

ORIGINAL QUEEN CREEK FLOOD CONTROL DOCUMENTS (HISTORICAL)

A480.911

ORIGINAL QUEEN CREEK FLOOD CONTROL DOCUMENTS (HISTORICAL)

Queen Creek

MARICOPA COUNTY

FLOOD CONTROL

APPLICATION

to

P W A

office of

Board of Supervisors

Maricopa County

PHOENIX, ARIZONA

September 21st, 1934.

State Advisory Board,
Public Works Administration,
319 Professional Bldg.,
Phoenix, Arizona.

Gentlemen:

We are transmitting herewith the application for funds from the Public Works Administration for the correction of a serious flood menace in Eastern Maricopa County, Arizona.

Since the development of the fertile Salt River Valley, every year uncontrolled floods and evulsion have destroyed the stock ranges, farm and citrus lands, railroads, towns, canals and roads, County, State and Federal. With this continued destruction in mind it is now proposed to construct such flood works as will take care of this continued flood from the adjacent water shed area.

The County of Maricopa is sponsoring this application, acting primarily as the agent of the numerous interested parties to this project, being:

U. S. Indian Service
The County of Maricopa
Roosevelt Water Cons. Dist.
Chandler Citrus Egts. Irrig. Dist.
Southern Pacific Railway
Town of Gilbert

The Arizona Highway Department
Electrical Dist. No. 5
Salt River Valley Water Users Assn.
Queen Creek Irrigation Dist.
Arizona & Eastern Railway
Town of Chandler, and villages of
Higley and Rittenhouse.

It is expected that some comprehensive plan can be worked out whereby the parties mentioned may perfect a plan in which each party will contribute its share of the general cost under the 70%-30% plan of Public Works Administration.

It should be borne in mind however, that this project is of a flood control nature and the cost be met by the Federal Government 100%.

In as much as the preliminary plans attached provide protection for all areas and parties interested it is earnestly requested that this program receive early sanction and approval.

Yours very truly,

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

BY (Signed) H. C. Gilbert,
H. C. Gilbert, Chairman of Board

office of

2
Board of Supervisors

Maricopa County

PHOENIX, ARIZONA

September 21st, 1934.

State Advisory Board,
Public Works Administration,
319 Professional Bldg.,
Phoenix, Arizona.

SUBJECT: REPORT OF FLOOD CONTROL SURVEY

Gentlemen:

Since filing the original application of November, 1933 with the State Advisory Board, the Eastern Maricopa County Flood Control Association met and recommended to Maricopa County that as this flood control was highly essential and necessary that engineering studies and investigations be begun at once in order that final plans could be developed at any early date.

The County Board of Supervisors supported by the Flood Control Association took up this matter with the local Civil Works Administration and work was begun January 20th, 1934. This work was under the direction of Rex B. Mensy, Project Manager and Engineer, and continued until the close of C.W. A. Operations. Again realizing the importance of this project Emergency Relief Administration was requested to assist in completing this engineering work.

In field work topographic, water shed, and rainfall studies have been developed, approximately 20.4 miles of base or control location and levels have been run. 71.0 miles of preliminary location. 42.0 miles of final location and cross-section. This entire field work now stands at 82% complete. In the office all platting and studies have been developed and final plans and structure designs are now 25% complete. Approximately \$14,600 has been expended on this work which has afforded practically continuous employment for twelve men, both field and office since January, 1934.

The U. S. Indian Service operating a field party separately under C. J. Moody, Project Engineer of the San Carlos Project has located approximately 8 miles of the San Tan Drain. This work is 65% complete.

It is expected that these plans and specifications will be complete in detail so that formal negotiations with P. W. A. can be made, and contract or the work begun at an early date.

Since the application of November, 1933 was made, several floods have occurred, one of moderately large proportion and several small ones, each have resulted in a further serious damage to property and the necessity of rebuilding miles of highways.

Everyone living in Eastern Maricopa County is vitally interested in this project which should be pursued to completion.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) Ran Bone
RAN BONE, COUNTY ENGINEER.

LETTERS OF INDORSEMENT

September 21, 1934.

Maricopa County Board of Supervisors
Maricopa County Court House
Phoenix, Arizona

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to previous conferences and movements on the part of the general public and interested public organizations relative to construction of flood control canal for eastern portion of Salt River Valley, including portions of Maricopa and Pinal Counties and Pima Indian Reservation.

We wish to extend our expressions of appreciation for the work done under your auspices and by your Board in surveying the proposed canal route and in preparing the plans, specifications and profiles of the same. The eastern portion of the Valley is deeply indebted to your Board for the efforts already made to bring about the building of this necessary protection against the torrential floods of the Bulldog, Superstition and Pinal mountain washes and creeks.

We need not bring to your attention the immense damages caused annually to farms, homes, roads, canals and public improvements by these floods, nor need we emphasize the constant threat which hangs over this section country because of the imminence of flood danger.

We feel that the liberal offers of the Federal Government in advancing loans for similar public works should be taken advantage of to provide the necessary flood control at this time. We sincerely trust that you will make every effort to secure the approval of the loan application made by you and now pending, and that the request for the loan and grant may be energetically prosecuted to the end that this absolutely essential public works may be constructed at the earliest practical time.

Again thanking you for your past efforts and present endeavors, we are

Very sincerely yours,

ROOSEVELT WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

By

M. J. Daugherty
Pres.

MJD:emg

CHANDLER HEIGHTS CITRUS IRRIGATION DISTRICT

Higley, Arizona

Higley, Arizona,
September 20, 1934.

Mr. Oris Holdren, Clerk,
Board of Supervisors,
Maricopa County,
Phoenix, Arizona

Dear Mr. Holdren:

Subject: Flood Control.

Supplementing our former correspondence with you on this matter, on behalf of our directors and electors, we want to express our appreciation for the aggressive initiation which your Board has taken to the end that land owners in this District might have adequate protection against the flood waters of Queen Creek and its tributaries, and for the protection and better maintenance of the roads which you have caused to be constructed throughout this section.

The plans, upon which you are working, appear to us to be most comprehensive and complete, and which should, in the interests of all concerned, be pushed through to successful conclusion, have our unanimous support, and we pledge our active cooperation with you and all others concerned in making their carrying out a reality.

Aggressive leadership in this project is naturally yours, and we join in urging that every possible step be taken at once that will hasten completion, at the same time, pledging our active support.

Very truly yours,

C. H. C. IRRIGATION DISTRICT,

B. L. HORN, Secretary.

ARIZONA STATE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT

PHOENIX

September 25, 1934.

The Board of Supervisors,
Maricopa County
Phoenix, Arizona.

Gentlemen:

I wish to inform you that the Arizona State Highway Department is quite interested in your general flood control program on Queen Creek and the south slope of Superstition Mountain. Your proposed plans meet with the hearty approval of this department.

State Highway Routes 80 and 180, together with State Route 87, have suffered considerable damages in the past from flood waters off these areas. The flood problem in relation to our highways is quite serious.

I would like to take this opportunity to extend my best wishes for the success of this program. Any information this department has relative to this project will be made available to you at your request.

Yours very truly,

T. E. O'Connell

T. E. O'Connell,
State Highway Engineer.

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

MESA, ARIZONA

Sept. 21, 1934

Board of Supervisors
County Court House
Phoenix, Ariz.

Gentlemen:

We note with interest that the Public Works Administration is again considering the application made by your Board some months ago in connection with the Flood Control Project for the eastern portion of Maricopa County.

There can be no question that lack of proper protection against the serious floods which are of frequent occurrence in this section constitutes a most serious menace and causes heavy financial losses each year. Any plan that will lead to correction of this condition is of the utmost importance to the whole Valley.


Your Board is entitled to great credit for the efforts you have already put forth on this matter and we are sure that there will be no let-up until favorable action has been finally obtained from the Federal Government.

Yours very sincerely,


MESA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

W. Menhennet, Secretary


WM:JCH



ELEVATION



TYPICAL SECTION



PLAN

PROPOSED ROCK-FILL DAM
FOR WHITLOW RANCH SITE
QUEEN CREEK
PINAL COUNTY ARIZONA
SCALE 1" = 50'

PHOENIX ARIZONA ~ NOV. 1933

EXHIBIT "2"

EXHIBIT "3"

THE TOWN OF GILBERT

GILBERT, ARIZONA

Gilbert, Arizona, Sept. 20, 1934.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS of
MARICOPA COUNTY,
Phoenix, Arizona.

Gentlemen: As a body and for the benefit of the people of Gilbert, Arizona and vicinity we wish to thank the Board of Supervisors and their assistants for the good work that they have accomplished on the flood control project east of Gilbert, Arizona.

This not only benefits Gilbert but the whole country for miles around, and we do hope that through their further efforts your plans may become a reality in the near future, so that when we get a heavy rain east of us we will not be menaced with that fear of being washed away with another flood.

Yours Respectfully,

Walter W. Page
Mayor

Charles M. Blakely
Councilman

G. W. Lines
Councilman

W. H. Johnson
Councilman

Ward C. Burk
Councilman

PHOENIX, ARIZONA

September 14th, 1934.

Board of Supervisors of
Maricopa County,
Phoenix, Arizona.

Gentlemen:

In the matter of flood control of the waters of Queen Creek and other desert flood waters in the same vicinity, we understand there is a proposed Public Works Administration Project contemplated.

We wish to heartily endorse the efforts being made in this matter. This work has been under contemplation for many years and should be done to prevent further damage and loss such as has occurred in the past.

Yours very truly,

LIN B. ORME,
President.

LBO:IM

MESA, ARIZONA

September 21, 1934

Board of Supervisors
Maricopa County Court House
Phoenix, Arizona

Gentlemen:

We wish to extend our expressions of gratitude for work done by your Board relative to construction of flood control canal for the eastern portion of the Salt River Valley, including the Pima Indian Reservation and portions of Maricopa County and Pinal Counties.

We trust that you will diligently endeavor to secure the approval of the loan application which is now pending with the Public Works Administration so that these works may be constructed as early as practical.

Very sincerely yours,

CITY OF MESA,

By J. G. Peterson
Mayor

Phoenix, Arizona.

September 21, 1934

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
Maricopa County
Phoenix, Arizona

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Board of Directors of the Phoenix Chamber of Commerce held Thursday, September 20, this office was instructed to express to you the unanimous approval and appreciation of this body of the exceptionally fine work that you had done in connection with the eastern Maricopa County flood control program on Queen Creek.

We heartily approve of your application for the necessary Federal funds to adequately complete this project, and will be very glad to endorse your program to the Federal authorities.

Very sincerely yours,

PHOENIX CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

W. M. McGovern
Executive Secretary

WMM:G

APPLICATION OF

MARICOPA COUNTY

STATE OF ARIZONA

FOR

LOAN OF \$932,800 - FROM THE
FEDERAL EMERGENCY ADMINISTRATION
OF PUBLIC WORKS

to

CONSTRUCT FLOOD CONTROL WORKS

DECEMBER, 1933.

APPLICATION OF MARICOPA COUNTY, STATE OF ARIZONA

FOR

LOAN OF \$932,800.00

TO CONSTRUCT

FLOOD CONTROL WORKS

- - - - -

1. GENERAL

This application has been prepared and the data is presented in accordance with Circular No. 2 of the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works. If additional data is needed it will be promptly furnished by the correspondent upon request.

11. SUMMARIZED GENERAL INFORMATION

- a. Applicant: Maricopa County, State of Arizona, organized March 9, 1887 under legislative Act approved March 9, 1887. (Chapter 1, Title 13, Revised Statutes of Arizona, 1887.)
- b. Correspondent: H. C. Gilbert, Chairman Board of Supervisors, Phoenix, Arizona.
- c. Attorney: Renz L. Jennings, County, Attorney, Phoenix, Arizona.
- d. Consulting Engineer: Ran Bone, Phoenix, Arizona.
- e. Population: 1920-----89,576
1930-----150,950
1933-----166,115 (Estimated by Postal Authorities)

The County is located in the South Central part of the State. Its total area is 8,891 square miles; an acreage of 5,690,240 acres. Irrigation farming only is carried on, the major portion being irrigated by the Salt and Verde Rivers under the Salt River Project operating the Roosevelt Dam System--the earliest irrigation project of the Federal Government. (For addition information see pamphlet "Arizona" (Exhibit #2), prepared under auspices of the County by the County Immigration Commissioner filed herewith.)

III. LOAN REQUESTED

- a. Amount: \$932,800.00
- b. Security: Certificates of Indebtedness issued against the General County Fund under paragraph 820, Article 3, Chapter 16, Revised Code of Arizona, 1928, to be satisfied by annual public tax levies.

IV. THE PROJECT:

- a. General Description: Maricopa County embraces substantially the arable and irrigable portions of the Salt River Valley, an alluvial area. Bounded, as to the region here concerned, on the north by the Salt River, on the South by the Gila River and on the East by the usery, Superstition and Picket Post Mountains of the Mazatzel Range. These mountains are largely rocky, impervious and precipitous. Drainage is largely by narrow and precipitous canyons broadening out on reaching the valley floor into "washes" having a westerly and southwesterly trend into the Gila River; and a northwesterly trend into the Salt River. The flood waters of these washes crossing the Valley floor reach the cultivated and irrigated portions of Eastern Maricopa County at distances varying from three to fifteen miles from the mountain slopes. The washes are numerous, the principal ones being known locally as "Queen Creek", "Hopi", "Buchanan," and "Bulldog" washes.

The trend of irrigation canals through the Western portion of the Valley and Maricopa County, is in a southerly direction. The flood washes intersect these irrigating canals and laterals breaking and overflowing the same and overflowing the adjacent cultivated fields and inundating towns, villages and farm buildings, cutting and eroding the soil, silt-fouling growing crops even where not entirely destroyed, and intersecting, washing out and destroying state and county highways through the entire region in question, the area affected being substantially all that portion of Maricopa County lying between the mountains and East of the West line of Township Range 4 East. The cutting, eroding and submerging of arable and irrigable lands within the region affected has progressed very rapidly in recent years.

In September, 1933, during an unprecedented and unusual rain period within the mountains mentioned, unusual and extraordinary quantities of sand and debris were washed down and out of these mountains into the beds of these various washes and down into their lower reaches, filling up the usual channels and directing the channels over new and wider areas. Damages to ranges, farm lands, towns, villages, canals, roads and other improvements during the past twenty years has not been less than \$900,000.

As a means of controlling these destructive flood waters, it is proposed to construct the necessary flood control works of the kind, nature and character described in the report of the Engineering Committee, hereafter presented as Exhibit "1."

b. Estimate of Cost:

	<u>Labor</u>	<u>Material</u>	<u>Other items</u>
(1) Preliminary Expenses			\$ 2,500.00
(2) Rights-of-way			20,000.00
(3) Construction			
Earth Excavation			
2,480,000 cu. yds.			
@ .17	\$203,000.	\$218,600.	

c. Maturities: Exclusion of the 30% grant for labor and materials, if granted, will leave principal of \$686,320.00. The following schedule of principal and interest (4%) payments is suggested: -

<u>Year</u>	<u>Principal Payment</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total Payments</u>	<u>Principal Remaining</u>
1935	\$8,320.	\$27,452.80	\$35,772.80	\$678,000.00
1936	9,000.	27,120.00	36,120.00	669,000.00
1937	10,000.	26,760.00	36,760.00	659,000.00
1938	11,000.	26,360.00	37,360.00	648,000.00
1939	12,000.	25,920.00	37,920.00	636,000.00
1940	13,000.	25,440.00	38,440.00	623,000.00
1941	14,000.	24,920.00	38,920.00	609,000.00
1942	15,000.	24,360.00	39,360.00	594,000.00
1943	16,000.	23,760.00	39,760.00	578,000.00
1944	17,000.	23,120.00	40,120.00	561,000.00
1945	18,000.	22,440.00	40,440.00	543,000.00
1946	19,000.	21,720.00	40,720.00	524,000.00
1947	20,000.	20,960.00	40,960.00	504,000.00
1948	21,000.	20,160.00	41,160.00	483,000.00
1949	22,000.	19,320.00	41,320.00	461,000.00
1950	23,000.	18,440.00	41,440.00	438,000.00
1951	24,000.	17,520.00	41,520.00	414,000.00
1952	25,000.	16,560.00	41,560.00	389,000.00
1953	26,000.	15,560.00	41,560.00	363,000.00
1954	27,000.	14,520.00	41,520.00	336,000.00
1955	28,000.	13,440.00	41,440.00	308,000.00
1956	29,000.	12,320.00	41,320.00	279,000.00
1957	30,000.	11,160.00	41,160.00	249,000.00
1958	31,000.	9,960.00	40,960.00	218,000.00
1959	32,000.	8,720.00	40,720.00	186,000.00
1960	33,000.	7,440.00	40,440.00	153,000.00
1961	35,000.	6,120.00	41,120.00	118,000.00
1962	37,000.	4,720.00	41,720.00	81,000.00
1963	39,000.	3,240.00	42,240.00	42,000.00
1964	42,000.	1,680.00	43,680.00	-----

d. Application of grant: It is requested that the grant if allowed, be used to reduce the principal amount of the loan,

e. Schedule of Funds Required: Funds will be required approximately as follows:

<u>Period</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Period</u>	<u>Amount</u>
First month	\$57,800.00	Ninth month	\$35,000.00
Second month	50,000.00	Tenth month	35,000.00
Third month	100,000.00	Eleventh month	30,000.00
Fourth month	150,000.00	Twelfth month	30,000.00
Fifth month	125,000.00	Thirteenth month	30,000.00
Sixth month	125,000.00	Fourteenth month	30,000.00
Seventh month	35,000.00	Fifteenth month	30,000.00
Eighth month	33,000.00	Sixteenth month	30,000.00

Total -- \$932,800.00

	<u>Labor</u>	<u>Material</u>	<u>Other items</u>
Gravel excavation 50,000 cu. yds. @ \$1.00	41,000.	9,000.	
Rock-fill 150,000 cu. yds @ \$1.50	130,000.	95,000.	
Concrete Facing 50,000 Sq. Yds.	20,000.	30,000.	
Tunnels & Outlets	7,000.	3,000.	
9 Timber Bridges	28,200.	18,800.	
1 Concrete Highway Bridge	3,000.	5,000.	
1 Railroad Bridge	5,000.	5,000.	
(4) Engineering			16,200.00
(5) Legal, Administration, Etc.			15,000.00
(6) Interest during constructions			32,500.00
(7) Equipment Rental			25,000.00
	\$437,200.00	\$384,400.00	\$111,200.00
Total labor & Materials		\$821,600.00	
GRAND TOTAL -----		\$932,800.00	

c. Economic and Social Value: These floods not only destroy and permanently ruin highly valuable farm lands including especially well fitted and well grown orange, lemon and grapefruit groves, alfalfa fields, farm and town homes, but also destroy irrigation works, causing an incidental damage by reason of prolonged irrigation interruption. Also interrupt the use of roads for all commercial, social and school travel as well as travel by rail on the Arizona Eastern Railroad, crossing this area, Without flood control, a very substantial portion of the area affected will be abandoned and ordinary operations within the remaining portion constantly menaced and endangered.

d. Extent of Project: The project as proposed is complete in itself, and will provide full flood protection over the area covered. It has been under consideration ever since the development of the Eastern portion of Maricopa County, and for the past twenty years has been a major undertaking, planned and worked for by the residents of the communities affected.

- e. Planning Board: The County has no planning board, therefore, this question is not applicable.
- f. Metropolitan District: The County is an independent community, therefore, this question is not applicable.
- g. Endorsements: This project has been approved by the following civic groups:
 - 1. Chambers of Commerce: Mesa, Chandler, Gilbert and Phoenix.
 - 2. Service Clubs: Mesa, Phoenix.
 - 3. Sponsors and proponents: Salt River Valley Water Users' Association, Roosevelt Water Conservation District, Queen Creek Irrigation District, Chandler Heights Irrigation District.
 - 4. Municipal City Councils of Mesa, Chandler, Gilbert, State of Arizona.

Resolution of endorsements are attached to this application as "Exhibit 3."

