 |
| Catch basins/scupper (each) | \$85.90 |
| Manholes (each) | \$136.10 |
| Pavement cut/concrete work per permit | \$460.75 |
| "+" pavement cut/concrete work if quantity exceeds 300 sq ft (per sq ft) | \$2.75 |
| Trench (no pavement cut) if \leq 300 sq ft (permit) | \$306.70 |
| "+" trench (no pavement cut) additional sq ft > 300 sq ft (per sq ft) | \$1.30 |
| Survey monuments (each) | \$9.45 |
| Street name sign per intersection | \$126.65 |
| Pothole (each - minimum of five) | \$33.10 |
| Over-the-counter emergency paving permit | \$149.45 |
| Seal coat per square foot | \$1.10 |
| <i>Drainage Inspection and Testing</i> | |
| Floodplain use permit | \$1,992.50 |
| Drywell (each) | \$94.70 |
| Interceptor chamber (each) | \$94.70 |
| Oil stop structure (each) | \$94.70 |
| Storm drains per linear foot | \$2.75 |
| Catch basin/scupper (each) | \$85.90 |
| Rip rap (square foot) | \$0.90 |
| Storm water retention pipes per linear foot | \$1.15 |
| Any other structure (each) | \$94.70 |
| <i>Lighting Inspection and Testing</i> | |
| Energization-connection fee (each) | \$245.45 |
| Street lights pole inspection (each) | \$72.70 |
| Pavement cut/concrete work per permit | \$460.75 |
| "+" pavement cut/concrete work if quantity exceeds 300 sq ft (per sq ft) | \$2.75 |

**Yavapai County, Arizona
Development Services
Fee Schedule**

Yavapai County Development Services

Prescott Office
 500 S. Marina Street, Prescott, AZ 86303
 (928) 771-3214 Fax: (928) 771-3432



Cottonwood Office
 10 S. 6th Street, Cottonwood, AZ 86326
 (928) 639-8151 Fax: (928) 639-8153

Addressing – Building Safety – Customer Service & Permitting – Environmental – Land Use – Planning

PERMIT COST ESTIMATE SHEET

Permit fees are calculated during the review process for most permit submittals. We are generally not able to give you an exact permit cost prior to submittal and review; however, we will be happy to provide you with an *estimated permit cost* for your project.

Land Use (Zoning Fees)

- New Res. Dwelling per unit \$ 190.00
(Includes Multi Family)
- Detached Accessory Structures \$85.00
- Fences/walls/sheds
Adds to existing detached structure \$85.00
- Res. Addition to primary structure;
includes enclosures. \$ 105.00
- Commercial / New \$.25 sq. ft. under roof
- Commercial / Remodel \$ 355.00

Other Related Permit Fees

- Standard Septic \$ 160.00
- Alternate Septic \$ 358.00 + Eng. Fees
- Commercial Septic \$ 205.00
- Res. Mfg Installation \$ 300.00
- Access/Drainage Permit \$ 40.00
- Document fee (range from \$2.50 to \$25.00)
- Roadway Impact Fee \$3400.00 (County Wide)
- Other zoning fees are listed in the Yavapai County Zoning Ord.-Yavapai County Development Services Fee Schedule

Deposits (For those applications that require building safety plan review only)

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| <i>New Commercial</i> | \$500.00 | <i>New Residential</i> | \$400.00 |
| | | | |
| <i>Res/Comm. Add/Remodel</i> | Up to \$5000 valuation | | \$ 25.00 |
| | \$5,000- \$10,000 valuation | | \$ 50.00 |
| | \$10,001 to \$25,000 valuation | | \$ 75.00 |
| | \$25,000 and up | | \$100.00 |
| <i>Grading Deposits</i> | Up to 1000 CU YDS | | \$ 37.50 |
| | 1,001 CU YDS or more | | \$100.00 |

Building Safety Plan Check and Inspection fees are based upon the adopted valuation and fee schedule according to size and type of construction. Examples of Building Safety fees are:

| <u>Calculated Value</u> | <u>Plan Check</u> | <u>BS Inspection</u> | <u>Total BS Fee</u> |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| \$ 5000.00 | \$ 65.00 | \$ 100.00 | \$ 165.00 (Minimum Fee) |
| \$ 25000.00 | \$ 233.19 | \$ 358.75 | \$ 591.94 |
| \$ 50000.00 | \$ 377.65 | \$ 581.00 | \$ 958.65 |
| \$ 100000.00 | \$ 579.96 | \$ 892.25 | \$ 1472.21 |
| \$ 200000.00 | \$ 904.96 | \$ 1392.25 | \$ 2297.21 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Land Use Fee _____ | Access Permit Fee* _____ |
| Plan Check Fee** _____ | Document Fee _____ |
| Building Inspection Fee _____ | Impact Fee _____ |
| Septic Fee* _____ | Mfg Installation Fee _____ |
| Total <i>Estimated</i> Fees _____ | |

* Fees must be paid upon application. ** Deposit for Plan Check collected upon application and deducted from total fees. Exact permit fee can not be established prior to completion and approval of Plan Review.