- h. Objections: There are no known objectors.
- i. Competition: The project will not compete directly or indirectly with any similar enterprise.
- j. Status of Plans: Preliminary surveys have been made over all of the proposed projects. Further data is being obtained upon the Queen Creek Dam site, so that the contract upon this unit of the project could be let within thirty days after the granting of the loan, and construction commenced immediately thereafter. Final survey of the San Tan and Apache Trail units can be made and contracts let, so that construction thereon could commence within thirty to sixty days after granting of the loan. Other units will require somewhat longer time before final plans can be adopted.
- k. Number of Men Employed: It is estimated that an average of two hundred men will be employed directly on the work for a period of sixteen months, and that employment will be given indirectly to a large number for the same period for the manufacturing of equipment and materials.

V. REVENUE AND EXPENSE:

The project is one for protection only, and no revenue is anticipated therefrom. It is not anticipated that there will be any accruing expense of maintenance.

VI. FINANCIAL DATA:

a. Statement of Assessed Valuations.

	1932	1933	1934
Personal	\$ 31,528,328.00	\$ 25,663,823.00	\$ 22,686,563.00
Realty	49,494,965.00	55,638,415.00	55,148,335.00
Improvements	56,409,139.00	48,185,500.00	48,194,168.00
Gross Value	<u>137,432,432.00</u>	<u>129,487,738.00</u>	<u>126,029,066.00</u>
Less Exemptions	11,031,650.00	9,013,997.00	9,715,499.00
Net Value	<u>\$ 126,200,782.00</u>	<u>\$100,473,741.00</u>	<u>\$ 96,313,567.00</u>

b. Gross Funded Debt at Date of Application.

June 15th, 1927 -- Courthouse & Jail Bonds.	June 15, 1929-36 \$25,000 yearly. June 15, 1937-47 \$50,000 yearly. -- 4 1/2%	\$618,000.00
June 15, 1919 -- Highway No. 1 Bonds	June 15, 1930-34 \$100,000. yearly June 15, 1935-44 \$200,000 yearly. June 15, 1945-49 \$300,000. yearly-- 5 1/2%	\$3,473,000.00
June 15, 1921-Highway No. 2 Bonds.	Jan. 15, 1931-35 \$100,000 yearly. Jan. 15th, 1936-45 \$200,000 yearly Jan. 15, 1946-50 \$300,000 yearly Jan. 15, 1951 \$500,000 yearly -- 6%	\$4,075,000.00
Jan. 15th. 1903 Territorial Refunding Bonds	Jan. 15, 1938	8,400.00
April 1st, 1913 -- State Refunding Bonds	Jan. 15, 1938	209,550.00
Total -----		<u>\$8,383,950.00</u>

c. Sinking Funds & Sinking Fund Investments.

Cash Balances.

Cash Balance Dec. 31, 1933 -- Courthouse & Jail -- Redemption Fund	10,266.40
Cash Balance Dec. 31, 1933 -- Highway No. 1 Bond Redemption Fund	191,634.98
Cash Balance Dec. 31, 1933 -- Highway No. 2 Bond Redemption Fund	264,116.79

Sinking Fund Securities:

<u>Held by - Highway No. 1 Redemption Fund</u>	
5 - Courthouse & Jail Bonds - June 15, 1936 -- 4 1/2%	5,000.00
<u>Held by - Highway No. 2 Redemption Fund.</u>	
7- Courthouse & Jail Bonds - June 15, 1934 - 4 1/2%	7,000.00

D. Gross Floating Debt.

Outstanding Warrants Aug. 1st, 1934

\$562,871.11

No Money Borrowed in anticipation of Taxes or creation of funded debt to take care of above floating debt.

E. Statement of Overlapping Debt.

SCHOOLS.

Net Bonded Debt	\$5,571,223.82
Net Floating Debt	215,835.16
Bond Interest in Default	79,516.51

IRRIGATION DISTRICTS.

Net Bonded Debt	14,365,200.00
Outstanding Warrants	257,971.35
Bond Interest in Default	2,500,000.00 (Approx.)

WATER USERS ASSOCIATION.

Net Bonded Debt	11,055,000.00
Other Indebtedness	4,346,870.79

CITIES & TOWNS.

Net Bonded Debt	8,554,749.00
-----------------	--------------

F. Statement of Gross Debt.

All Outstanding Financial Obligations of Applicant and Overlapping Districts have been included in (B)(D) & (E)

G. Statement of Defaults in Interest & Principal. - July 1st, 1934.

Courthouse & Jail Bond Interest	26,437.50
Highway No. 1 Bond Interest	108,240.00
Highway No. 2 Bond Interest	26,130.00
Outstanding Warrants Shown in (D)	

H. Tax Rates per \$100.00 Valuation (Net \$1,000.00 Valuation)

See Rate Sheet Attached.

Maricopa County, Arizona

TAX RATES FOR YEAR 1934

State	\$	\$1.00
County General Fund671	
Special County General Fund012	
General County School Fund	1.171	
Highway Bonds No. 1—Interest163	
Highway Bonds No. 2—Interest22	
Highway Bonds No. 1—Redemption169	
Highway Bonds No. 2—Redemption098	
Courthouse Bonds—Interest029	
Courthouse Bonds—Redemption027	
County Funded Debt—Interest0002	
County Funded Debt—Redemption0004	
County Rate		2.56
Total State and County Rate		3.56

CITIES AND TOWNS

Buckeye	1.05
Chandler	1.62
Gilbert	1.24
Glendale	1.30
Mesa	1.17
Tempe	1.93
Tolleson	1.55
Wickenburg	No Levy
Peoria Volunteer Fire Department20

PHOENIX HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 1	Including 61 cents for High School—Phoenix ..	1.11	4.67
No. 2	Including 61 cents for High School—Riverside ..	.61	4.17
No. 5	Including 61 cents for High School—Isaac	1.14	4.70
No. 7	Including 61 cents for High School—Wilson	1.68	5.24
No. 8	Including 61 cents for High School—Osborn	1.19	4.75
No. 14	Including 61 cents for High School—Creighton ..	1.38	4.94
No. 21	Including 61 cents for High School—Murphy ..	.93	4.49
No. 30	Including 61 cents for High School—Grand Ave ..	2.68	6.24
No. 31	Including 61 cents for High School—Balsz	1.91	5.47
No. 38	Including 61 cents for High School—Madison ..	1.12	4.68
No. 66	Including 61 cents for High School—Roosevelt ..	1.04	4.60
No. 68	Including 61 cents for High School—Alhambra ..	1.19	4.75
No. 83	Including 61 cents for High School—Cartwright ..	1.51	5.07

PHOENIX HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT—Continued

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 1-8	Including 61 cents for High School	1.31	4.87
No. 1-14	Including 61 cents for High School	1.29	4.85
No. 1-21	Including 61 cents for High School	1.43	4.99
No. 8-1	Including 61 cents for High School	1.40	4.96
No. 66 T.H.	Including 61 cents for High School	1.88 1.27	5.44 4.92

TEMPE HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 3	Including 69 cents for High School—Tempe	.99	4.55
No. 13	Including 69 cents for High School—Rural	.69	4.25
No. 28	Including 69 cents for High School—Kyrene	1.17	4.73
No. 50	Including 69 cents for High School—Rohrig	.69	4.25

MESA HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 4	Including 92 cents for High School—Mesa	1.56	5.12
No. 10	Including 92 cents for High School—Lehi	1.45	5.01
No. 19	Including 92 cents for High School—Alma	1.10	4.66
No. 26	Including 92 cents for High School—Jordan	.92	4.48
No. 26-28	Including 92 cents for High School	1.40	4.96

GILBERT HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 41	Including 74 cents for High School—Gilbert	1.82	5.38
No. 41-60	Including 74 cents for High School	2.04	5.60

WICKENBURG HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 9	Including 98 cents for High School—Wickenburg	1.41	4.97

GLENDALE HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 6	Including 1.14 cents for High School—Washington	1.73	5.29
No. 40	Including 1.14 cents for High School—Glendale	2.02	5.58

CHANDLER HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 80	Including 1.33 cents for High School—Chandler	1.80	5.36

PEORIA HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 11	Including 1.80 cents for High School—Peoria	2.18	5.74

SCOTTSDALE HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 48	Including 1.19 cents for High School—Scottsdale	1.54	5.10

BUCKEYE HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 25	Including 76 cents for High School—Liberty	1.29	4.85
No. 33	Including 76 cents for High School—Buckeye	1.31	4.87
No. 47	Including 76 cents for High School—Arlington	1.28	4.84
No. 49 B.H.	Including 76 cents for High School	1.52	5.08

GILA BEND HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 24	Including 47 cents for High School—Gila Bend	1.71	5.27

TOLLESON HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 17	Including 60 cents for High School—Tolleson	1.01	4.57
No. 22	Including 60 cents for High School—Agua Fria	2.30	5.86
No. 45	Including 60 cents for High School—Fowler	.92	4.48
No. 62	Including 60 cents for High School—Union	1.09	4.65
No. 65	Including 60 cents for High School—Littleton	1.47	5.03
No. 92	Including 60 cents for High School—Pendergast	1.01	4.57

LITCHFIELD HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 79	Including 1.18 cents for High School—Litchfield	1.76	5.32

AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS

Roosevelt Agricultural Improvement District No. 1	Per 100.00 value	13.82
Agricultural Improvement District No. 2	Per 100.00 value	9.56
Lehi Agricultural Improvement District No. 3	Per 100.00 value	7.53

SPECIAL SCHOOL TAXES NOT IN HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICTS

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 44	Coldwater76	4.32
No. 49	Palo Verde76	4.32
No. 59	Laveen	2.03	5.59
No. 60	Higley22	3.78
No. 69	Sunnyside	2.89	6.45
No. 71	Sentinel13	3.69
No. 72	Agua Caliente25	3.81
No. 75	Morristown34	3.90
No. 81	Nadaburg47	4.03
No. 85	Marinette	1.95	5.51
No. 86	Mobile44	4.00
No. 89	Dysart62	4.18
No. 90	Winters Wells	No Levy	3.56
No. 91	Harqua Hala	No Levy	3.56
No. 93	Cave Creek	1.05	4.61
No. 94	Theba06	3.62

DRAINAGE DISTRICTS

No. 1	Per 100.00 value	4.29
No. 2	Per 100.00 value	1.73
No. 5	Per 100.00 value	59.61

IRRIGATION DISTRICTS

Arizona Water Conservation District	Per Acre	No Levy
Buckeye Water Conservation District	Per Acre	.97
Gila Water Conservation District	Per Acre	No Levy
Maricopa County Municipal Water Conservation Dist. No. 1	Per Acre	3.98
New State Irrigation District	Per Acre	3.06
Queen Creek Irrigation District	Per Acre	1.80
Roosevelt Water Conservation District	Per Acre	5.80
Roosevelt Irrigation District	Per Acre	4.47
St. John's Irrigation District	Per Acre	3.36
Southside Irrigation District	Per Acre	No Levy
Verde River Irrigation & Power District	Per Acre	.25
Camelback Water Conservation District	Per Acre	.50
Chandler Heights Citrus Irrigation District	Per Acre	6.44

ELECTRICAL DISTRICT

No. 5	Per 100.00 value	.66
-------------	------------------	-----

FIRST INSTALLMENT

Due September 4, 1934.

Delinquent November 5, 1934.

SECOND INSTALLMENT

Due March 4, 1935.

Delinquent May 6, 1935.

Total tax for year may be paid at the time the first installment is due, if desired. Taxes are payable at the Office of the County Treasurer, Court House, Phoenix, Arizona.

HARRY M. MOORE,
County Treasurer.

I. Taxes Levied & Collected.

1932

State & County	¢ 3,842,909.46	¢ 2,847,176.46	¢ 995,733.00
Schools	951,996.37	690,581.86	261,414.51
Towns	73,501.19	55,099.74	18,401.44
Irri. Districts	1,025,233.92	277,516.70	747,717.22
Miscellaneous	598.10	470.38	127.72
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total --	¢ 5,894,239.03	¢ 3,870,845.14	¢ 2,023,593.89

1933

State & County	3,357,046.36	2,314,924.35	1,042,122.01
Schools	1,136,426.01	765,414.34	371,011.67
Towns	74,945.86	51,365.20	23,580.66
Irri. Districts	812,976.15	253,828.24	659,147.91
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total --	¢ 5,481,394.58	¢ 3,385,532.13	¢ 2,095,862.25

Note: - Distribution for year 1931 like the above is unavailable. Taxes are about 25% delinquent in total - at this date.

Note: - Taxes for 1934 now due delinquent 1st monday in November, 1934.

VII. LEGAL DATA

Below is presented a signed opinion of Counsel for the applicant, giving in full the information requested:

OPINION OF COUNSEL

To the Administrator,
Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works,
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

I hereby certify that I have examined the application of the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona, for a loan of \$932,800.00 to defray the cost of constructing a necessary flood control system under the provisions of paragraph 520, Article 3, Chapter 16, Revised Code of Arizona, 1928, from injuries to public roads, highways, public property and threatened menace to human life and habitation and I am of the opinion that the same is in proper and satisfactory form and in substantial compliance with the rules and regulations described by the Administrator in Circular No. 2 of August 1, 1933.

I do further certify that I have examined the constitutional, statutory and charter provisions applicable to the power of the applicant to construct the project and to issue securities to secure the payment thereof and I am of the opinion that the applicant possesses lawful power and authority to construct the project and to issue securities therefor.

I do further certify that in keeping with Circular No. 2 mentioned above, that:

a. Organization

Applicant was created by the act of the Legislature of the State of Arizona on March 9, 1887. (Paragraph 749, Article 1, Chapter 16, Revised Code of Arizona, 1928.)

b. Charter

The County does not have and is not required to have any charter. (See debt limitation)

c. Debt Limitation

There is no constitutional or statutory limitation on the debt incurring power of the applicant for the issuance of certificates of indebtedness drawn upon the County General Fund for the construction of the project; a limitation under the section restricts the annual levy therefor to not exceed 15¢ on each

\$100.00 of taxable property in the County.

d. Deductions from "c" Above

Certificates of indebtedness drawn upon the County General Fund do not constitute any inhibited or prohibited indebtedness. There are no sinking fund moneys available as an effect.

e. Powers of Applicant

Applicant is authorized to construct the project and issue its Certificates of Indebtedness therefor, pursuant to the authority conferred by Section 220, Revised Code of Arizona, 1928.

f. Authorization by Voters

No election is necessary for the issuance of the Certificates of Indebtedness.

g. Authorizing Resolution

Action of the applicant in the premises will be evidenced by Resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the County when loan is authorized.

h. Publication of Resolution

It is not necessary for such resolution to be published before taking effect; such resolution becomes effective 10 days after publication in the event an emergency is declared therein;

i. Franchise Requirements

There are no franchise requirements.

j. Sales of Obligation

Applicant may sell the Certificates of Indebtedness at public or private sale without prior advertisement thereof;

k. Maximum Interest

The maximum rate of interest is 6 percent.

l. Maximum Price

Said certificates of indebtedness must be sold for not less than par and accrued interest to date of delivery;

m. Other Authority Required

It is not necessary for the applicant to first offer the certificates of indebtedness for sale to any agency or instrumentality of the State.

n. Maturity of Obligations

This obligation constitutes a special item which is excepted from the provisions of the County Budget law (Article 4, Chapter 75, Revised Code of Arizona, 1928);

o. Restrictions on Repayment

The said certificates of indebtedness may mature in installments not exceeding the tax levying power of the County with respect to flood control obligations as provided in Paragraph 820;

p. Negotiability

Said certificates of indebtedness are negotiable instruments;

q. Character of Investment

Said certificates of indebtedness are legal investments for administrators, trustees, and other fiduciaries, and for savings banks and institutions, organized under the laws of the State of Arizona;

r. Registration

Said certificates of indebtedness may be made registerable as to principal only, at the option of the holder;

s. Approval of Certificates of Indebtedness

Said certificates of indebtedness are not subject to the approving opinion of any public officer of the State, nor to any judicial validating action before sale;

t. Prior Registration

Said certificates of indebtedness must be registered in the office of applicant's treasurer, prior to their issuance.

u. Tax Exemption - State

Said certificates of indebtedness are not exempt from existing State and local taxation.

v. Tax Exemption - Federal

Interest payable on said certificates of indebtedness is not exempt from taxation (except the estate, inheritance and gift taxes) now imposed by the United States:

✓

v. Litigation

No litigation is pending or threatened, either in State or Federal courts, affecting or questioning in any manner whatsoever, the corporate existence of applicant, its boundaries, the title of its present officials to their respective offices; the proceedings had preliminary to the authorization, execution, sale and delivery of the proposed obligations, and the construction of the proposed project, and none of the said proceedings have been revoked or rescinded;

x. Property Acquisition

It is not anticipated that any condemnation proceedings will be necessary for the securing of rights-of-way or other sites of lands for works, but if condemnation does become necessary, the county is empowered to institute the necessary condemnation proceedings without necessity for delay. (Chapter 23, page 292, Revised Code of Arizona, 1928.)

y. Indebtedness General

The Honorable Hens L. Jennings, County Attorney of the County of Maricopa, ex-officio, will furnish legal title on the certificates of indebtedness.

z. Authority for Revenue Certificates of Indebtedness

Said certificates of indebtedness do not constitute an indebtedness of applicant under any constitutional or statutory limitations thereupon;

aa. Authority for Special Assessment Certificates of Indebtedness

These certificates of indebtedness may be satisfied by special assessments.

bb. Leasing Authority

Leasing authority is not involved under the plan suggested and the County is eligible for a loan and grant under Title II of the National Recovery Act, to aid it in financing the construction of said project.

Yours very truly,

HENS L. JENNINGS, County Attorney,

By Milton Ollerton,
Deputy.

REPORT

OF

ENGINEERING COMMITTEE

TO

EASTERN MARICOPA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL ASSOCIATION

NOVEMBER 7, 1933.

EXHIBIT NO. 1

Phoenix, Arizona.
November 7, 1933.

Eastern Maricopa County Flood Control Ass'n.
Barney Hodgkin, Committee Chairman,
Phoenix,
Arizona.

Gentlemen:

Your engineering committee, appointed at the meeting of October 10, 1933, for the purpose of investigating and reporting upon the most effective means of flood control for Eastern Maricopa and Pinal Counties, and the approximate cost of same, herewith respectfully submits the following report.

(Signed) R. C. BAKER
R. C. Baker, Water Superintendent & Office
Engineer, Salt River Valley
Water Users' Ass'n.

(Signed) BARNEY R. HODGKIN,
Barney R. Hodgkin, County Engineer, Maricopa County.

(Signed) E. V. MILLER
E. V. Miller, Engineer of Plans, Arizona State
Highway Department.

(Signed) C. J. MOODY,
C. J. Moody, Engineer, U. S. Indian Service.

(Signed) HOWARD S. NEED,
Howard S. Need, Consulting Civil Engineer.

(Signed) C. H. W. SMITH,
C. H. W. Smith, Superintendent & Engineer,
Roosevelt Water Conservation
District.

REPORT OF ENGINEERING COMMITTEE
EASTERN MARICOPA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL ASSOCIATION

I. PURPOSE OF REPORT

This report is made for the purpose of recommending a feasible plan for the effective control and disposal of flood waters which have their source in the mountains lying to the east of Maricopa County, and which in past years have caused, and until controlled, will continue to cause, an incalculable amount of damage to highways, railroads, canals, farms and other developed private and public property lying in Eastern Maricopa and Pinal Counties, and to prepare an estimate of the approximate cost of such plan.

II. EXTENT OF REPORT

It has not been possible, with the limited time and finances available, to make complete surveys and detailed plans of the proposed project. However, preliminary surveys have been made, and data obtained from other sources, which establish the feasibility of the proposed plan, and permit the necessary cost estimates.

III. PLAN OF CONTROL

Attention is directed to the map of the territory under consideration, (Exhibit "A"), which is submitted herewith.

✓

APACHE TRAIL DRAINAGE AREA.

It is proposed to intercept the flood waters descending from this area, which are carried in a number of washes from the hills lying north of U. S. Highway No. 80, known as the "Apache Trail," and carry them to the Salt River, thru the channel designated as the Apache Trail Drainage Channel. This channel would have an average width of twenty feet, depth of four feet, carrying capacity of about 750 cubic feet per second, and length of about 50,000 feet. The estimated cost is as follows:

Excavation 180,000 cu. yds. @ .17¢	\$30,600.00
Timber Bridge, Stewart Mtn. Road	5,000.00
Timber Bridge, Granite Reef Road	5,000.00

Total \$40,600.00

SUPERSTITION DRAINAGE AREA.

It is proposed to control the flood waters of the many washes of this area, which descend from the western slopes of the Superstition mountains, by the construction of the Superstition Drainage Channel, which is designated to carry such flood waters southerly into the present channel of Queen Creek. This channel would require a maximum width of about forty feet, depth of eight feet, carrying capacity of about 3400 cubic feet per second, and total length of about 80,000 feet. The estimated cost is as follows:

Excavation 940,000 cu. yds. @ 17¢	\$159,800.00
One Timber Bridge	7,000.00

Total -- \$166,800.00

QUEEN CREEK DRAINAGE AREA.

It is proposed to construct a rock-fill or concrete arch dam on Queen Creek, at the site in Township One (1) South, Range Ten (10) East, about four miles east of the point where U. S. Highway No. 80 crosses said Creek. A dam 85 feet above stream bed will impound about 11,000 acrefeet. An uncontrolled outlet is proposed, whereby the reservoir waters will be discharged into the stream below the dam in an amount which will cause no damage. Borings taken at the Queen Creek dam site some years ago show bed rock at a depth of about 40 feet below stream bed. The attached map (Exhibit "B"), is a preliminary sketch of the proposed dam. The estimated cost of the rock-fill dam is as follows:

Gravel excavation - 50,000 cu. yds. @ \$1.00	\$50,000.00
Rock-fill - 150,000 cu. yds. @ \$1.50	225,000.00
Concrete facing - 5,000 sq. yds. @ \$10.00	50,000.00
Tunnel and Outlets	10,000.00

T o t a l -- \$535,000.00

For the disposal of Queen Creek water entering the stream below the dam, and of the waters discharged into Queen Creek thru the Superstition Drainage Channel, it is proposed to extend the channel of Queen Creek, from a point about three miles west of the Southern Pacific R. R. crossing, westerly and southwesterly to the Gila River. This new channel will also serve as an outlet for the waters from the San Tan Drainage Channel, hereafter discussed. The

capacity of this channel need be only equal to that of the Superstition Channel, namely: about 3400 cu. ft. per second, since the concurrence of flood waters at one point, from storms occurring on the contributing watersheds, is extremely unlikely. Accordingly, the proposed channel will require a bottom width of 30 feet, depth of 3 feet, and will have a total length of about 80,000 feet. The estimated cost is as follows:

Excavation - 900,000 cu. yds. @ 17¢	\$153,000.00
Five Timber Bridges - 250 lin. ft. @ \$100	25,000.00
Concrete Bridge, State Hwy. 50 lin ft. @ \$160	8,000.00
Southern Pacific R. R. Bridge 50 lin ft. @ \$200	10,000.00

Total --	\$196,000.00
----------	--------------

SAN TAN DRAINAGE AREA

Flood waters from the western slope of the San Tan Mountains flow westerly thru some of the subjugated lands of the Gila River Indian Reservation. It is proposed to intercept these flood waters in the San Tan Drainage Channel, and lead them northwesterly to the New Queen Creek channel, and thence to the Gila River. A Channel 30 feet in width, $4\frac{1}{2}$ feet in depth, with a capacity of about 1800 cu. ft. per second will be required. The estimated cost is as follows:

Excavation - 480,000 cu. yds @ 17¢	\$78,200.00
One Timber Bridge - 50 lin ft. @ \$100.00	5,000.00

Total --	\$83,200.00
----------	-------------

IV. RIGHTS -OF-WAY

None of the proposed flood channels traverse cultivated or improved lands, excepting about two miles

of the proposed New Queen Creek channel, which will follow a section line route thru improved lands in the Queen Creek Irrigation District, and about two miles of the same channel thru partially improved lands of the Roosevelt Water Conservation District. The Apache Trail and Superstition channels run thru undeveloped State or Government lands. The San Tan Drainage Channel and that part of the New Queen Creek channel thru the Gila River Indian Reservation do not cut or traverse any of the lands of the reservation upon which agricultural development is planned by the Indian Service. The proposed Queen Creek dam and reservoir are within the boundaries of the Tonto National Forest. It is therefore believed that little difficulty will be encountered in the matter of securing the necessary rights-of-way at reasonable expense.

V. TOTAL COST ESTIMATE

The estimated cost of the entire project is as follows:

Apache Trail Drainage Channel	\$40,000.00	
Superstition Drainage Channel	166,800.00	
Queen Creek Dam	335,000.00	
New Queen Creek Channel	138,000.00	
San Tan Drainage Channel	<u>53,200.00</u>	
		\$821,000.00
Engineering & Contingencies		76,200.00
Rights of way		20,000.00
Legal		15,000.00
		<hr/>
Total		\$932,000.00

VI. SPREADING



The Apache Trail, Superstition and San Tan Drainage Area may lend themselves to an application of the spreading and absorption principle for control of flood waters. Sufficient time and finance have not been available for the necessary study of this feature of the proposed projects; the general plan, however, would not be affected by the application of this principle. The committee recommends therefore, that detailed study should be made of the possibilities for spreading and absorption, before final detailed plans are adopted.

EXHIBIT " A "

EXHIBIT "B"

The
SALT RIVER
VALLEY *and*
Phoenix

The
SALT RIVER
VALLEY *and*
Phoenix



PUBLISHED BY THE
IMMIGRATION COMMISSIONER
PHOENIX CHAMBER of COMMERCE

PUBLISHED BY THE
IMMIGRATION COMMISSIONER
PHOENIX CHAMBER of COMMERCE

Resorts, Hotels, Homes, Apartments and Guest Ranches

Living accommodations in this Valley of Sunshine will please the most discriminating taste or satisfy very modest requirements.

Luxurious, suburban resorts, having as a setting either the green and gold of the orange groves or the stark and unusual beauty of our desert, offer the acme in conveniences and service as well as fascinating amusements and sports, including all-year golf, horseback riding, polo and swimming.

New smart town hotels have every facility for making guests comfortable and happy. Convenient commercial hotels adequately cater to those who prefer this type of accommodation.

Dude and guest ranches have the true atmosphere of the Old West, afford the thrill of life on a real cow ranch or the relaxation of tranquil solitude, and yet offer the advantages of city conveniences.

Attractive furnished houses, from small, comfortable bungalows to palatial homes may be rented; also desirable apartments, sleeping rooms, and room and board in private homes and boarding houses are available. Modern tourist camps care for many motorists.


If you will keep us advised of your schedule, we shall be glad to meet you on your arrival, make temporary reservations for you, and give you every possible assistance in finding just the type of place you desire, gratis, of course. Illustrated booklets on resorts, hotels and ranches will be mailed to you upon request.



(Upper left) Westward Ho




(Left) San Carlos



(Upper Right) Dude Ranch party "packing in"

(Right) Riding Party on Dude Ranch

(Left) Adams Hotel with Professional Building in background



(Right) El Portal Hotel in Mesa

(Lower left) Arizona Biltmore


(Lower right) Ingleside Inn



PHOENIX AND THE SALT RIVER VALLEY

Throughout the Universe a few places seem to have been more favored by Nature than the rest of the world. There are places along the shores of the Mediterranean celebrated for their salubrious climate. There are places on the shores of some of our own southern states where warm currents from the tropics temper the winds and make the winter temperatures desirable. There are places where rich soils and long seasons make possible the culture of citrus, dates and other semi-tropical fruits and products, but where living conditions are not conducive to health and happiness. There are other places where the climatic conditions would favor the growing of these exotic fruits and plants if it were not for the sandy, shallow soil. Then there are sections where rainfall is scarce and water for irrigation too limited to make the lands productive. Phoenix, and the Salt River Valley, it is believed, offer more of these desirable and necessary characteristics than any other place in the world.

Maricopa County, the wealthiest and most populous county in Arizona, exceeds in extent the combined areas of Connecticut, Delaware and Rhode Island and has a population of 165,000. Phoenix is the county seat of Maricopa County as well as the capital of Arizona. Because the early settlers had the vision to see that here would be one of the chief cities of the inland Southwest, and due to its proximity to a centuries-old ruins, built by an unknown race, it was named "Phoenix" for the mythical Phoenix bird, which rose from its own ashes. This city, without the incentive of extraordinary industrial developments or the discovery of oil or gold, has had a steady growth from its beginning and today has a population of 55,000 people within the corporate limits and 103,000 within the metropolitan area. Phoenix is served by two railroads, the Southern Pacific and the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe, and by two great transcontinental highways east and west and one north and south. It is also on the all-year, fair-weather airways. Phoenix has developed a



Tonto Ruins on Apache Trail

Typical Desert Scenery

trade area throughout the state and is the wholesale, retail and jobbing metropolis.

CLIMATE

Phoenix has an ideally healthful and stimulating winter climate with very little wind, low humidity, and ultra-violet ray sunshine of unusual richness eighty-four per cent of the possible time. In ten years there have been only twelve dense fogs. According to statistics taken from the meteorological summary of the United States Weather Bureau, over a period of thirty-eight years the following averages were found for the seven winter months from October to May:

Average temperature.....	59.3 degrees
Average total rainfall.....	4.7 inches
Average humidity at 6 a.m., noon and 6 p. m. respectively....	61, 29 and 32 per cent
Average wind velocity.....	5.6 miles per hour

There is not the discomfort from heat in Phoenix in the summer which the thermometer readings would indicate. Because of the extremely low humidity, the temperatures here are not comparable to those in other sections of the country, and the heat is known to be beneficial for certain disorders. Within two and one-half hours drive from

Phoenix there are summer resorts in high altitudes which have climates as comparatively delightful as our winter climate. The data compiled by the United States Weather Bureau for the thirty-eight year period shows the following yearly figures:

Average temperature.....69.6 degrees
Average rainfall.....7.46 inches

Average humidity at
6 a.m., noon, and
6 p.m. respective-
ly....56, 28 and 28%
Average wind veloc-
ity..5.8 miler per hr.

Contrary to some opinions, the humidity of the Salt River Valley has not been increased by irrigation. The figures, as shown by the records of the United States Weather Bureau during a period from 1906 to 1930,

**Real Polo Action
Championship Golf
Aquaplaning on nearby Lake
Fishing is good on the lakes
near Phoenix**



prove conclusively that increased irrigation has no influence over humidity.

Authentic information as to the beneficial effects of this mild, dry, sunny climate will be found in the folder, "Facts about the Climate," published by the Maricopa County Medical Society. This booklet will be sent on request.


SPORTS

Every outdoor sport is enjoyed during the entire winter season. The warm, dry, sunny weather is ideal for playing golf. The five, delightful, eighteen-hole, all grass courses, which are open to visitors, make golfing purely pleasure. In addition to golf, excellent tennis courts, polo fields, and unique, desert bridle trails are inviting to those who desire recreation and relaxation. Less than half a day by motor over good roads brings pine forests, trout streams, and a sportsman's paradise of wild life—deer, bear, wild turkey, doves, quail and white wings, in season, of course. Within two hours' ride over the scenic, internationally famous Apache Trail, beautiful lakes afford the thrills of bass, crappie and perch fishing and of sailing and motor boating. The sunny, outdoor pools, maintained by many of the hotels, furnish excellent swimming facilities. Interesting motor excursions to the haunts of the ancient Americans or to the picturesque old missions offer mental diversion. The fetes and fiestas of the Indians, the real Western rodeos, and "chuck wagon" picnics are always exciting.

POINTS OF INTEREST

No state can claim more wonderful scenic attractions and points of historical and ethnological interest. Phoenix is happily located for many novel and world famous trips of exploration. Good roads lead in all directions to a wealth of beauty, mystery and allurements.



Apache Trail. This is a scenic highway which has become internationally famous. In a general way Apache Indians in the early days followed trails where the road is now. The



**Horseback Riding
in the Desert**



**Golfing in
Midwinter**



Modern Highways and Bridges: New Tempe Bridge (above), Queen Creek Bridge (center), Agua Fria Bridge (below)

Trail leads through rugged mountains, along picturesque, multi-colored cliffs, on the side of deep canyons and emerges at Roosevelt Dam, one of the greatest of modern engineering accomplishments. There are four artificial lakes of great beauty along the way. The highway continues on to Globe and Miami, where are located some of the largest copper mines with smelters and machinery for handling the ore. The return trip may be made by way of Superior, another mining town, over a fine highway only slightly less scenic than the Apache Trail.

The State Capitol Building is a dignified and impressive structure of native Tufa stone, surrounded by a beautiful, landscaped park. An interesting feature of the grounds is a cac-



Paved Highway near Phoenix



Concrete Highway near Buckeye

tus garden containing every variety of Arizona cactus.

The Indian School, largest in the United States, is only a short distance from Phoenix. This worthy institution was established by the Federal Government and has an approximate enrollment of one thousand students with the Pima, Papago, Maricopa, Hopi, Navajo and Yuma tribes represented.

The Yaqui Indian Village is a treat to visitors. Here the Guadalupe Tribe dwells in tiny adobe huts, which, clustered in somewhat conglomerate array, form this typical little Indian Village.

In flare of wild beauty, the Phoenix Mountain Park unfurls 15,000 acres of natural scenery. Located just eight miles from Phoenix this huge acreage comprises the largest city park in the world.

Hieroglyphic Canyon is one of the most fascinating spots in the Valley. Mysterious messages from the days beyond the memory of white man are found in strange inscriptions, pictures and symbols on the rocks along this intriguing canyon.

The Museum, Reptile Garden, Curio Shops, Indian Ruins, and prehistoric relics, Dude Ranches, and many other interesting features make "passing the time" in the Salt River Valley a complete joy.

(Above) High School Building at Scottsdale; (Below) Brophy College





**THE GREAT SALT RIVER VALLEY PLAIN
IN MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA**

This Valley is traversed by the lower reaches of not only The Salt, but also the Verde, Agua Fria, and a part of the Gila and Hassayampa Rivers, and is well protected by rugged mountain ranges on every side. This map shows the location of the various irrigation projects, the cities and towns as well as the topography of the valley plain and the surrounding country.

THAYDEN
PHOENIX, ARIZ
COPYRIGHTED 1932



Picking Cantaloupes in the Glendale District.
A Well and Pumping Plant in the Salt River Valley.

SCHOOLS

From the beginning of the development of the Salt River Valley those who have been responsible for its planning have foreseen the necessity for establishing modern schools which would have one of the highest national ratings. That ideal has been consummated and the standard has been maintained; today citizens are justly proud of the schools and visitors have only the highest praise for the establishments of mind training from the kindergarten to the higher institutions of learning. There are thirteen high schools in Maricopa County. Several of these high schools maintain junior colleges where instruction is given in the first two years of university courses, acceptable in most colleges and universities in the United States. At Tempe, eight miles east of Phoenix, is located the Arizona State Teachers' College. While this is primarily for the training of teachers, a liberal course makes it possible to obtain a general college education.

Children of visitors are admitted to schools at any time without charge.

All schools in this section, both public and private, feature outdoor activities, thus tak-

ing advantage of the rich, warm, sunshine hours.

CHURCHES

To those who have familiarized themselves with the frontier history of Arizona, as told in "Wolfville Tales" and other colorful books of the early days, it may be somewhat surprising to know that much of the foundation and the progress is built upon religion. Nearly all church denominations are represented by organizations and many of these have erected edifices which would be a credit to much larger cities.

CLUBS AND MISCELLANEOUS ORGANIZATIONS

Clubs and organizations in Phoenix are comprehensive. Among them are various social clubs, luncheon clubs including Rotary, Kiwanis and Lions, nearly all fraternal organizations, women's clubs, Pan-Hellenic, Y. M. C. A., Y. W. C. A., musical organizations, Strangers' Club, Writers' Club, state societies composed of former citizens of many states of the union, and many others which facilitate making acquaintances and forming new friendships. The true Western hospitality prevails and newcomers shortly after arrival find themselves enjoying the social and cultural life of an enterprising community.

ROADS

Throughout this Valley has been built a wonderful system of highways, supplemented with wide, graveled roads in the lesser traveled sections. These roads connect all of the

Comfortable ranch houses amid well kept citrus groves.



towns and communities in Maricopa County and also connect with highways leading through the important cities and towns of the state. Phoenix is on two transcontinental highways between El Paso on the east and Los Angeles and San Diego on the west. Some of the highways in the vicinity are internationally known. Among these should be mentioned the Apache Trail which follows in a general sense the paths of the savage Apache Indians a century ago in the raids upon the peaceful, pastoral Indians living along the river courses. A map which shows the roads and also cites points of scenic and historical interest over the state will be mailed, if requested.

COST OF LIVING

Cost of living here will compare very favorably with other sections throughout the country. Rents are reasonable and the fact that little fuel is required makes the housing costs less than in most places, and in this connection it might be mentioned, there is material saving in the cost of clothing. Fresh vegetables and all the fruits grown in a semi-tropical climate are available throughout the entire year and these, together with poultry and dairy products, are obtainable at reasonable prices. Utilities include natural gas, electricity and fuel.

AGRICULTURE AND HOME LIFE

Conditions, both natural and artificial, have combined to make this the ideal home country. With the rich soil, abundance of water, long growing season, and warm sunshine, almost fabulous crops are grown.

The Roosevelt Dam stores water for a continuous and ample supply of water for irrigation. In the Salt River Project, which receives its water from this reservoir, 240,000 acres are irrigated. Other projects, both gravity and pumping, add about 160,000 acres more. The farmer here does not need to wor-



Alfalfa hay is one of the largest agricultural crops.



Dairying and lettuce raising are foremost valley industries.

ry about a drouth, or excessive soil moisture from long continued rains.

A planting calendar and other detailed agricultural information will be furnished on request.

Arizona leads the nation in acre yield of a number of crops. In the last United States Census among the fifty leading counties of the nation, Maricopa County was second in the acreage of cantaloupes, third in lettuce, fourth in vegetables grown and harvested for market, thirteenth in number of bearing grapefruit trees and thirty-sixth in number of bearing orange trees. A considerable part of the lettuce which supplies the nation's need is grown in the Salt River valley.

Arizona is well and favorably known as one of the citrus growing states because of the superior quality, especially of its grapefruit. Dates grow here as luxuriantly as in their native habitat of Arabia and are shipped as a delicate confection to all parts of the United States. Other semi-tropical fruits and vegetables are grown successfully, and in addition most of the products indigenous to the temperate zones may be grown as well. In garden crops to supply the home needs, something may be planted each month of the year,

and in the well planned garden some product is available each month. The home owner may produce a large part of the fruits and vegetables for the family table, having a fresh supply every day. The general crops are alfalfa, cotton, grain and grain sorghum.

The average date for the last frost in the spring is February 10; the average date for the earliest frost in the fall is December 3. This makes an average growing season of 297 days.

The fine highways make it possible for connection and easy transportation from the remote farms to the towns of the Valley for marketing purposes, for church, and for recreation. Schools are well located throughout the entire Valley and bus transportation is furnished pupils when desired. The mail and daily papers are delivered at the farmer's door. From the water which supplies his irrigation needs, hydro-electric power is produced and is made available for use in operation of farm and domestic machinery, cooking, lighting, pumping, domestic water and refrigeration. Farm organizations of the National Farm Bureau, Grange and Farmers' Union are represented here. Farm community clubs, garden clubs and other local organizations are very active. While the people who live here

come from practically every state in the union, the genuine western hospitality is paramount and the newcomer is assured of a welcome in whatever community he decides to locate.

PHOENIX GROWTH


	1913	1923	1933
Population	16,500	34,800	52,000
School Enrollment		9,255	13,000
Postal Receipts....	105,825	275,625	452,676
Telephones	1,350	7,940	14,000
Water Service (June, 1916)	4,992	7,914	13,340
Gas Consumers	2,250	6,432	11,199
Electric Consumers	3,520	8,954	20,607
Assessed Valuation	\$24,111,600	\$46,289,885	\$63,500,000
Auto Registrations (Maricopa County)	3,583	17,799	39,284
Combined Circulation Two Daily Papers	11,000	23,000	40,000

For further information relative to Phoenix or Maricopa County, write to the Phoenix Chamber of Commerce, 27 East Van Buren Street, Phoenix, Arizona.