**Yuma County, Arizona
Department of Development Services
Fee Schedule**

Department of Development Services
FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT FEES

November 2009

| Other Service Plan Review Fees | |
|---|--|
| Plan Review Fees – Engineering and Flood Control | \$34.00 per sheet (first 2 reviews) \$15.00 per sheet (each 2 reviews thereafter) |
| Flood Plain Protection Study Fee | \$120.00 |
| Floodplain Use Permit Fees | |
| Commercial or Industrial Facility: NOTE- Inactive period of one (1) year shall administratively close the application | \$260.00 |
| Earthwork includes dredging, filling and/or mining | \$190.00 |
| Multi-family Dwelling Unit | \$170.00 |
| Other developments | \$195.00 |
| Recreational Vehicle or Mobile Home Park: NOTE- Inactive period of one (1) year shall administratively close the application | \$350.00 + \$4.00 per space |
| Single Family Dwelling Unit | \$135.00 |
| Subdivisions: NOTE- Inactive period of one (1) year shall administratively close the application | \$250.00 + \$4.00 per lot |
| Utility Systems or Pipelines | \$215.00 |
| Grading Permits - review of drainage report, inspections and issuing of permit -- Engineering -- Flood Control and Drainage Service Fees | |
| Other development or improvement | \$280.00 |
| Recreational Vehicle or Mobile Home Park: NOTE- Inactive period of one (1) year shall administratively close the application | \$400.00 + \$4.00 per space |
| Retail, Commercial or Industrial facility: NOTE- Inactive period of one (1) year shall administratively close the application | \$310.00 |
| Subdivisions: NOTE- Inactive period of one (1) year shall administratively close the application | \$625.00 + \$4.00 per lot |
| Individual Residential Lot or Parcel Grading Permit | \$100.00 |
| Multi-family Residential Grading Permit | \$250.00 |
| Grading Permit / Regulation Wavier Request | \$450.00 |
| Other Flood Control Fees | |
| District Connection Permit Fee | As calculated per Flood Control District Connection Fee Schedule (see pages 10-12) |
| Facility Use Permit Processing Fee | \$25.00 - each |
| Use Fee - Event /Use Charge (deposit required – up to \$5,000) | \$150.00 - each event \$250.00 + based on charges - each event |
| Variance/Appeal Request Flood Control related | \$475.00 |
| Miscellaneous Permits | |

| | |
|---|---|
| Operating Without Approved and Valid Permit -- (this is for all type permits issued by DDS) | Twice original permit fee assessed |
| Replacement Permits (duplicate) All types of permits | Copy fee -- applicable rate |
| Certificate of Exemption | \$340.00 |
| Miscellaneous Fees | |
| All map related services (e.g. plots, map prep, database preparation and/or analysis subject to staff availability) | \$70.00 per hour with 1/2 hour minimum |
| All map related services (e.g. photocopies of maps) | \$6.00 |
| All map related services (e.g. production of specified # of CD's monthly of Assessor's CAD Parcel Mapping for sale, not done per customer order) | \$22.00 |
| All map related services (e.g. production of specified # of CD's monthly of Basic GIS Mapping for sale, not done per customer order) | \$21.00 |
| Plotter fee for color maps | \$10.00 plus map size by Linear Foot (LFT) |
| 24" color maps | \$2.50 LFT |
| 36" color maps | \$5.00 LFT |
| 42" color maps | \$7.75 LFT |
| 60" color maps | \$11.00 LFT |
| Annual Report | Current cost of printing; see costs for B&W/color |
| Audio Cassette or CD of recorded meetings | \$5.00 |
| Bad Check Fee | \$15.00 x 2 (bank charge only) |
| Copies of -- Annual Reports, Development Study Area Reports, Ordinances, Resolutions, Rules, Plans (Comprehensive, General, JLUP), Standardized & Specialized Monthly Statistical Reports, Public Works Standards and Specification Volumes | Copy fee -- cost of service based on actual cost of printing |
| Copier (Photo, Black & White) copies Photocopies (no research) | \$.25 - 8 1/2x11 \$.50 - 8 1/2x14 \$.75 - 11x17 |
| Copier copies - color (no research) | \$.50 - 8 1/2x11 \$.75 - 8 1/2x14 \$1.00 - 11x17 |
| Large format copier (photo, Black & White) copies (no research) | Engineering OCE Digital Copier \$1.25 per Linear Foot for all sizes. |
| Copies of Regulations | current cost of printing; see costs for B&W/color |
| Copy of Subdivision Regulations | current cost of printing; see costs for B&W/color |
| Copy of Zoning Ordinance | current cost of printing; see costs for B&W/color |
| Field Inspection Special | \$75.00 per hour |
| Field Inspection Special Projects | \$75.00 per hour with 1/2 hour minimum |
| Flood Hazard Identification | \$30.00 |