The Mormon Temple near Mesa



San Marcos Hotel



Air View of Tempe




Chandler High School





Citrus Warehouse



Buckeye High School



Glendale Icing Plant and Shipping Trucks—Packing Grapes

INCORPORATED TOWNS AND CITIES IN MARICOPA COUNTY

Including Phoenix, there are nine incorporated towns and cities in Maricopa County. Phoenix has the commission-manager form of government and all of the others have the mayor-council form.

BUCKEYE. Buckeye is 35 miles west of Phoenix, on U. S. Highway 80 and on the main line of the Southern Pacific. The first irrigation canal in this district was built in 1886, and the settlement later known as Buckeye was founded about the same time. About 20,000 acres of land are irrigated under the Buckeye Water Conservation District direct from the Gila River. The Roosevelt Irrigation District comprises about 40,000 acres and is irrigated from wells located in the Salt River Irrigation Project east of the Agua Fria River.

Cotton, alfalfa, alfalfa seed, grains and grain sorghums are the principal products, in the area of which Buckeye is the center. There is also some citrus land here.

Buckeye was incorporated in 1929; area 1 square mile; altitude, 888 feet; population, 1930, 1,077; Buckeye Precinct, 2,447. Valencia is a new town adjacent to Buckeye. Buckeye has 5 churches; Chamber of Commerce; 1 hotel; 2 theatres; 4 tourist camps; 1 bank; 1 luncheon club; the Lions; 1 newspaper, "Buckeye Review"; high school and grade school.


CHANDLER. Chandler is on State Highway 87, one of the principal Phoenix-Tucson Highways, is located 23 miles southeast of Phoenix, and on the main line of the Southern

Pacific Railway. This town was established in 1912, incorporated in 1921. Area, 1 square mile; altitude, 1,214 feet; population, 1930 census, 1,378; Chandler Precinct, 4,938. This town is located in the Salt River Irrigation Project, but serves a territory that extends into other projects. A new citrus area has recently been opened up in the Chandler district. Chandler Airport is recognized by the U. S. Department of Commerce. There are 4 hotels, including the San Marcos, one of the best known resort hotels in the Southwest; a Chamber of Commerce. There are 11 school buildings, including a high school. The city is laid out around a beautiful park and most important streets are paved. The country surrounding is a large agricultural district. Cotton, alfalfa, grains, lettuce and live stock growing are the principal industries. There is 1 bank and 1 newspaper, "Chandler Arizonan".

GILBERT. Gilbert is located on a branch line of the Southern Pacific Railway from Phoenix to Winkelman. It is on a paved county highway, 7 miles south of Mesa, 23 miles southeast of Phoenix. It has an area of 1 square mile; population, 1930, 791; altitude 1,276 feet. This is within the Salt River Project, but serves a district comprising a part of the Roosevelt Water Conservation District. The principal crops grown are alfalfa and grain. Livestock growing is also an important industry. There are 3 school buildings, including a high school and 1 theatre.

GLENDALE. Glendale is located 9 miles northwest of Phoenix on the Santa Fe Railway and U. S. Highway 89. Area, 2½ square miles; altitude, 1,154 feet; population, census,

THE SALT RIVER VALLEY and PHOENIX, ARIZONA



Maricopa County Courthouse and City Hall

1930, 3,065. Incorporated in 1929. Population of the 4 Glendale Precincts, 6,937. A branch of the Valley Bank is located at Glendale. The principal streets are paved. Luncheon clubs, Rotary and Lions; 1 newspaper, "Glendale News"; Chamber of Commerce. This town is located in the Salt River Project in the center of the principal cantaloupe and lettuce growing districts of Maricopa County. There are 37 school buildings, including the high school. The U. S. Poultry Experimental Station is located at Glendale. Large areas are devoted to cotton and alfalfa, and dairying is an important live stock industry.

MESA. Mesa is located 16 miles east of Phoenix on U. S. Highway 80 and on the main line of the Southern Pacific Railway. Area, 1 square mile; population, 1930, 3,711; Mesa Precincts, 8,677. Incorporated in 1881. Altitude 1229. Mesa is located in the Salt River Irrigation Project, but serves a considerable part of the Roosevelt Water Conservation District. Some of the best citrus land in Arizona is located in the Mesa district, and one of the packing plants of the Arizona Citrus Growers Association is located at Mesa. There is 1 bank, and also a branch of the Valley Bank. There are 6 churches, 3 luncheon clubs, Rotary, Lions, Hiram's, 1 newspaper, "Mesa Journal-Tribune"; 6 school buildings including a high school; Mesa District Chamber of Commerce. The State Experimental Farm is located in this district. One of the outstanding features of the city of Mesa is wide, well-lighted streets. 2 theatres; 6 tourist camps; 5 hotels, 1 hospital. Principal crops raised in the Mesa district, in addition to citrus, are cotton, alfalfa, lettuce, cantaloupes and grain. Live stock growing is an important industry.

TEMPE. Tempe is the second oldest town in the Salt River Valley, established about 1870, incorporated in 1895; area,

$\frac{3}{4}$ square miles; population, 1930, 2,495; 4 Tempe precincts, 4,464; 9 churches; Chamber of Commerce. The Tempe State Teachers' College is located in this town. Tempe is located in the Salt River Irrigation Project; principal agricultural crops are cotton, alfalfa and fruits, with live stock an important industry. It is on the main line of the Southern Pacific Railway and U. S. Highway 80. There is 1 newspaper, "The Tempe News"; 1 bank; 2 luncheon clubs, Rotary and Lions; 4 school buildings, including high school; 1 theatre; 8 tourist camps; 2 hotels, and a U. S. Entomological Laboratory.

TOLLESON. Tolleson is the center of an agricultural district 10 miles west of Phoenix on the Southern Pacific Railway and U. S. Highway 80. Incorporated in 1929; population, 1930, 910. The principal crops are cotton, alfalfa, lettuce, cantaloupes, with live stock an important industry. Area $\frac{1}{2}$ mile; altitude 1,011 feet; 3 school buildings, including a high school.

WICKENBURG. Wickenburg is located on the Santa Fe Railway and U. S. Highway 89; altitude, 2,077; area $\frac{1}{2}$ mile; population, 1930, 734; Wickenburg Precinct, 891; 1 bank; 3 churches; 2 hotels; 4 tourist camps; 6 guest ranches. Principal industry is mining, live stock and agriculture; 3 school buildings, including high school.

There are 25 unincorporated communities in Maricopa County, including Agua Caliente, Aguila, Arlington, Avondale, Cactus, Cashion, Cave Creek, Estrella Hill, Gila Bend, Goodyear, Harqua, Higley, Komatke, Laveen, Liberty, Litchfield Park, Marinette, Mobile, Morristown (Hot Springs Junction), Palo Verde, Peoria, Scottsdale, Sentinel, Valencia, and Wittman.


ANNUAL REPORT
CLERK OF BOARD

OF

SUPERVISORS

MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

For the Year
1933



Maricopa County Court House

ORIS HOLDREN
Clerk

REPORT OF THE CLERK
OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MARICOPA COUNTY
STATE OF ARIZONA

Statements of assessments, bonded indebtedness, expenditures, receipts, tax rates, and value of property owned by Maricopa County

For the Year 1933

As shown by the Records in the office of the
Board of Supervisors of said
Maricopa County

ORIS HOLDREN
Clerk Board of Supervisors

**INDEX TO REPORT OF CLERK
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, MARICOPA COUNTY
FOR THE YEAR, 1933**

County Officers—1933-1934	5-6
Abstract of Assessment Roll	7
Valuations—School Districts	8
Valuations—High School Districts	9
Valuations—Other Districts	10
Valuations—Towns	10
Valuations—County Property	11
Bonded Indebtedness	12
Financial Statements—School Districts	13-26
Bonded Indebtedness—Maricopa County	25-26
Audit of County Claims	27-31
Receipts and Disbursements of County Treasurer.....	32-33
Tax Summary	33
Comparative Statement of Operating Expenses	34
Comparative Statement of Tax Collection Distribution..	34
Tax Statement—County Treasurer	35
Fund Balances	35-41
Bank Balances	41-42
Tax Rates	42-44
Comparative Tax Rates and Assessed Valuations, 1893-1933	44
Department Annual Reports	45-47

LIST OF COUNTY OFFICERS FOR 1933-1934

State Senator.....	Frank T. Pomeroy
State Senator.....	James Minotto
State Representative District No. 1.....	*J. Melvin Goodson
State Representative District No. 2.....	Bert C. Armstrong
State Representative District No. 3.....	Bridgie M. Porter
State Representative District No. 4.....	G. L. Christian
State Representative District No. 5.....	M. V. Decker
State Representative District No. 6.....	James B. Sayers
State Representative District No. 7.....	E. R. Pryor
State Representative District No. 8.....	Geo. A. Johnson
State Representative District No. 9.....	Frank W. Beer
State Representative District No. 10.....	Hugh E. Laird
State Representative District No. 11.....	W. R. Palmer
State Representative District No. 12.....	J. M. Combs
State Representative District No. 13.....	Nat M. Dysart
State Representative District No. 14.....	J. C. Wilson
State Representative District No. 15.....	Chas. E. Mincks
State Representative District No. 16.....	Charles E. Jennings
State Representative District No. 17.....	Conner Johnson
State Representative District No. 18.....	Mary Francis
State Representative District No. 19.....	M. G. Pratt
State Representative District No. 20.....	Lewis Irvine
State Representative District No. 21.....	Walter I. Ettleman
State Representative District No. 22.....	John J. Phillips
State Representative District No. 23.....	L. Alton Riggs

- Successor to J. Lee Loveless, resigned.

* Successor to Hugh Callahan, resigned.

COUNTY OFFICIALS

Sheriff.....	J. R. McFadden
Treasurer.....	Harry M. Moore
Recorder.....	W. H. Linville
Judge, Superior Court.....	M. T. Phelps
Judge, Superior Court.....	Howard C. Speakman
Judge, Superior Court.....	J. C. Niles
Judge, Superior Court.....	G. A. Rodgers
County Attorney.....	Renz L. Jennings
Clerk of Superior Court.....	Walter S. Wilson
Assessor.....	Ed Oglesby
School Superintendent.....	E. D. Ring
Supervisor, Chairman.....	H. C. Gilbert
Supervisor, Member.....	C. Warren Peterson
Supervisor, Member.....	W. K. Bowen
Clerk, Board of Supervisors.....	Oris Holdren
County Engineer.....	Barney R. Hodgin

BOARD OF HEALTH

President.....	H. C. Gilbert
Vice-President.....	Renz L. Jennings
Secretary.....	Dr. C. C. Craig

MARICOPA COUNTY HEALTH UNIT

Director.....	Dr. A. N. Crain
---------------	-----------------

PRECINCT OFFICERS

BUCKEYE

Justice.....	Al Makin
Constable.....	J. G. Goodman

CHANDLER

Justice.....	Maude S. Sparks
Constable.....	Pete Parrish

GILA BEND

Justice.....	R. W. Condon
Constable.....	*E. J. Lumpkin

GLENDALE

Justice.....F. T. Patterson
Constable.....John C. Walker

GILBERT

Justice.....W. C. McConnell
Constable.....B. Porter Northrup

MESA

Justice.....Howard S. Standage
Constable.....Joe Leavitt

PEORIA

Justice.....P. L. Smith
Constable.....H. B. Coor

EAST PHOENIX

Justice.....Clarence E. Ice
Constable.....Harry E. Westfall

WEST PHOENIX

Justice.....Nat T. McKee
Constable.....W. H. Wilky

SCOTTSDALE

Justice.....Wm. W. Davis
Constable.....A. L. Frederick

TEMPE

Justice.....W. C. Mills
Constable.....R. L. McDonald

WICKENBURG

Justice.....O. R. Jaycox
Constable.....E. F. Devanney

*Successor to C. H. Hocker, resigned.

COUNTY PHYSICIANS

Buckeye.....
Glendale.....Dr. J. M. Pearson
Gila Bend.....Dr. G. T. Wells
Mesa.....Dr. C. A. Donaldson
Phoenix.....Dr. C. C. Craig
Tempe.....Dr. W. E. Patterson
Wickenburg.....Dr. J. A. Copeland
Chandler.....Dr. James M. Meason
Gilbert.....Dr. L. M. Tompkins

ABSTRACT OF ASSESSMENT ROLL, MARICOPA COUNTY, 1933

Description of Property	Number of Acres	Unit Value	Total Valuation
Irrigated Land.....	268,527	59.00	\$ 15,847,170.00
Improvements.....			5,028,415.00
Dry Farming Lands.....	124,074	14.12	1,752,630.00
Improvements.....			239,510.00
Grazing Land.....	703,272	3.08	2,161,180.00
Improvements.....			235,305.00
City and Town Lots.....			15,813,765.00
Improvements.....			27,375,885.00
Non-Productive Mines.....	52		87,520.00
Improvements.....			1,215.00
Mining Machinery.....			13,000.00
Banks.....			3,485,545.00
Merchandise.....			5,262,905.00
Furniture, Household and Office.....			3,679,975.00
Automobiles.....	32,334	118.50	3,835,930.00
Motorcycles.....	95	29.00	2,755.00
Railroads.....	365.40 miles		12,212,668.00
Telephone Lines.....	80,030.60 miles		2,900,512.00
Telegraph Lines.....	1,943.60 miles		192,740.00
Gas, Electric and Power Plants.....			6,080,635.00
Poultry—Dozens.....	6,965	3.34	23,276.00
Bees—stands of.....	5,989	2.01	12,061.00
Horses—Stock.....	3	20.00	60.00
Horses—Range.....	145	7.17	1,040.00
Horses—Work, Class A.....	18	47.22	850.00
Horses—Work, Class B.....	2,124	25.25	53,630.00
Horses—Saddle.....	508	25.29	12,850.00
Horses—Stallions.....	3	91.66	275.00
Mules—Class A.....	35	55.00	1,925.00
Mules—Class B.....	946	24.54	23,215.00
Asses.....	42	2.60	110.00
Cattle—Range.....	16,889	10.20	172,145.00
Cattle—Steers 2 years up.....	7,061	11.00	77,570.00
Cattle—Stock.....	5,968	11.25	67,135.00
Cattle—Beef or Feeders.....	7,087	14.20	100,665.00
Cattle—Milch Cows.....	17,228	22.39	385,680.00
Cattle—Bulls.....	765	23.07	17,650.00
Sheep.....	22,696	1.86	42,370.00
Sheep—Bucks.....	212	10.14	2,150.00
Goats—Common.....	1,014	.51	516.00
Swine.....	1,366	4.86	6,640.00
Machinery and Equipment.....			2,123,085.00
Improvements on Possessory Rights.....			312,510.00
All other property.....			415,010.00
TOTAL.....			\$110,061,678.00
Less real estate, improvements and personal property of Banks doubly included above.....			1,354,040.00
TOTAL GROSS VALUATION.....			\$108,707,638.00
total Exemptions.....			\$9,013,997.00
			\$ 99,693,641.00
Net increases by State Tax Commission.....			780,000.00
TOTAL.....			\$100,473,641.00

**MARICOPA COUNTY
SCHOOL DISTRICT 1933
ASSESSED VALUATIONS**

School District	Personal	Realty	Improvements	Gross Value	Exemptions	Net Valuation
No. 1	\$11,194,880.00	\$12,092,010.00	\$19,754,585.00	\$ 43,041,475.00	\$4,938,450.00	\$ 38,103,025.00
No. 1-8	672,275.00	964,425.00	3,010,890.00	4,647,590.00	543,225.00	4,104,365.00
No. 1-14	46,595.00	68,885.00	175,630.00	291,110.00	26,565.00	264,545.00
No. 1-21	3,380.00	12,780.00	38,515.00	54,675.00	6,630.00	48,045.00
No. 2	1,424,425.00	217,410.00	491,263.00	2,133,098.00	10,805.00	2,122,293.00
No. 3	430,000.00	430,290.00	945,059.00	1,805,349.00	183,520.00	1,621,829.00
No. 4	385,950.00	1,363,285.00	1,554,045.00	3,303,280.00	535,215.00	2,768,065.00
No. 5	55,125.00	292,835.00	148,585.00	496,545.00	27,125.00	469,420.00
No. 6	141,795.00	960,945.00	326,594.00	1,429,334.00	83,575.00	1,345,759.00
No. 7	296,105.00	324,760.00	305,547.00	926,412.00	75,160.00	851,252.00
No. 8	674,960.00	1,051,955.00	2,129,465.00	3,856,380.00	478,730.00	3,377,650.00
No. 8-1	25,775.00	46,625.00	193,980.00	266,380.00	43,320.00	223,060.00
No. 9	62,225.00	41,975.00	605,352.00	709,552.00	14,000.00	695,552.00
No. 10	26,800.00	357,465.00	74,374.00	458,639.00	26,690.00	431,949.00
No. 11	138,655.00	1,070,825.00	600,502.00	1,809,982.00	177,290.00	1,632,692.00
No. 13	47,205.00	374,785.00	99,198.00	521,188.00	19,100.00	502,088.00
No. 14	197,665.00	969,735.00	891,474.00	2,058,874.00	212,115.00	1,846,759.00
No. 17	67,095.00	175,205.00	156,921.00	399,221.00	5,290.00	393,931.00
No. 19	102,435.00	340,240.00	301,076.00	743,751.00	62,880.00	680,871.00
No. 21	153,300.00	182,155.00	196,687.00	532,142.00	15,615.00	516,527.00
No. 22	1,885.00	48,270.00	6,268.00	56,423.00	3,215.00	53,208.00
No. 24	66,140.00	84,295.00	625,190.00	775,625.00	1,340.00	774,285.00
No. 25	62,790.00	241,190.00	282,350.00	586,330.00	8,775.00	577,555.00
No. 26	36,380.00	289,130.00	24,985.00	350,495.00	1,480.00	349,015.00
No. 26-28	10,525.00	68,655.00	2,670.00	81,850.00	-----	81,850.00
No. 28	50,945.00	601,210.00	173,532.00	825,687.00	34,355.00	791,332.00
No. 30	83,175.00	91,965.00	187,865.00	363,005.00	14,135.00	348,870.00
No. 31	245,590.00	300,125.00	375,430.00	921,145.00	37,510.00	883,635.00
No. 33	271,255.00	299,285.00	440,745.00	1,011,285.00	36,235.00	975,050.00
No. 38	552,385.00	1,205,255.00	1,322,360.00	3,080,000.00	131,180.00	2,948,820.00
No. 40	569,110.00	917,120.00	902,240.00	2,388,470.00	189,930.00	2,198,540.00
No. 41	108,225.00	972,490.00	564,733.00	1,645,448.00	109,630.00	1,535,818.00
No. 41-60	5,270.00	117,485.00	7,435.00	130,190.00	4,240.00	125,950.00
No. 44	67,475.00	238,930.00	268,836.00	575,241.00	11,960.00	563,281.00
No. 45	43,440.00	337,970.00	206,821.00	588,231.00	10,355.00	577,876.00
No. 47	13,950.00	216,950.00	844,519.00	1,075,419.00	6,370.00	1,069,049.00
No. 48	108,300.00	1,045,115.00	589,767.00	1,743,182.00	60,405.00	1,682,777.00
No. 49	29,790.00	254,625.00	275,859.00	560,274.00	11,550.00	548,724.00
No. 49-BH	-----	40,365.00	-----	40,365.00	-----	40,365.00
No. 50	12,325.00	57,160.00	39,609.00	109,094.00	6,875.00	102,219.00
No. 59	24,230.00	343,700.00	35,547.00	403,477.00	23,150.00	380,327.00
No. 60	8,160.00	272,725.00	310,953.00	591,838.00	9,480.00	582,358.00
No. 62	9,235.00	95,960.00	8,385.00	113,580.00	3,780.00	109,800.00
No. 63	12,200.00	13,740.00	168,212.00	194,152.00	935.00	193,217.00
No. 65	40,460.00	301,815.00	173,499.00	515,774.00	6,710.00	509,064.00
No. 66	103,685.00	665,715.00	312,251.00	1,081,651.00	70,220.00	1,011,431.00
No. 66-TH	33,425.00	347,240.00	97,255.00	477,920.00	34,910.00	443,010.00
No. 68	223,385.00	573,225.00	389,933.00	1,186,543.00	44,765.00	1,141,778.00
No. 69	7,795.00	97,640.00	16,952.00	122,387.00	11,495.00	110,892.00
No. 71	8,635.00	5,765.00	650,810.00	665,210.00	1,620.00	663,590.00
No. 72	625.00	56,785.00	42,270.00	99,680.00	585.00	99,095.00
No. 75	2,125.00	15,395.00	489,146.00	506,666.00	2,955.00	503,711.00
No. 79	85,635.00	293,635.00	88,050.00	467,320.00	1,730.00	465,590.00
No. 80	256,025.00	1,509,645.00	949,308.00	2,714,978.00	88,775.00	2,626,203.00
No. 81	1,070.00	40,890.00	314,832.00	356,792.00	2,305.00	354,487.00
No. 83	67,570.00	508,410.00	79,817.00	655,797.00	51,830.00	603,967.00
No. 85	1,240.00	84,310.00	5,710.00	91,260.00	5,670.00	85,590.00
No. 86	25.00	3,600.00	1,012,666.00	1,016,291.00	450.00	1,015,841.00
No. 89	16,160.00	322,075.00	545,850.00	884,085.00	8,025.00	876,060.00
No. 90	1,015.00	48,695.00	28,980.00	78,690.00	12,710.00	65,980.00
No. 91	420.00	40,855.00	160.00	41,435.00	9,050.00	32,385.00
No. 92	32,995.00	465,190.00	30,265.00	528,450.00	11,970.00	516,480.00
No. 93	2,515.00	17,015.00	8,600.00	28,130.00	10,150.00	17,980.00
No. 94	16,485.00	331,345.00	621,515.00	969,345.00	-----	969,345.00
Not Classified	194,700.00	416,865.00	2,663,578.00	3,275,143.00	36,950.00	3,238,193.00
TOTAL	\$19,635,415.00	\$35,638,415.00	\$48,185,500.00	\$103,459,330.00	\$8,615,060.00	\$ 94,844,270.00
RECONCILIATION						
Total Secured	\$19,635,415.00	\$35,638,415.00	\$48,185,500.00	\$103,459,330.00	\$8,615,060.00	\$ 94,844,270.00
Total Un-secured	6,028,408.00	-----	-----	6,028,408.00	398,937.00	5,629,471.00
TOTAL	\$25,663,823.00	\$35,638,415.00	\$48,185,500.00	\$109,487,738.00	\$9,013,997.00	\$100,473,741.00

**MARICOPA COUNTY
HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICTS—1933
ASSESSED VALUATIONS**

BUCKEYE HIGH SCHOOL

School District	Personal	Realty	Improvements	Gross Value	Exemptions	Net Valuation
No. 25	\$ 62,790.00	\$ 241,190.00	\$ 282,350.00	\$ 586,330.00	\$ 8,775.00	\$ 577,555.00
No. 33	271,255.00	299,285.00	440,745.00	1,011,285.00	36,235.00	975,050.00
No. 47	13,950.00	216,950.00	844,519.00	1,075,419.00	6,370.00	1,069,049.00
No. 49-BH	-----	40,365.00	-----	40,365.00	-----	40,365.00
TOTAL	\$ 347,995.00	\$ 797,790.00	\$ 1,567,614.00	\$ 2,713,399.00	\$ 51,380.00	\$ 2,662,019.00

CHANDLER HIGH SCHOOL

No. 80	\$ 256,025.00	\$ 1,509,645.00	\$ 949,308.00	\$ 2,714,978.00	\$ 88,775.00	\$ 2,626,203.00
--------	---------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------	--------------	-----------------

GILA BEND HIGH SCHOOL

No. 24	\$ 66,140.00	\$ 84,295.00	\$ 625,190.00	\$ 775,625.00	\$ 1,340.00	\$ 774,285.00
--------	--------------	--------------	---------------	---------------	-------------	---------------

GILBERT HIGH SCHOOL

No. 41	108,225.00	972,490.00	564,733.00	\$ 1,645,448.00	\$ 109,630.00	\$ 1,535,818.00
No. 41-60	5,270.00	117,485.00	7,435.00	130,190.00	4,240.00	125,950.00
TOTAL	\$ 113,495.00	\$ 1,089,975.00	\$ 572,168.00	\$ 1,775,638.00	\$ 113,870.00	\$ 1,661,768.00

GLENDALE HIGH SCHOOL

No. 6	\$ 141,795.00	\$ 960,945.00	\$ 326,594.00	\$ 1,429,334.00	\$ 83,575.00	\$ 1,345,759.00
No. 40	569,110.00	917,120.00	902,240.00	2,388,470.00	189,930.00	2,198,540.00
TOTAL	\$ 710,905.00	\$ 1,878,065.00	\$ 1,228,834.00	\$ 3,817,804.00	\$ 273,505.00	\$ 3,544,299.00

LITCHFIELD HIGH SCHOOL

No. 79	\$ 85,635.00	\$ 293,635.00	\$ 88,050.00	\$ 467,320.00	\$ 1,730.00	\$ 465,590.00
--------	--------------	---------------	--------------	---------------	-------------	---------------

MESA HIGH SCHOOL

No. 4	\$ 385,950.00	\$ 1,363,285.00	\$ 1,554,045.00	\$ 3,303,280.00	\$ 535,215.00	\$ 2,768,065.00
No. 10	26,800.00	357,465.00	74,374.00	458,639.00	26,690.00	431,949.00
No. 19	102,435.00	340,240.00	301,076.00	743,751.00	62,880.00	680,871.00
No. 26	36,380.00	289,130.00	24,985.00	350,495.00	1,480.00	349,015.00
No. 26-28	10,525.00	68,655.00	2,670.00	81,850.00	-----	81,850.00
TOTAL	\$ 562,090.00	\$ 2,418,775.00	\$ 1,957,150.00	\$ 4,938,015.00	\$ 626,265.00	\$ 4,311,750.00

PEORIA HIGH SCHOOL

No. 11	\$ 138,655.00	\$ 1,070,825.00	\$ 600,502.00	\$ 1,809,982.00	\$ 177,290.00	\$ 1,632,692.00
--------	---------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------

PHOENIX HIGH SCHOOL

No. 1	\$11,194,880.00	\$12,092,010.00	\$19,754,585.00	\$43,041,475.00	\$4,938,450.00	\$38,103,025.00
No. 1-8	672,275.00	964,425.00	3,010,890.00	4,647,590.00	543,225.00	4,104,365.00
No. 1-14	46,595.00	68,885.00	175,630.00	291,110.00	26,565.00	264,545.00
No. 1-21	3,380.00	12,780.00	38,515.00	54,675.00	6,630.00	48,045.00
No. 8-1	25,775.00	46,625.00	193,980.00	266,380.00	43,320.00	223,060.00
No. 66-TH	33,425.00	347,240.00	97,255.00	477,920.00	34,910.00	443,010.00
No. 2	1,424,425.00	217,410.00	491,263.00	2,133,098.00	10,805.00	2,122,293.00
No. 5	55,125.00	292,835.00	148,585.00	496,545.00	27,125.00	469,420.00
No. 7	296,105.00	324,760.00	305,547.00	926,412.00	75,160.00	851,252.00
No. 8	674,960.00	1,051,955.00	2,129,465.00	3,856,380.00	478,730.00	3,377,650.00
No. 14	197,665.00	969,735.00	891,474.00	2,058,874.00	212,115.00	1,846,759.00
No. 21	153,300.00	182,155.00	196,687.00	532,142.00	15,615.00	516,527.00
No. 30	83,175.00	91,965.00	187,865.00	363,005.00	14,135.00	348,870.00
No. 31	245,590.00	800,125.00	375,430.00	921,145.00	37,510.00	883,635.00
No. 38	552,385.00	1,205,255.00	1,322,360.00	3,080,000.00	131,180.00	2,948,820.00
No. 66	103,685.00	665,715.00	312,251.00	1,081,651.00	70,220.00	1,011,431.00
No. 68	223,385.00	573,225.00	389,933.00	1,186,543.00	44,765.00	1,141,778.00
No. 83	67,570.00	508,410.00	79,817.00	655,797.00	51,830.00	603,967.00
TOTAL	\$16,053,700.00	\$19,915,510.00	\$30,101,532.00	\$66,070,742.00	\$6,762,290.00	\$59,308,452.00

SCOTTSDALE HIGH SCHOOL

No. 48	\$ 108,300.00	\$ 1,045,115.00	\$ 589,767.00	\$ 1,743,182.00	\$ 60,405.00	\$ 1,682,777.00
--------	---------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------	--------------	-----------------

TEMPE HIGH SCHOOL

No. 3	\$ 430,000.00	\$ 430,290.00	\$ 945,059.00	\$ 1,805,349.00	\$ 183,520.00	\$ 1,621,829.00
No. 13	47,205.00	374,785.00	99,198.00	521,188.00	19,100.00	502,088.00
No. 28	50,945.00	601,210.00	173,532.00	825,687.00	34,355.00	791,332.00
No. 50	12,325.00	57,160.00	39,609.00	109,094.00	6,875.00	102,219.00
TOTAL	\$ 540,475.00	\$ 1,463,445.00	\$ 1,257,398.00	\$ 3,261,318.00	\$ 243,850.00	\$ 3,017,468.00

HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICTS (Con't)

TOLLESON HIGH SCHOOL

School District	Personal	Realty	Improvements	Gross Value	Exemptions	Net Valuation
No. 17	\$ 67,095.00	\$ 175,205.00	\$ 156,921.00	\$ 399,221.00	\$ 5,290.00	\$ 393,931.00
No. 22	1,885.00	48,270.00	6,268.00	56,423.00	3,215.00	53,208.00
No. 45	43,440.00	337,970.00	206,821.00	588,231.00	10,355.00	577,876.00
No. 62	9,235.00	95,960.00	8,385.00	113,580.00	3,780.00	109,800.00
No. 65	40,460.00	301,815.00	173,499.00	515,774.00	6,710.00	509,064.00
No. 92	32,995.00	465,190.00	30,265.00	528,450.00	11,970.00	516,480.00
TOTAL	\$ 195,110.00	\$ 1,424,410.00	\$ 582,159.00	\$ 2,201,679.00	\$ 41,320.00	\$ 2,160,359.00

WICKENBURG HIGH SCHOOL

No. 9	\$ 62,225.00	\$ 41,975.00	\$ 605,352.00	\$ 709,552.00	\$ 14,000.00	\$ 695,552.00
-------	--------------	--------------	---------------	---------------	--------------	---------------

RECONCILIATION

School District	Personal	Realty	Improvements	Gross Value	Exemptions	Net Valuation
Buckeye H. S.	\$ 347,995.00	\$ 797,790.00	\$ 1,567,614.00	\$ 2,713,399.00	\$ 51,380.00	\$ 2,662,019.00
Chandler H. S.	256,025.00	1,509,645.00	949,308.00	2,714,978.00	88,775.00	2,626,203.00
Gila Bend H. S.	66,140.00	84,295.00	625,190.00	775,625.00	1,340.00	774,285.00
Gilbert H. S.	113,495.00	1,089,975.00	572,168.00	1,775,638.00	113,870.00	1,661,768.00
Glendale H. S.	710,905.00	1,878,065.00	1,228,834.00	3,817,804.00	273,505.00	3,544,299.00
Litchfield H. S.	85,635.00	293,635.00	88,050.00	467,320.00	1,730.00	465,590.00
Mesa H. S.	562,090.00	2,418,775.00	1,957,150.00	4,938,015.00	626,265.00	4,311,750.00
Peoria H. S.	138,655.00	1,070,825.00	600,502.00	1,809,982.00	177,290.00	1,632,692.00
Phoenix H. S.	16,053,700.00	19,915,510.00	30,101,532.00	66,070,742.00	6,762,290.00	59,308,452.00
Scottsdale H. S.	108,300.00	1,045,115.00	589,767.00	1,743,182.00	60,405.00	1,682,777.00
Tempe H. S.	540,475.00	1,463,445.00	1,257,398.00	3,261,318.00	243,850.00	3,017,468.00
Tolleson H. S.	195,110.00	1,424,410.00	582,159.00	2,201,679.00	41,320.00	2,160,359.00
Wickenburg H. S.	62,225.00	41,975.00	605,352.00	709,552.00	14,000.00	695,552.00
TOTAL	\$19,240,750.00	\$33,033,460.00	\$40,725,024.00	\$92,999,234.00	\$8,456,020.00	\$84,543,214.00

IRRIGATION DISTRICTS (Improvements)

Roosevelt Agricultural Irrigation District No. 1	\$288,870.00
Agricultural Improvement District No. 2	977,790.00
Lehi Agricultural Improvement District No. 3	79,995.00

IRRIGATION DISTRICTS (Drainage)

Drainage District No. 1	\$290,940.00
Drainage District No. 2	201,390.00
Drainage District No. 5	27,300.00

IRRIGATION DISTRICTS

Arizona Water Conservation District	15,639.56	acres
Buckeye Water Conservation and Drainage District	20,183.721	"
Camelback Water Conservation District	3,314.65	"
Maricopa County Municipal Water Conservation District	38,785.047	"
New State Irrigation District	2,389.35	"
Queen Creek Irrigation District	6,146.43	"
Roosevelt Irrigation District	41,216.27	"
Roosevelt Water Conservation District	39,541.143	"
South Side Irrigation District	1,330.60	"
St. John's Irrigation District	2,227.07	"
Verde River Irrigation and Power District	99,453.564	"

OTHER DISTRICTS:

Electrical District No. 5	\$ 95,875.00	35,302.14	"
Peoria Volunteer Fire Dept.	\$218,038.00		

CITY AND TOWN VALUATIONS—1933

Towns	Personal	Realty	Improvement	Gross Value	Exemptions	Net Valuations
Buckeye	\$ 198,045.00	\$ 33,830.00	\$ 160,955.00	\$ 392,830.00	\$ 21,115.00	\$ 371,715.00
Chandler	138,250.00	164,830.00	385,939.00	689,019.00	50,235.00	638,784.00
Gilbert	36,410.00	56,490.00	167,959.00	260,859.00	84,540.00	176,319.00
Glendale	443,000.00	301,885.00	696,343.00	1,441,228.00	143,960.00	1,297,268.00
Mesa	363,745.00	601,470.00	1,410,328.00	2,375,543.00	472,550.00	1,902,993.00
Tempe	330,490.00	187,025.00	706,841.00	1,224,356.00	157,050.00	1,067,306.00
Tolleson	14,435.00	11,670.00	27,382.00	53,487.00	3,840.00	49,647.00
Wickenburg	56,825.00	30,610.00	137,235.00	224,670.00	12,025.00	212,645.00
TOTAL	\$1,581,200.00	\$1,387,810.00	\$3,692,982.00	\$6,661,992.00	\$945,315.00	\$5,716,677.00

LIST OF ESTIMATED VALUE OF PROPERTY OF MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

Lot 9, Block 36, Glendale.....	\$ 1,000.00
Block 76, City of Phoenix.....	350,000.00
Court House and Jail.....	720,000.00
Court House Furniture and Equipment.....	90,000.00
Part of Block 3, Tempe.....	500.00
Tempe Branch Jail Building.....	5,000.00
Tempe Branch Jail Equipment.....	500.00
Part of Block 6, Mesa.....	500.00
Mesa Branch Jail Building.....	12,500.00
Mesa Branch Jail Equipment.....	500.00
Lots 18 and 20, Block 10, Buckeye.....	400.00
Buckeye Branch Jail Building.....	1,000.00
Buckeye Branch Jail Equipment.....	500.00
Lot 10, Block 14, Buckeye.....	100.00
Lot 6, Block 15, Glendale.....	6,000.00
Glendale Branch Jail Building.....	4,000.00
Glendale Branch Jail Equipment.....	500.00
Lot 16, Block 30, Gila Bend.....	100.00
Gila Bend Branch Jail.....	1,000.00
Lot 11, Block 5, Wickenburg.....	350.00
Wickenburg Branch Jail Building.....	1,200.00
Wickenburg Branch Jail Equipment.....	500.00
S. W. ¼, Sec. 14, 1 N, 2 E, County Farm.....	24,000.00
County Hospital and Farm Building.....	7,500.00
County Hospital and Farm Furniture and Equipment.....	4,500.00
Part SW ¼, Section 18, 1 N, 3 E.....	1,000.00
NE ¼, Sec. 7, 1N, 4E (3.099 acres) Tubercular Sanitarium Grounds.....	1,000.00
Tubercular Sanitarium Buildings.....	5,000.00
Lots 11, 12, 13 and 14, Blk. 1, Porter and Baxter Subdivision of Trace B, Murphy.....	20,000.00
Children's Home Building.....	7,500.00
Children's Home Furniture and Equipment.....	2,000.00
Lots 510 and 512, Chandler.....	1,750.00
Chandler Branch Jail Building and Equipment.....	7,000.00
Lots 7, 8, 9, Blk. 2, Marshall's Sub. of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, Blk. 31, Neahr's Add.....	5,000.00
County Highway Warehouse Bldg.....	4,000.00
Lots 8, 9, 10, Blk. 23, Neahr's Add.....	5,000.00
County Garage Buildings.....	12,500.00
N ½, Block 13 and 14, City Cemetery.....	50.00
Burial Grounds, Mesa.....	50.00
Undivided ½ interest E ½, NE ¼, Sec. 7, 1 North, 4 East.....	8,500.00
SE ¼, SW ¼, Section 29, 1 North, 4 East.....	7,500.00
Part NE ¼, Sec. 29, Part SE ¼, Sec. 20, 1 N, 4 E.....	7,500.00
Highway Equipment.....	75,000.00
Total.....	\$1,402,500.00

**BONDED INDEBTEDNESS, MARICOPA
COUNTY, ARIZONA, DECEMBER 31, 1933**

(For detailed report of Bond Issue see page to page....)