| | |
|---|---|
| General special services, Research, field inspections (non-permit), Agreement Preparation (by Engineering staff), all map related services (e.g. plots, map prep, database preparation, and/or analysis), Miscellaneous Engineering Fees, Miscellaneous Services, Research and compilation of records or data, Sanitarian Hourly Charge | \$70.00 per hour with 1/2 hour minimum |
| Miscellaneous Services | \$70.00 per hour with 1/2 hour minimum |
| Monthly Statistical Report | current cost of printing; see costs for B&W/color |
| Public Notice Subscription (Planning Commission, Board of Adjustment, Joint Planning Council, Building Code Advisory Board, Flood Control District Advisory Board) | \$12.50 |
| Research and compilation of records or data | \$70 per hour with 1/2 hour minimum |
| Research General | \$70 per hour with 1/2 hour minimum |
| Specialized Monthly Statistical Report | Eliminate service |
| Standardized Monthly Statistical Report | \$3.00 each or \$36 per year |
| Yuma County Public Works Standards Volume I -- Construction Standards | current cost of printing; see costs for B&W/color |
| Yuma County Public Works Standards Volume II -- Standard Specifications | current cost of printing; see costs for B&W/color |
| Yuma County Public Works Standards Volume III -- Grading and Drainage | current cost of printing; see costs for B&W/color |

Flood Control District Connection Fee Schedule

| Present Year Costs for Improvements | | | | User Notes INPUT: The cost given should reflect the current annual cost for the anticipated services performed by the Flood Control District. The cost per hour should be reported as the least expensive qualified position. |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------|--|
| Improvement | Estimated Hours/Year | Cost/Hour | Annual Cost | |
| Spillway Repair | | | | |
| Bank Erosion | | | | |
| Sprinkler System Repair | | | | |
| Landscaping and Site Renovation | | | | |
| Equipment Repair | | | | |
| Electricity | | | | |
| Water - Irrigation & Sprinkler | | | | |
| Weed Control | | | | |
| Debris & Weed Removal | | | | |
| Sediment Removal | | | | |
| Pollutant Removal | | | | |
| Insurance | | | | |
| Landscaping Maintenance | | | | |
| Operational Costs | | | | |
| Administrative Costs | | | | |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----|---|
| Travel | | | | |
| Other | | | | |
| Total Annual Costs (R) | | | | Sum of Present Year Costs |
| Interest and Inflation Factors | | | | |
| Interest Rate (5-Yr Avg) (I) | | | | INPUT from 2006 National Data Book Use last 5 years averages |
| Inflation Rate (5-Yr Avg) (L) | | | | |
| Life of Structure (Years) (N) | | | 100 | INPUT: Estimated Life of Structure. Use 100 Years as default. |
| Calculated Values | | | | |
| Interest Rate +100% (I+1) | | | | =I+1 |
| Incremental Cost of Inflation (G) | | | | =R*L |
| Present Worth Factor (PWF) | | | | $=\frac{((I+1)^N - 1)}{I(I+1)^N}$ |
| Gradient Present Worth Factor (GPWF) | | | | $=\frac{((I+1)^N - IN - 1)}{I^2(I+1)^N}$ |
| Connection Fees | | | | |
| P1 | | | | =R*PWF |
| P2 | | | | =G*GPWF |
| Total Connection Fee | | | | =P1+P2 |
| <p>1. Only services identified on this schedule are paid by the connection fee.</p> <p>2. If any additional services are required, appropriate and necessary fees could be added to this schedule.</p> <p>3. Interest Rate is estimated using the Auction Average 3-month Treasury Bill rate averaged over the last five published years.</p> <p>4. Inflation Rate is estimated using the Consumer Price Index (CPI-U), All Items, averaged over the last five published years.</p> | | | | |