Buckeye High School.....	\$	90,000.00
Chandler High School.....		254,800.00
Gilbert High School.....		49,500.00
Glendale Union High School.....		118,000.00
Litchfield High School.....		24,000.00
Mesa Union High School.....		89,000.00
Peoria High School.....		150,000.00
Phoenix Union High School.....		1,414,000.00
Scottsdale High School.....		73,000.00
Tempe Union High School.....		43,500.00
Tolleson Union High School.....		75,000.00
Wickenburg High School.....		16,000.00
Total Bond Indebtedness of High Schools.....		\$ 2,396,800.00
School District No. 1—Phoenix	\$1,606,000.00	
“ “ “ 3—Tempe	55,000.00	
“ “ “ 4—Mesa	166,000.00	
“ “ “ 5—Isaac	33,500.00	
“ “ “ 6—Washington	84,000.00	
“ “ “ 7—Wilson	42,500.00	
“ “ “ 8—Osborn	160,000.00	
“ “ “ 9—Wickenburg	18,000.00	
“ “ “ 10—Lehi	17,000.00	
“ “ “ 11—Peoria	53,000.00	
“ “ “ 14—Creighton	123,000.00	
“ “ “ 17—Tolleson	33,000.00	
“ “ “ 21—Murphy	15,000.00	
“ “ “ 22—Agua Fria	4,000.00	
“ “ “ 24—Gila Bend	37,500.00	
“ “ “ 25—Liberty	21,000.00	
“ “ “ 28—Kyrene	24,000.00	
“ “ “ 30—Grand Avenue	14,000.00	
“ “ “ 31—Balsz	64,500.00	
“ “ “ 33—Buckeye	32,000.00	
“ “ “ 38—Madison	71,000.00	
“ “ “ 40—Glendale	141,000.00	
“ “ “ 41—Gilbert	88,500.00	
“ “ “ 44—Coldwater	40,000.00	
“ “ “ 45—Fowler	35,000.00	
“ “ “ 47—Arlington	1,500.00	
“ “ “ 48—Scottsdale	57,000.00	
“ “ “ 49—Palo Verde	17,500.00	
“ “ “ 59—Laveen	25,000.00	
“ “ “ 60—Higley	18,000.00	
“ “ “ 62—Union	6,000.00	
“ “ “ 65—Littleton	28,000.00	
“ “ “ 66—Roosevelt	78,500.00	
“ “ “ 68—Alhambra	58,000.00	
“ “ “ 69—Sunnyside	7,500.00	
“ “ “ 71—Sentinel	5,500.00	
“ “ “ 79—Litchfield	24,000.00	
“ “ “ 80—Chandler	65,200.00	
“ “ “ 81—Nada	5,000.00	
“ “ “ 82—Dysart (now 89)	25,000.00	
“ “ “ 83—Cartwright	59,000.00	
“ “ “ 85—Marinette	5,000.00	
Total Common School Bonded Indebtedness		\$ 3,464,200.00
Maricopa County Court House and Jail	638,000.00	
Maricopa County Highway	7,670,000.00	
County Refunding Bonds	217,950.00	
Total General County Bonded Indebtedness		\$ 8,525,950.00
GRAND TOTAL BONDED INDEBTEDNESS.....		\$14,386,950.00

SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCIAL STATEMENT

BUCKEYE HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

No. of Bonds	Date of Issue	Purchaser	Date Due	Int. Rate	Amount
60	April 15, 1928	Valley Bank	April 15, 1930-1948 (\$2,000 yearly)	4 1/2 %	\$ 30,000.00
60	Dec. 15, 1929	Heath, Schlessman & Co.	Dec. 15, 1935-1949 (\$4,000 yearly)	5 1/4 %	60,000.00
Total					\$ 90,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 3,006.88
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					8.87
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					1,974.14
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					2,373.75
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					10,190.95

CHANDLER HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

170	July 1, 1919	Elston & Co.	July 1, 1939	6 %	\$ 84,800.00
170	Jan. 15, 1921	John Nuveen & Co.	Jan. 15, 1941	6 %	170,000.00
Total					\$ 254,800.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Maricopa County Highway	Jan. 15, 1938	6 %	\$ 5,000.00
Maricopa County School District No. 3	June 1, 1939	5 %	2,000.00
Maricopa County Highway	Jan. 15, 1939	6 %	3,000.00
Yavapai County School District No. 9	Dec. 1, 1938	6 %	2,000.00
Glendale Union High School	June 15, 1939	6 %	1,000.00

Total					\$ 13,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 13,987.82
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					1,479.23
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					2,145.24
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					3,210.00
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					2,330.77

GILBERT HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

18	Oct. 1, 1919	Sweet, Causey, Foster & Co.	Oct. 1, 1930-1939 (\$750 yearly)	6 %	\$ 4,500.00
45	Nov. 1, 1927	Geo. W. Vallery & Co.	Nov. 1, 1947	4 3/4 %	45,000.00
Total					\$ 49,500.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Pinal County School District No. 11	Aug. 1, 1934	5 1/2 %	\$ 1,000.00
Buckeye High School	April 15, 1937	4 1/2 %	1,000.00

Total					\$ 2,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 2,349.60
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					45.19
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					975.95
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					1,163.75
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					4,662.17

GLENDALE UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

68	June 15, 1920	Bankers Trust Co., Denver	June 15, 1933 (Serially 1940)	6 %	\$ 68,000.00
50	July 15, 1928	Peck, Brown & Co.	July 15, 1939-1948 (Serially \$5,000 yrly)	4 3/4 %	50,000.00
Total					\$ 118,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Gila County School District No. 19	March 12, 1934	4 3/4 %	\$ 2,000.00
Pima County Road and Bridge	Nov. 1, 1934	4 1/2 %	1,000.00
Maricopa County Court House and Jail	June 15, 1934	4 1/2 %	1,000.00
City of Phoenix, City Hall	Jan. 1, 1934	4 1/2 %	1,000.00

Total					\$ 5,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 13,367.41
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					198.78
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					4,599.21
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					2,773.75
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					19,223.60

LITCHFIELD HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

No. of Bonds	Date of Issue	Purchaser	Date Due	Int. Rate	Amount
48	May 1, 1928	Valley Bank, Serially \$2,000,	May 1, 1938-1941		
		Serially, \$2,500,	May 1, 1942-1946		
		Serially, \$3,500,	May 1, 1947	6	\$ 24,000.00
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund			0.00
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			601.80
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			4,303.92
		Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			690.00
		Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			60.25

MESA UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

89	June 1, 1919	Sweet, Causey, Foster & Co.	June 1, 1939	5½	\$ 89,000.00
SINKING FUND SECURITIES:					
		Gila County School District No. 19	Sept. 18, 1938	5	\$ 6,000.00
		Buckeye High School	April 15, 1936	4½	500.00
		Yuma County Aviation Field	April 1, 1939	5	3,000.00
		Pima County Road and Bridge	Nov. 1, 1938	4½	11,000.00
		Yuma County School District No. 1	May 23, 1939	6	10,000.00
		Total			\$ 30,500.00
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund			\$ 4,551.33
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			342.06
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			3,363.58
		Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			2,282.50
		Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			17,755.76

PEORIA HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

150	May 15, 1920	John Nuveen & Co.	May 15, 1940	6	\$ 150,000.00
SINKING FUND SECURITIES:					
		Maricopa County School Dist. No. 1	May 15, 1939	5	\$ 2,000.00
		Yuma County School District No. 1	Feb. 2, 1940	6	5,000.00
		Pima County Road and Bridge	Nov. 1, 1939	4½	8,000.00
		Total			\$ 15,000.00
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund			\$ 4,281.83
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			1,527.48
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			4,961.87
		Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			3,630.00
		Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			224.58

PHOENIX UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

418	April 1, 1920	E. H. Rollins & Sons	April 1, 1940	5½	\$ 418,000.00
300	July 1, 1925	Illinois Merchants Trust Co.	July 1, 1945	5	300,000.00
80	May 1, 1927	Sutherlin, Barry & Co.	May 1, 1947	5	80,000.00
		(Optional 10 years)			
125	April 15, 1928	Benwell & Co., Denver	April 15, 1948	4	125,000.00
491	April 15, 1928	Benwell & Co., Denver	April 15, 1948	4½	491,000.00
		Total			\$ 1,414,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

		Yuma County Road and Bridge	Dec. 31, 1937	5	\$ 10,000.00
		Yuma County Road and Bridge	Dec. 31, 1936	5	5,000.00
		Pima County Road Bonds	Oct. 15, 1936	5½	5,000.00
		Maricopa County School District No. 4	April 1, 1940	5½	15,000.00
		Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1937	5½	5,000.00
		Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1938	5½	1,000.00
		Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1939	5½	2,000.00
		Total			\$ 43,000.00
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund			\$ 13,660.97
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			9,731.84
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			14,070.11
		Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			11,353.75
		Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			3,461.58
		Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933 (Phoenix Junior College)			2,956.86

SCOTTSDALE HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

No. of Bonds	Date of Issue	Purchaser	Date Due	Int. Rate	Amount
146	May 15, 1922	Sidney Spitzer & Co.	May 15, 1942	6 %	\$ 73,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Maricopa County School District No. 80	Jan. 15, 1941	6 %	\$ 1,000.00
Pima County School District No. 1	Sept. 10, 1939	6 %	1,000.00
Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1940	5 1/2 %	1,000.00
Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1941	5 1/2 %	1,000.00

Total			\$ 4,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund			\$ 3,248.84
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			1.80
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			1,470.51
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			2,010.00
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			5,269.43

TEMPE UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

87	Sept. 15, 1920	Bankers' Trust Co., Denver	Sept. 15, 1940	6 %	\$ 43,500.00
----	----------------	----------------------------	----------------	-----	--------------

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Tempe Union High School	Sept. 15, 1940	6 %	\$ 2,000.00
Final County School District No. 11	Aug. 1, 1939	5 1/2 %	1,000.00

Total			\$ 3,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund			\$ 1,763.22
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			1,277.83
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			2,083.35
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			420.00
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			6,046.11

TOLLESON UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

75	June 15, 1928	Peck, Brown Co., Denver, Serially	\$7,000 June 15, 1938-42—\$8,000 June 15, 1943-47	4 3/4 %	\$ 75,000.00
----	---------------	-----------------------------------	---	---------	--------------

Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund			0.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			685.43
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			2,617.05
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			2,422.50
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			3,772.86

WICKENBURG HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

32	Aug. 1, 1927	Industrial Comm. of Ariz.	Aug. 1, 1931-41	4 3/4 %	\$ 16,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 1,419.45
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					847.40
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					1,525.29
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					47.50
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					321.50

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DIST. NO. 1 (PHOENIX)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

30	Dec. 1, 1914	Sweet, Causey, Foster & Co.	Dec. 1, 1934	5 %	\$ 30,000.00
35	July 1, 1915	Sweet, Causey, Foster & Co.	July 1, 1935	5 %	35,000.00
71	May 15, 1919	McArthur Brothers Mer. Co.	May 15, 1939	5 %	71,000.00
350	Sept. 15, 1920	McArthur Brothers Mer. Co.	Sept. 15, 1940	6 %	350,000.00
650	Jan. 5, 1925	Harris Trust Co.	Jan. 5, 1945	5 %	650,000.00
200	Mar. 1, 1927	A. G. Becker & Co.	Mar. 1, 1947	5 %	200,000.00
270	Mar. 1, 1929	Valley Bank & Harris Trust & Savings Bank	Mar. 1, 1940-49 (Ser. \$27,000 yearly)	4 3/4 %	270,000.00

Total					\$ 1,606,000.00
-------	--	--	--	--	-----------------

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DIST. NO. 1 (PHOENIX) (Cont.)

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

No. of Bonds	Date of Issue	Purchaser	Date Due	Int. Rate	Amount
		Maricopa County Highway	Jan. 15, 1938	6 \$	10,000.00
		Graham County School Dist. No. 1	July 1, 1934	6	2,000.00
		Greenlee County Refunding	July 1, 1935	4¾	5,000.00
		Graham County School District No. 4	June 1, 1934	6	2,000.00
		Wickenburg High School	Aug. 1, 1934	4¾	2,000.00
		Maricopa County School Dist. No. 30	Sept. 1, 1934	5¼	1,000.00
		Yavapai County School Dist. No. 11	July 1, 1934	5	1,000.00
		Graham County Road Bonds	Mar. 1, 1934	6	9,000.00
		Gila County School District No. 19	Sept. 8, 1934	5	6,000.00
		Yavapai County School District No. 40	Dec. 1, 1934	5	1,000.00
		Yavapai County Road Imp. Bond	June 1, 1934	6	1,000.00
		Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1934	5½	5,000.00
		Maricopa County Court House and Jail	June 15, 1935	4½	10,000.00
		Maricopa County Court House & Jail	June 15, 1934	4½	3,000.00
		Maricopa County Highway	Jan 15, 1935	6	20,000.00
Total					\$ 78,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 90,660.24
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					11,880.72
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					16,785.48
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					7,106.25
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					126,241.84

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 3 (TEMPE)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

10	July 1, 1914	J. W. Thompson	July 1, 1934	6 \$	10,000.00
10	Dec. 1, 1919	Graves, Blanchet & Thornburgh	Dec. 1, 1939	6	10,000.00
40	June 1, 1930	Valley Bank	June 1, 1936-1945 (\$3,500 yearly)	5	35,000.00
Total					\$ 55,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

		City of Prescott Refunding Bonds	June 15, 1934	4½ \$	5,000.00
		Yuma County School District No. 1	Feb. 16, 1934	5½	1,000.00
		Maricopa County School District No. 4	Feb. 1, 1934	5½	2,000.00
Total					\$ 8,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 2,600.95
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					536.20
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					2,164.70
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					1,000.00
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					6,333.55

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 4 (MESA)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

42	Feb. 1, 1914	Mesa City Bank	Feb. 1, 1934	5½ \$	42,000.00
124	Apr. 1, 1920	John Nuveen & Co.	April 1, 1940	5½	124,000.00
Total					\$ 166,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 48,234.84
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					1,562.97
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					4,533.34
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					2,777.50
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					25,325.06

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 5 (ISAAC)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

17	July 1, 1925	Dwight B. Heard Inv. Co.	July 1, 1945	5 \$	8,500.00
25	June 1, 1929	Valley Bank & Trust Co.	Serially \$1,000 June 1, 1935-39 Serially \$2,000 June 1, 1940-49	5¾	25,000.00
Total					\$ 33,500.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 602.81
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					49.54
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					594.86
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					3,601.14

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 6 (WASHINGTON)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

No. of Bonds	Date of Issue	Purchaser	Date Due	Int. Rate	Amount
100	Sept. 15, 1920	McArthur Bros. Merc. Co.	Sept. 15, 1940	6	\$ 50,000.00
46	Aug. 1, 1928	Anglo, London, Paris Co., Serially	\$3,800 Aug. 1, 1938-47	5	34,000.00
Total					\$ 84,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1938	5 1/2	\$	3,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund				2,203.91
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund				420.18
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund				1,626.56
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				12,816.53

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 7 (WILSON)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

14	July 1, 1915	Sweet, Causey, Foster & Co.	July 1, 1935	6	\$ 7,000.00
44	July 1, 1925	Dwight B. Heard Inv. Co.	July 1, 1945	5 1/2	22,000.00
14	May 1, 1929	Valley Bank	May 1, 1932-42	5 1/4	13,500.00
Total					\$ 42,500.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Pima County Road & Bridge	Nov. 1, 1934	4 1/2	\$	1,000.00	
Greenlee County Refunding Bonds	June 1, 1935	4 3/4		3,000.00	
Total					\$ 4,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund				\$ 1,210.67	
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund				4.10	
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund				10,978.73	
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid				596.25	
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				1,195.02	

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 8 (OSBORN)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

20	April 1, 1918	Dwight B. Heard Inv. Co.	April 1, 1938	6	\$ 10,000.00
120	Nov. 1, 1923	Prudden & Co.	Nov. 1, 1943	6	60,000.00
70	April 1, 1928	George W. Vallery & Co.	April 1, 1938-44 (\$5,000 yearly)	4 1/2	35,000.00
55	April 15, 1930	Valley Bank & Trust Co.	April 15, 1940-49	5	55,000.00
Total					\$ 160,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1935	5 1/2	\$	1,000.00	
Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1936	5 1/2		2,000.00	
Gila County Road and Bridge	Jan. 1, 1936	5 1/2		1,000.00	
Pinal County Road and Bridge	Nov. 1, 1935	5 1/2		4,000.00	
Maricopa County School District No. 3	June 1, 1936	5		3,000.00	
Maricopa County School District No. 3	June 1, 1936	5		500.00	
Buckeye High School District	Dec. 15, 1935	5 1/4		4,000.00	
Total					\$ 15,500.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund				\$ 369.27	
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund				174.42	
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund				2,894.34	
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid				1,075.00	
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				16,694.78	

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 9 (WICKENBURG)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

36	Aug. 15, 1919	Bosworth, Chanute & Co.	Aug. 15, 1939	6	\$ 18,000.00
----	---------------	-------------------------	---------------	---	--------------

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Buckeye High School District	April 15, 1938	4 1/2	\$	1,000.00	
Maricopa County School District No. 28	Aug. 15, 1939	6		1,500.00	
Town of Miami	Jan. 1, 1939	6		3,000.00	
Total					\$ 5,500.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund				\$ 1,826.71	
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund				1,248.47	
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund				1,030.54	
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				4,001.21	

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 10 (LEHI)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

No. of Bonds	Date of Issue	Purchaser	Date Due	Int. Rate	Amount
14	Mar. 16, 1914	Mesa City Bank	Mar. 16, 1934	6 \$	7,000.00
20	May 15, 1924	Jas. N. Wright & Co.	May 15, 1944	5½	10,000.00
Total					\$ 17,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Graham County Road Bonds	Mar. 1, 1934	6 \$	3,000.00
Maricopa County School District No. 10	Mar. 16, 1934	6	1,000.00
Total			\$ 4,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund		\$	2,042.93
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			8.56
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			1,068.28
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			583.75
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			4,682.72

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 11 (PEORIA)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

56	Aug. 1, 1917	E. H. Rollin & Sons	Aug. 1, 1937	6 \$	28,000.00
50	May 15, 1920	John Nuveen & Co.	May 15, 1940	6	25,000.00
Total					\$ 53,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Graham County Road Bonds	Mar. 1, 1936	6 \$	2,000.00
Maricopa County School District No. 3	June 1, 1937	5	2,500.00
Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1937	5½	11,000.00
Maricopa County School District No. 39	Aug. 15, 1935	5	1,000.00
Maricopa County School District No. 39	Aug. 15, 1936	5	1,000.00
Pinal County Road Bonds	Nov. 1, 1935	5½	2,000.00
Total			\$ 19,500.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund		\$	4,209.96
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			543.79
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			5,360.45
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			1,005.00
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			153.42

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 14 (CREIGHTON)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

60	July 15, 1919	Elston & Company	July 15, 1939	6 \$	30,000.00
18	May 1, 1927	Benwell & Company	May 1, 1947	4¾	18,000.00
80	July 1, 1929	Valley Bank	July 1, 1934-43 (Serially)	5¼	75,000.00
Total					\$ 123,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

City of Tucson Fire House and Equipment	July 1, 1934	5 \$	1,000.00
City of Tucson Police and Traffic Signals	July 1, 1934	5	1,000.00
City of Phoenix City Hall Bonds	Jan. 1, 1934	4½	2,000.00
Glendale Union High School District	June 15, 1934	6	2,000.00
Gila County School District No. 19	Mar. 12, 1934	4¾	1,000.00
Buckeye High School District	April 15, 1935	4½	500.00
County of Greenlee	July 1, 1935	4¾	1,000.00
Total			\$ 8,500.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund		\$	6,038.44
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			705.04
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			3,708.37
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			1,537.50
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			1,656.55

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 17 (TOLLESON)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

10	May 1, 1917	Mesa City Bank	May 1, 1934	5½ \$	5,000.00
26	June 15, 1919	Powell, Gerard & Co.	June 15, 1939	6	13,000.00
15	Feb. 1, 1929	Anglo-London Paris Co.	Feb. 1, 1949	5	15,000.00
Total					\$ 33,000.00

Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund	\$	6,593.61
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund		10.20
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund		1,570.79
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid		311.25
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933		4,062.56

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 21 (MURPHY)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

No. of Bonds	Date of Issue	Purchaser	Date Due	Int. Rate	Amount
30	July 1, 1924	Bosworth, Chanute & Co.	July 1, 1944	5 1/2 %	\$ 15,000.00
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Bond Redemption Fund			\$ 798.18
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Bond Interest Fund			465.76
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Operating Fund			864.45
	Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				893.67

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 22 (AGUA FRIA)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

8	Aug. 15, 1919	Bosworth, Chanute & Co.	Aug. 15, 1939	6 %	\$ 4,000.00
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Bond Redemption Fund			\$ 1,130.42
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Bond Interest Fund			39.20
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Operating Fund			1,822.23
	Bond Interest Due and Unpaid				30.00
	Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				15.47

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 24 (GILA BEND)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

40	June 1, 1924	Fred Emert & Co.	June 1, 1944	6 %	\$ 37,500.00
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Bond Redemption Fund			\$ 15.95
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Bond Interest Fund			48.41
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Operating Fund			997.42
	Bond Interest Due and Unpaid				75.00
	Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				217.54

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 25 (LIBERTY)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

10	Nov. 1, 1916	Sweet, Causey, Foster & Co.	Nov. 1, 1936	5 1/2 %	\$ 5,000.00
20	Mar. 15, 1926	United States National Co.	Mar. 15, 1946	5 %	10,000.00
6	July 1, 1929	Valley Bank	July 1, 1939	6 %	6,000.00
Total					\$ 21,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

	Pinal County School District No. 11	Aug. 1, 1935	5 1/2 %	\$ 1,000.00	
	Pinal County School District No. 11	Aug. 1, 1936	5 1/2 %	1,000.00	
Total					\$ 2,000.00
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Bond Redemption Fund		\$ 1,592.62	
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Bond Interest Fund		7.06	
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Operating Fund		1,235.41	
	Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			376.25	
	Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			492.96	

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 28 (KYRENE)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

48	Aug. 15, 1919	McArthur Bros. Merc. Co.	Aug. 13, 1939	6 %	\$ 24,000.00
----	---------------	--------------------------	---------------	-----	--------------

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

	Yuma County Aviation Field	Aug. 1, 1939	5 %	\$ 2,000.00
	Buckeye High School District	April 15, 1937	4 1/2 %	500.00
	Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1939	5 1/2 %	1,000.00
	Maricopa County School District No. 49	Aug. 15, 1937	5 %	1,000.00
	Maricopa County School District No. 49	Aug. 15, 1939	5 %	1,000.00
	Maricopa County School District No. 28	Aug. 15, 1939	6 %	2,000.00

Total					\$ 7,500.00
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Bond Redemption Fund		\$ 1,632.80	
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Bond Interest Fund		620.34	
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—	Operating Fund		543.93	
	Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			555.00	
	Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			917.20	

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 30 (GRAND AVENUE)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

No. of Bonds	Date of Issue	Purchaser	Date Due	Int. Rate	Amount
14	Sept. 1, 1928	United States National Co., Denver	Sept. 1, 1933-47 (Serially)	5 1/4 %	\$ 14,000.00
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund			\$ 428.24
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			101.80
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			543.93
		Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			26.25
		Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			919.45

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 31 (BALSZ)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

6	March 2, 1914	Causey, Foster & Co.	Mar. 2, 1934	6 %	\$ 3,000.00
63	May 15, 1920	J. H. Causey & Co.	May 15, 1940	6 %	31,500.00
30	Feb. 1, 1923	Jas. N. Wright & Co.	Feb. 1, 1943	5 3/4 %	15,000.00
10	June 1, 1930	Valley Bank & Trust Co.	June 1, 1941-50 (Serially)	5 %	15,000.00
Total					\$ 64,500.00

SINKING FUND, SECURITIES:

		City of Phoenix, City Hall	Jan. 1, 1934	4 1/2 %	\$ 2,000.00
		Yuma County School District No. 1	Feb. 2, 1940	6 %	2,000.00
Total					\$ 4,000.00
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund			\$ 3,194.62
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			1,148.19
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			985.52
		Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			696.24
		Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			765.36

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 33 (BUCKEYE)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

24	Aug. 1, 1914	J. W. Thompson & Co.	Aug. 1, 1934	6 %	\$ 12,000.00
20	Sept. 15, 1929	Stranahan, Harris & Oatis Inc.	Sept. 15, 1935-44 (1,000 yearly, Sept. 15, 1945-49 \$2,000 yearly)	5 3/4 %	20,000.00
Total					\$ 32,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

		Buckeye High School District	April 15, 1934	4 1/2 %	\$ 2,000.00
		Maricopa County Court House and Jail	June 15, 1934	4 1/2 %	2,000.00
		Pima County School District No. 1	Feb. 16, 1934	5 1/2 %	1,000.00
		Maricopa County School District No. 49	Aug. 15, 1934	5 %	1,000.00
Total					\$ 6,000.00
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund			\$ 4,709.33
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			670.51
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			1,125.35
		Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			1,418.75
		Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			4,331.57

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 38 (MADISON)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

20	April 15, 1915	Sweet, Causey, Foster & Co.	April 15, 1935	6 %	\$ 10,000.00
36	Aug. 1, 1923	Jas. N. Wright & Co.	Aug. 1, 1943	6 %	18,000.00
43	June 1, 1928	Valley Bank	June 1, 1938-45 (\$5,000 yearly June 1, 1946-\$3,000)	4 1/2 %	43,000.00
Total					\$ 71,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

		Graham County Road Bonds	Mar. 1, 1935	6 %	\$ 2,000.00
		Maricopa County School District No. 1	Dec. 1, 1934	5 %	1,000.00
		Buckeye High School District	April 1, 1935	4 1/2 %	1,500.00
Total					\$ 4,500.00
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption			\$ 4,588.50
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			35.30
		Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			1,342.70
		Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			352.50
		Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			10,617.48

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 40 (GLENDALE)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

No. of Bonds	Date of Issue	Purchaser	Date Due	Int. Rate	Amount
100	April 2, 1917	Valley Bank	April 2, 1937	5	\$ 50,000.00
15	July 1, 1919	Sweet, Causey, Foster & Co.	July 1, 1925-34 (\$2,000 yearly) July 1, 1935-39 (\$4,000 yearly)	6	16,000.00
75	May 15, 1920	Graves, Blanchet & Thornberg	May 15, 1941-45 (\$5,000 yearly) May 15, 1946-50 (\$10,000 yearly)	6	75,000.00
Total					\$ 141,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Pinal County Road Bonds	Nov. 1, 1936	5 1/2	\$ 7,000.00	
Buckeye Union High School District	Dec. 15, 1936	5 1/4	4,000.00	
Total				\$ 11,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund				\$ 13,504.44
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund				852.80
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund				3,204.36
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid				1,707.50
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				7,105.85

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 41 (GILBERT)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

14	Aug. 1, 1916,	International	Aug. 1, 1936	5 1/2	\$ 7,000.00
12	May 15, 1918	National Bank of Arizona	May 15, 1938	6	6,000.00
130	May 1, 1919	Sweet, Causey, Foster & Co.	May 1, 1939	6	65,000.00
42	Oct. 1, 1919	Sweet, Causey, Foster & Co.	Oct. 1, 1930-39	6	10,500.00
Total					\$ 88,500.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Yavapai County School District No. 9	Dec. 1, 1938	6	\$ 4,000.00	
Coconino County School District No. 1	Dec. 30, 1934	6	1,000.00	
Yuma County Union High School	May 1, 1939	4 1/2	3,000.00	
Maricopa County School District No. 33	Sept. 15, 1936	5 3/4	1,000.00	
Maricopa County School District No. 33	Sept. 15, 1937	5 3/4	1,000.00	
Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1936	5 1/2	12,000.00	
Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1937	5 1/2	3,000.00	
Total				\$ 25,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund				\$ 569.75
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund				200.82
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund				1,212.23
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid				3,165.00
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				8,052.18

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 44 (COLDWATER)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

40	July 1, 1924	Bosworth, Chanute & Co.	July 1, 1944	6	\$ 20,000.00
20	July 1, 1929	Valley Bank	July 1, 1939-48 (Serially)	5 1/2	20,000.00
Total					\$ 40,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund				\$ 78.34	
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund				49.88	
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund				670.18	
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid				602.50	
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				1,914.81	

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 45 (FOWLER)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

35	May 1, 1929	Citizen's State Bank	May 1, 1940-44 (\$3,000 yearly) May 1, 1945-49 (\$4,000 yearly) Overdraft	5	\$ 35,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund				33.73	
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund				440.61	
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund				2,364.43	
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				9.45	

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 47 (ARLINGTON)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

No. of Bonds	Date of Issue	Purchaser	Date Due	Int. Rate	Amount
1	July 20, 1914	A. A. Ray	Oct. 1, 1934	6	\$ 500.00
2	July 20, 1914	Sweet, Causey, Foster & Co.	Oct. 1, 1934	6	\$ 1,000.00
Total					\$ 1,500.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 1,283.07
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					191.26
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					2,788.01
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					335.32

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 48 (SCOTTSDALE)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

6	Oct. 1, 1918	A. Q. Smith, Phoenix	Oct. 1, 1938	6	\$ 3,000.00
8	Aug. 15, 1919	Bosworth, Chanute & Co.	Aug. 15, 1939	6	\$ 4,000.00
100	Mar. 15, 1928	Gray, Emery, Vasconsells & Co.	Mar. 15, 1948	4 1/2	\$ 50,000.00
Total					\$ 57,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

City of Tucson, E. Broadway St. Subway		July 1, 1938	4 3/4	\$ 3,000.00	
Gila County School District No. 19		Mar. 12, 1936	4 3/4	2,000.00	
Total					\$ 5,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 995.08
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					105.99
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					1,692.10
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					1,248.75
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					12,214.20

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 49 (PALO VERDE)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

17	Aug. 1, 1917	E. H. Rollins & Sons	Aug. 1, 1937	6	\$ 8,500.00
9	Aug. 15, 1928	Valley Bank	Aug. 15, 1931-42 (\$1,000 yearly)	5	\$ 9,000.00
Total					\$ 17,500.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Town of Florence Water Works		Feb. 15, 1934	6	\$ 2,000.00	
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					3,288.89
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					219.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					693.17
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					405.00
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					1,245.44

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 59 (LAVEEN)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

50	May 1, 1919	Sweet, Causey, Foster & Co.	May 1, 1939	6	\$ 25,000.00
----	-------------	-----------------------------	-------------	---	--------------

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Maricopa County School District No. 59		May 1, 1939	6	\$ 1,500.00	
Maricopa County School District No. 79		May 1, 1938	6	500.00	
Maricopa County School District No. 49		Aug. 15, 1938	5	1,000.00	
Maricopa County Highway		Jan. 15, 1938	6	1,000.00	
Pinal County School District No. 11		Aug. 1, 1938	5 1/2	1,000.00	
Total					\$ 5,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 3,424.17
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					Overdraft 2.50
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					529.86
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					990.00
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					2,160.89

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 60 (HIGLEY)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

12	July 1, 1915	Sweet, Causey, Foster & Co.	July 1, 1935	6	\$ 6,000.00
24	Nov. 15, 1925	Gray, Emery, Vasconsells & Co.	Nov. 15, 1945	5 1/4	\$ 12,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Gila County School District No. 19		Mar. 12, 1935	4 3/4	\$ 4,000.00	
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					1,657.33
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					101.42
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					3,720.32
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					427.60
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					127.85

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 62 (UNION)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

No. of Bonds	Date of Issue	Purchaser	Date Due	Int. Rate	Amount
7	April 1, 1918	National Bank of Arizona	April 1, 1938	6 \$	3,500.00
5	Oct. 1, 1923	Jas. N. Wright & Co.	Oct. 1, 1943	6	2,500.00
Total					\$ 6,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Pinal County School District No. 11	Aug. 1, 1937	5 1/2 \$	1,000.00
Pima County Road and Bridge	Nov. 1, 1937	4 1/2	2,000.00
Total			\$ 3,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund		\$	1,045.85
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			7.04
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			1,326.89
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			315.00
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			30.00

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 65 (LITTLETON)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

56	June 1, 1919	Powell, Gerard & Co.	June 1, 1939	5 1/2 \$	28,000.00
----	--------------	----------------------	--------------	----------	-----------

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Maricopa County Highway	Jan. 15, 1939	6 \$	1,000.00
Maricopa County School District No. 1	May 15, 1939	5	2,000.00
Buckeye High School District	April 15, 1938	4 1/2	1,000.00
Litchfield High School District	May 1, 1939	6	2,000.00
Maricopa County School District No. 79	May 1, 1939	6	500.00
Maricopa County School District No. 3	June 1, 1938	5	2,000.00
Total			\$ 8,500.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund		\$	2,980.14
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			225.37
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			4,969.17
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			220.00
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			45.00

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 66 (ROOSEVELT)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

10	July 1, 1914	Jas. N. Wright & Co.	July 1, 1934	5 1/2 \$	10,000.00
53	Aug. 15, 1919	Bosworth, Chanute & Co.	Aug. 15, 1939	5 1/2	26,500.00
57	Sept. 15, 1920	Dwight B. Heard Inv. Co.	Sept. 15, 1940	6	28,500.00
10	June 1, 1928	Valley Bank	\$500 June 1, 1938		
			\$1,000 June 1, 1939-43		
			\$2,000 June 1, 1944-47	4 1/2	13,500.00

Total					\$ 78,500.00
-------	--	--	--	--	--------------

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Graham County Road Bonds	Mar. 1, 1934	6 \$	8,000.00
Maricopa County School District No. 3	June 1, 1937	5	1,000.00
Maricopa County School District No. 3	June 1, 1938	5	1,000.00
Maricopa County School District No. 44	July 1, 1939	5 1/2	1,000.00
Maricopa County School District No. 83	Aug. 1, 1939	6	1,000.00
Gila County School District No. 19	Mar. 12, 1934	4 3/4	2,000.00
Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1938	5 1/2	9,000.00
Maricopa County Highway	June 15, 1939	5 1/2	1,000.00
Total			\$ 24,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund		\$	3,955.06
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			610.37
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			11,096.04
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			937.50
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			239.64

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 69 (ALHAMBRA)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

56	June 15, 1920	McArthur Bros. Merc. Co.	June 15, 1940	6 \$	28,000.00
30	April 15, 1929	Valley Bank	April 15, 1949	5	30,000.00

Total					\$ 58,000.00
-------	--	--	--	--	--------------

Cash Balance December 31, 1933 Bond Redemption Fund		\$	2,313.99
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund			41.86
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund			1,127.91
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid			510.00
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933			1,176.39

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 69 (SUNNYSIDE)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

No. of Bonds	Date of Issue	Purchaser	Date Due	Int. Rate	Amount
8	December 1, 1929	Hanchett Bond Co.	Dec. 1, 1930-38 (\$1,000 yearly, Dec. 1, 1939 \$500.00)	6 %	7,500.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 751.42
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					183.80
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					170.02
Bond Redemption Due and Unpaid					2,000.00
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					720.00
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					1,058.15

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 71 (SENTINEL)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

7	June 15, 1925	Geo. W. Vallery & Co.	June 15, 1927-36 (\$500 yearly)	6 %	1,500.00
8	Dec. 1, 1926	Peck Brown & Co.	Dec. 1, 1946	6 %	4,000.00
Total					\$ 5,500.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					371.76
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					99.14
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					1,783.17
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					22.50

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 79 (LITCHFIELD)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

12	Aug. 1, 1918	National Bank of Arizona	Aug. 1, 1938	6 %	6,000.00
12	Oct. 1, 1922	Banker's Trust Co., Denver	Oct. 1, 1942	6 %	6,000.00
24	May 1, 1928	Valley Bank	\$1,000 May 1, 1938-43 \$1,500 May 1, 1944-47	6 %	12,000.00
Total					\$ 24,000.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Buckeye High School District		April 15, 1937	4½ %	\$ 500.00	
Litchfield High School District		May 1, 1938	6 %	2,000.00	
Total					\$ 2,500.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 908.84
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					529.32
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					Overdraft 57.09
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					450.00
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					203.35

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 80 (CHANDLER)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

4	June 1, 1914	Mesa City Bank	June 1, 1934	5½ %	\$ 2,000.00
20	Dec. 1, 1916	Powell, Gerard & Co.	Dec. 1, 1936	6 %	10,000.00
7	July 15, 1919	Elston & Co.	July 15, 1939	6 %	3,200.00
100	Jan. 15, 1921	John Nuveen & Co.	Jan. 15, 1941	6 %	50,000.00
Total					\$ 65,200.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

Maricopa County School District No. 57		June 1, 1934	5½ %	\$ 500.00	
Gila County Road and Bridge		Jan. 1, 1936	5½ %	3,000.00	
Pima County Road and Bridge		Nov. 1, 1933	4½ %	1,000.00	
Buckeye High School District		April 15, 1936	4½ %	1,500.00	
Total					\$ 6,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 5,796.76
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund					932.57
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund					2,483.69
Bond Interest Due and Unpaid					1,210.00
Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933					3,175.65

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 81 (NADA)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

No. of Bonds	Date of Issue	Purchaser	Date Due	Int. Rate	Amount
10	Aug. 15, 1921	J. D. Halstead, Glendale	Aug. 15, 1941	6	\$ 5,000.00
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund				\$ 743.08
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund				256.97
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund				996.08
	Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				.00

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 82 NOW 89 (DYSART)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

10	Nov. 15, 1920	Graves, Blanchett & Thornburg	Oct. 15, 1940	6	\$ 5,000.00
20	June 1, 1928	International Trust Company	June 1, 1934-38 (\$1,000 yearly) June 1, 1941-47, (\$2,000 yearly) June 1, 1948, (\$1,000.00)	4 3/4	20,000.00
	Total				\$ 25,000.00
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund				\$ 1,183.60
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund	Overdraft			25.74
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund				248.38
	Bond Interest Due and Unpaid				273.75
	Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				1,608.51

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 83 (CARTWRIGHT)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

48	March 1, 1924	R. E. Campbell & Co.	March 1, 1944	5 1/2	\$ 48,000.00
11	Aug. 1, 1929	Valley Bank	Aug. 1, 1936-40 \$2,000 yearly Aug. 1, 1941 \$1,000	6	11,000.00
	Total				\$ 59,000.00
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund				\$ 651.58
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund				142.19
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund				784.37
	Bond Interest Due and Unpaid				1,102.50
	Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				2,161.64

MARICOPA COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 85 (MARINETTE)

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

10	Sept. 1, 1925	Peck, Brown & Co.	Sept. 1, 1945	6	\$ 5,000.00
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Redemption Fund				\$ 0.00
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Bond Interest Fund				3.49
	Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Operating Fund				662.24
	Bond Interest Due and Unpaid				105.00
	Warrants Outstanding December 31, 1933				00

MARICOPA COUNTY

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

638	June 15, 1927	Courthouse and Jail Bonds	June 15, 1929-36 \$25,000 yearly June 15, 1937-47 \$50,000 yearly	4 1/2	\$ 638,000.00
3532	June 15, 1919	Maricopa County Highway No. 1	June 15, 1930-34 \$100,000 yearly June 15, 1935-44 \$200,000 yearly June 15, 1945-49 \$300,000 yearly	5 1/2	\$ 3,532,000.00
4138	Jan. 15, 1921	Maricopa County Highway No. 2	Jan. 15, 1931-35 \$100,000 yearly Jan. 15, 1936-45 \$200,000 yearly Jan. 15, 1946-50 \$300,000 yearly Jan. 15, 1951 \$500,000.00	6	\$ 4,138,000.00
	Jan. 15, 1903	Territorial Refunding Bonds			\$ 8,400.00
	April 1, 1913	State Refunding Bonds			209,550.00
	Total				\$ 8,525,950.00

SINKING FUND SECURITIES:

No. of Bonds	Date of Issue	Purchaser	Date Due	Int. Rate	Amount
MARICOPA COUNTY HIGHWAY NO. 1 REDEMPTION FUND					
		Maricopa County Court House and Jail Bonds	June 15, 1925	4 1/2 %	\$ 5,000.00
MARICOPA COUNTY HIGHWAY NO. 2 REDEMPTION FUND					
		Maricopa County Court House and Jail Bonds	June 15, 1933	4 1/2 %	13,000.00
		Maricopa County Court House and Jail Bonds	June 15, 1934	4 1/2 %	7,000.00
Total					\$ 20,000.00
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Court House and Jail—Bond Redemption Fund					\$ 14,338.07
Cash Balance December 31, 1933—Court House and Jail—Bond Interest Fund					4,168.31
Cash Balance December 31, 1933 Maricopa County Highway Bond No. 1—Redemption Fund					209,110.15
Cash Balance December 31, 1933 Maricopa County Highway Bond No. 1—Interest Fund					14,869.93
Cash Balance December 31, 1933 Maricopa County Highway Bond No. 2—Redemption Fund					272,299.46
Cash Balance December 31, 1933 Maricopa County Highway Bond No. 2—Interest Fund					5,717.18
Cash Balance December 31, 1933 Maricopa County Refunding Bonds—Red. Fund State Treas.					3,318.30

BOND REDEMPTION IN DEFAULT

Court House and Jail Bonds \$ 13,000.00

BOND INTEREST DUE AND UNPAID:

Highway No. 1 Bonds \$ 124,025.00
 Highway No. 2 Bonds 16,890.00
 Court House and Jail Bonds 15,660.00

RECAPITULATION

COUNTY BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

Court House and Jail Bonds \$ 638,000.00
 County Highway Bonds 7,670,000.00
 County Refunding Bonds 217,950.00
 Total \$8,525,950.00

SCHOOL BONDED INDEBTEDNESS:

High Schools \$2,396,800.00
 Common Schools 3,464,200.00
 Total \$5,861,000.00

GRAND TOTAL \$14,386,950.00

BOND REDEMPTION IN DEFAULT:

Court House and Jail Bonds \$ 13,000.00
 School District No. 69 2,000.00

BOND INTEREST DUE AND UNPAID:

Court House and Jail Bonds \$ 15,660.00
 Highway No. 1 Bonds 124,025.00
 Highway No. 2 Bonds 16,890.00
 High School Districts 32,377.52
 Common School Districts 33,912.59 \$ 222,865.11

AUDIT OF CLAIMS MARICOPA COUNTY
1933

COUNTY EXPENDITURES BY FUNDS:

Expense	\$ 352,906.36	
Salary	434,818.57	
Road	390,148.48	
License Plate	20,435.47	
Old Age Pension	25,250.89	
County Free Library	183.53	
Total		\$1,223,743.40

EXPENDITURES BY DEPARTMENTS

ASSESSOR:

Salary of Assessor and Deputies	\$ 46,595.23	
Expenses	3,814.54	
Total		\$ 50,409.77

ATTORNEY

Salary of Attorney and Deputies	\$ 15,187.05	
Salary of Stenographers and Clerks	5,362.91	
Salary of Special Investigators	1,995.00	
Expense	8,284.72	
Total		\$ 30,829.68

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

Salary of Members	\$ 7,500.00	
Salary of Clerk, Assistant and Stenographer	12,424.39	
Transportation	431.01	
Expense	1,913.71	
Total		\$ 22,269.11

ELECTIONS:

Registrations	\$ 3,414.00	
Election per diem		
Miscellaneous	479.95	
Salary of Office Clerks	75.00	
Printing and Supplies	1,264.19	
Special Elections	6,370.02	
Total		\$ 11,603.16

COURT HOUSE MAINTENANCE:

Salary of Custodian	\$ 1,717.50	
Salary of Janitors	10,619.25	
Salary of Scrubwomen	5,228.65	
Salary of Engineers	4,007.50	
Salary of Elevator Operators	2,361.00	
Salary of Telephone Operators	2,395.00	
Salary of Gardeners	1,196.00	
Salary of Night Watchmen	2,605.00	
Salary of Record Room Clerk	1,431.25	
Expense	17,282.72	
Total		\$ 48,843.87

HEALTH DEPARTMENT:

Salary of Superintendent of Health	\$ 300.00	
Salary of Physicians	11,296.75	
Salary of Indigent Officer	870.92	
Salary of Investigator	1,603.00	
Salary of Humane Officer	1,717.50	
Quarantine Expense	51.36	
Examination of Insane	971.00	
Coroner	25.00	
Burial of Indigents	10,507.18	
Burial of Ex-Service Indigents	750.00	
Transportation	1,584.63	
Burial of Estrays	77.56	
Indigent Relief	14,897.65	
Hospitalization	39,322.79	
Sanitary Inspector	1,717.50	
Office Nurses	2,469.50	
Social Service Center	2,500.00	
Expenses	28,541.86	
Total		\$ 119,204.20

HEALTH UNIT:

Salary of Director	\$	896.21	
Salary of Nurses		1,566.92	
Salary of Sanitary Inspector		522.86	
Salary of Clerk		1,547.11	
Travel Expense		1,530.71	
Office Expenses		1,751.97	
Total	\$		7,815.78

COUNTY HOSPITAL:

Salary of Matron	\$	1,431.25	
Salary of Matron's Assistant		744.25	
Salary of Other Employees		1,435.00	
Expenses		17,745.80	
Total	\$		21,356.30

TUBERCULAR HOSPITAL:

Salary of Matron	\$	945.00	
Salary of Nurses		525.00	
Salary of Other Employees		1,130.10	
Expenses		4,908.12	
Total	\$		7,508.22

JUSTICE OF PEACE COURTS:

BUCKEYE PRECINCT:

Salary of Justice	\$	1,200.00	
Salary of Constable		1,200.00	
Expenses		756.64	
Total	\$		3,156.64

CHANDLER PRECINCT:

Salary of Justice	\$	1,200.00	
Salary of Constable		1,200.00	
Expenses		614.38	
Total	\$		3,014.38

GILA BEND PRECINCT:

Salary of Justice	\$	900.00	
Salary of Constable		1,169.98	
Expenses		327.83	
Total	\$		2,397.81

GILBERT PRECINCT:

Salary of Justice	\$	900.00	
Salary of Constable		900.00	
Expenses		441.05	
Total	\$		2,241.05

GLENDALE PRECINCT:

Salary of Justice	\$	1,200.00	
Salary of Constable		1,200.00	
Expenses		375.64	
Total	\$		2,775.64

MESA PRECINCT:

Salary of Justice	\$	1,500.00	
Salary of Constable		1,500.00	
Expenses		1,300.92	
Total	\$		4,300.92

PEORIA PRECINCT:

Salary of Justice	\$	900.00	
Salary of Constable		900.00	
Expenses		512.40	
<u>Total</u>			\$ 2,312.40

EAST PHOENIX PRECINCT:

Salary of Justice	\$	3,000.00	
Salary of Constable		2,100.00	
Salary of Clerk		1,717.50	
Expenses		2,072.24	
<u>Total</u>			\$ 8,889.74

WEST PHOENIX PRECINCT:

Salary of Justice	\$	3,000.00	
Salary of Constable		2,100.00	
Salary of Clerk		1,717.50	
Expenses		1,954.81	
<u>Total</u>			\$ 8,772.31

SCOTTSDALE PRECINCT:

Salary of Justice	\$	900.00	
Salary of Constable		900.00	
Expenses		-314.21	
<u>Total</u>			\$ 2,114.21

TEMPE PRECINCT:

Salary of Justice	\$	1,200.00	
Salary of Constable		1,200.00	
Expenses		761.98	
<u>Total</u>			\$ 3,161.98

WICKENBURG PRECINCT:

Salary of Justice	\$	900.00	
Salary of Constable		900.00	
Expenses		308.74	
<u>Total</u>			\$ 2,108.74

MISCELLANEOUS COUNTY:

Immigration Commissioner's Salary	\$	450.00	
Immigration Commissioner's Expense		4,084.07	
Farm Bureau		9,500.00	
Miscellaneous County		4,179.47	
Back Tax		5,037.60	
Tax Exemptions		2,229.10	
Insurance-Buildings and Equipment		532.68	
Insurance-Compensation		4,489.89	
Printing		1,351.40	
Legal Notices		214.00	
Accounting Machine Operator		1,200.00	
Accounting Machine Rentals		1,353.00	
Abstracting and Extending Tax Rolls		5,902.07	
Publishing Minutes		931.51	
Bond Record Clerk		681.25	
Repairs and Replacements		7,514.09	
<u>Total</u>			\$ 49,650.13

CHARITABLE ORGANIZATIONS:

Day Nursery	\$	270.00	
Social Service Center		2,400.00	
<u>Total</u>			\$ 2,670.00

RECORDER:

Salary Recorder and Deputies	\$ 31,973.38	
Expenses	3,366.56	
Total		\$ 35,339.94

SHERIFF:

Salary Sheriff and Undersheriff	\$ 6,625.04	
Salary Deputies and Matron	45,479.62	
Travel Expense	1,497.74	
Prisoners' Meals	6,318.17	
Jail Supplies	551.23	
Expenses	15,603.45	
Total		\$ 76,075.25

SUPERIOR COURTS:

Salary of Judges	\$ 11,000.00	
Salary of Bailiffs	13,740.00	
Salary of Reporters	10,800.00	
Salary of Jury Secretary	2,003.75	
Jury Fees and Mileage	26,219.38	
Salary of Interpreters	2,576.25	
Outside Judges	530.50	
Outside Witnesses	1,203.36	
Expenses	9,614.75	
Adult Probation Officer and Assistant	4,500.00	
Adult Probation Office Expense	1,835.87	
Total		\$ 84,023.86

JUVENILE COURT:

Salary of Probation Officer and Assistants	\$ 6,106.68	
Salary of Office Clerk	1,717.50	
Juvenile Relief	14,258.15	
Expenses	2,067.20	
Total		\$ 24,149.53

CHILDREN'S HOME:

Salary of Matron	\$ 1,431.25	
Salary of Other Employees	2,320.56	
Salary of Nurses	205.50	
Expenses	3,870.04	
Total		\$ 7,827.35

TREASURER:

Salary of Treasurer and Deputies	\$ 33,422.08	
Expenses	6,728.28	
Total		\$ 40,150.36

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT:

Salary of Clerk and Deputies	\$ 29,639.38	
Expenses	2,309.01	
Total		\$ 31,948.39

COUNTY FREE LIBRARY:

Salary of Librarian and Deputies	\$ 5,548.75	
Books	1,238.29	
Expenses	1,260.57	
Total		\$ 8,047.61

COUNTY SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT:

Salary of Superintendent, Deputies and Clerks	\$ 9,412.43	
Salary of Attendance Officers	5,799.32	
Book Depository	810.70	
Expenses	2,084.38	
Total		\$ 18,106.83

SPECIAL COUNTY:

Emergency Grasshopper Appropriation	\$ 500.00	
Court Orders held by Treasurer	6,973.88	
Sears, Roebuck Judgment	1,880.37	
Total		\$ 9,354.25

GENERAL COUNTY:

Vital Statistics	\$ 3,709.00	
AUTO LICENSE PLATE DEPARTMENT:		
Salary of Superintendents and Assistants	\$ 17,561.30	
Expenses	2,874.17	
Total		\$ 20,435.47

PUBLIC WELFARE BOARD:

Indigent Relief	\$ 17,500.00	
Juvenile Relief	6,500.00	
Tubercular Hospital Indigents	4,200.00	
Day Nursery	180.00	
Total		\$ 28,380.00

CENTRALIZED ACCOUNTING DEPARTMENT:

Supervisors	\$ 1,090.00	
Equipment Rentals	1,353.00	
Expenses	207.08	
Total		\$ 2,650.08

OLD AGE PENSIONS:

Pansion Payments	\$ 24,617.67	
Burial of Pensioners	429.00	
Salary of Pension Clerk	681.25	
Expenses	233.04	
Total		\$ 25,960.96

HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT (ROAD FUND):

Engineer's Office	\$ 7,406.89	
County Garage	47,867.54	
New Earth Roads	36,318.70	
New Surfaced Roads	18,578.47	
New Structures	16,427.54	
Betterments	56,939.78	
Paved Road Maintenance	22,438.01	
Earth Road Maintenance	74,498.05	
Surfaced Road Maintenance	83,466.79	
Structure Maintenance	26,206.71	
Total		\$ 390,148.48
GRAND TOTAL		\$1,223,713.40

TREASURER'S REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 1933
SUMMARY OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

Receipts	Dr.	Cr.	Balance
BALANCE ON HAND DECEMBER 31, 1932			\$1,737,071.05
TAXES:			
Current Tax Collections	\$ 3,175,264.85		
Delinquent Tax Collections	421,493.43		
Delinquent Tax Collections (Partial Payment Plan)	12,386.79		
County Assessor's Reports—Tax Collections	231,150.11		3,840,295.18
OTHER RECEIPTS:			
FINES:			
Justice Courts	\$ 1,188.25		
Superior Courts	1,139.10		
Sheriff's Office00		
FEES:			
Superior Court		43,032.53	
Sheriff's Office		12,644.95	
Justice Courts		2,459.19	
Recorder		36,544.10	
Sheriff's Office—Licenses		1,472.40	
Sheriff's—Miscellaneous		22.35	
Board of U. S. Prisoners (Sheriff)		443.18	
Interest on Investments and Securities		233,640.38	
State Aid for Schools		461,360.69	
High School Stadium Bonds		4,000.00	
County School Superintendent		39,701.53	
Interest on County Deposits		11,050.79	
Clerk, Board of Supervisors (Misc.)		2,308.26	
State Highway Department (Gasoline Tax)		407,064.04	
Redemption Fee, Subsequent Tax Payment, Advertising of Certificate of Tax Sales		613.15	
Redemption of Property Sold Fund		5,546.70	
Refunds, payments, Miscel. (Special Dist.)		204,205.95	
National Forest Reserve Fund		1,178.09	
Auto License Plate Fund		20,309.57	
County Free Library		203.96	
Narrow Gauge R. R. Bond Refund		1,823.12	
Unclaimed Estates		1,815.84	
Proceeds, Sale Personal Property		9.85	
Refunds from Board of Supervisors (mileage)		1,454.14	
Interest on Registered State Warrants		98.41	
Clerk Board of Supervisors (Insurance Refunds)		467.56	
State Treasurer—State Sales Tax		26,963.19	1,528,432.92
TOTAL RECEIPTS FOR THE YEAR 1933			\$5,368,728.10
GRAND TOTAL OF RECEIPTS AND BALANCE ON HAND			\$7,105,799.15

DISBURSEMENTS

Receipts	Dr.	Cr.	Balance
Salaries	\$ 406,107.28		
Expense	293,955.65		
Old Age Pensions	11,337.19		
General County Road Fund	391,864.15		\$1,103,264.27
BOND INTEREST:			
Schools	283,830.77		
Special Districts	226,868.41		
General County	348,867.81		859,566.99
BOND REDEMPTION:			
Schools	248,351.54		
Special Districts	22,300.00		
General County	215,016.30		485,667.84
State Treasurer	801,070.44		
Peoria Volunteer Fire Department	151.60		
Municipalities (Town Taxes)	49,156.35		
Law Library	9,704.62		
Auto License Plate Fund	20,496.94		
Redemption of Property Sold Fund	5,546.70		
Special District Maintenance	239,425.24		

County Free Library	67.43	
Homestead Tax Refunding Fund	27,949.61	1,153,568.93
General County School Fund	40.00	
School Reserve Fund	18,179.35	
Schools—Maintenance and Operation	1,909,208.95	
Schools (Buildings)	21,569.48	1,948,997.78
TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS FOR YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 1933		\$5,551,065.81

SUMMARY

Balance December 31, 1932		\$1,737,071.05
Receipts for year 1933		5,368,728.10
Total		\$7,105,799.15
Disbursements for year 1933		5,551,065.81
BALANCE DECEMBER 31, 1933		\$1,554,733.34

TAXES DELINQUENT AND UNCOLLECTED, DECEMBER 31, 1933

From Back Tax Books, 1888 to 1932 inclusive		\$5,464,469.21
From Current Tax Roll, first half 1933		1,311,153.60
Total Delinquent Taxes		\$6,775,622.81
Second Half 1933—Unpaid		2,671,179.72
Total		\$9,446,802.53
Irrigation District Assessments only from 1888 to 1932 inclusive		\$2,196,661.96

SUMMARY OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR 1933
Including Adjustment for Expenses Not Included in Treasurer's Cash Report

RECEIPTS

Cash on Hand January 1, 1933			\$1,737,071.05
Tax Collections by Treasurer	\$3,609,145.07		
Tax Collections by Assessor	231,150.11	3,840,295.18	
Fees, Fines and Licenses		104,152.17	
Bond Interest and Repayments		233,640.38	
Remittance from State for Schools		461,360.69	
Gasoline Tax		407,064.04	
Special District Receipts		204,205.95	
Auto License Plate Department		20,309.57	
Interest on County Deposits		11,050.79	
County School Superintendent		39,701.53	
State Sales Tax		26,963.19	
Miscellaneous Receipts		19,984.61	5,368,728.10
Total			\$7,105,799.15

DISBURSEMENTS

GENERAL COUNTY EXPENSE:			
Salaries, Supplies, Equipment, and Maintenance	\$ 807,603.96		
Payment on Bonded Debt	215,016.30		
Payment on Bond Interest	348,867.81		
Interest on Registered Warrants	11,417.22		
Old Age Pensions	25,960.96	1,408,866.25	
County Highway Department		390,148.48	1,799,014.73
PUBLIC SCHOOLS:			
Salaries, Supplies, Equipment and Maintenance	\$1,909,208.95		
Unorganized District Operations	18,219.35		
Building Fund Disbursements	21,569.48		
Interest on Bonded Debt	283,830.77		
Payment on Bonded Debt	248,351.54		2,481,180.09
IRRIGATION AND SPECIAL DISTRICTS:			
Operation and Maintenance	\$ 239,425.24		
Payment on Bonded Debt	22,300.00		
Payment on Bond Interest	226,868.41		488,593.65
OTHER ITEMS:			
Remittance to State Treasurer	\$ 801,070.44		
Remittance to Incorporated Towns	49,156.35		
Auto License Plate Department Operation	20,496.94		
Law Library Fund Payments	9,704.62		
Homestead Tax Refunds	27,949.61		
Redemption of Property Sold Fund	5,546.70		
Miscellaneous Items	219.03		914,143.69
Cash Balance December 31, 1933	1,554,733.34		
Less Adjustment for Registered Warrants	131,866.35		1,422,866.99
			\$7,105,799.15

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF OPERATING EXPENSES

	1930	1931	1932	1933
Assessor	\$ 59,952.93	\$ 60,182.82	\$ 58,203.52	\$ 50,409.77
County Attorney	41,084.46	49,261.54	42,275.28	30,829.68
Board of Supervisors	26,577.32	23,123.77	21,346.29	22,269.11
(1) Elections	56,879.98	157.21	51,539.18	11,603.16
Court House Maintenance	48,851.73	50,725.66	47,173.62	48,843.87
General County Expense	7,060.09	4,984.67	5,539.33	3,709.00
(2) Health Department	84,188.56	105,757.06	129,880.52	119,204.20
(3) Health Unit		10,961.00	9,690.88	7,815.78
County Hospital	21,906.83	18,616.63	17,619.96	21,356.30
(4) Tubercular Hospital	10,600.47	12,072.29	11,203.43	7,508.22
(5) Interpreters	1,800.00	2,175.00	2,715.00	
Justice Courts	48,663.82	51,724.23	48,231.84	45,245.82
Miscellaneous County	51,780.80	58,952.17	53,623.75	49,650.13
(6) Equipment, Repairs and Replacements	11,787.17	6,960.23	7,526.45	
Charitable Organizations	3,840.00	4,370.00	5,160.00	2,670.00
Recorder	37,844.48	39,216.07	34,383.76	35,339.94
Sheriff	92,625.53	88,487.21	84,612.84	76,075.25
Superior Courts	117,154.44	104,461.42	111,022.08	84,023.86
(7) Juvenile Court	35,951.19	38,707.01	35,650.50	24,149.53
Children's Home	10,359.62	10,147.56	8,431.53	7,827.35
County Treasurer	48,617.95	41,154.18	38,780.58	40,150.36
Clerk, Superior Court	34,626.55	33,977.29	34,005.20	31,948.39
County Free Library	33,550.26	12,964.50	12,293.96	8,047.61
(8) County School Superintendent	14,035.75	32,201.13	31,785.51	18,106.83
Special Levies	64,979.77	37,886.46	12,567.96	9,354.25
Public Welfare Board				28,380.00
(9) Centralized Accounting Department				2,650.08
(10) Old Age Pensions				25,960.96
Total	\$ 964,719.70	\$ 899,227.11	\$ 915,262.97	\$ 813,129.45
Highway Department	\$ 556,382.44	\$ 371,546.48	\$ 361,193.74	\$ 390,148.48
Plant Account	58,144.17		287.75	
(11) Auto License Plate Dept.		9,544.79	18,743.76	20,435.47
Interest on Registered Warrants—Exp.	12,131.60	11,953.89	18,574.52	10,420.93
Interest on Registered Warrants—Salary				879.40
Interest on Registered Warrants—Old Age Pensions				116.89
Grand Total	\$1,591,377.91	\$1,292,272.27	\$1,314,062.74	\$1,235,130.62

- (1) Elections held in 1930, 1932 and Special Elections 1933.
- (2) Portion of Health Department Expense taken care of by Welfare Board for last six months of 1933.
- (3) Health Unit not operating in 1930.
- (4) Tubercular Hospital not operated last six months 1933. Patients cared for by Welfare Board.
- (5) Interpreters for 1933 included in expenditures of Courts.
- (6) Equipment, Repairs and Replacements included in Miscellaneous County.
- (7) Juvenile Relief taken care of in part by Welfare Board for last six months of 1933.
- (8) Amount shown in 1930 for last six months only—no expenditures for attendance officers last six months of 1933. Each school district cares for its attendance officer.
- (9) Tabulating machine operating and rentals cared for in Centralized Accounting Department for last six months of 1933.
- (10) Old Age Pensions granted and allowed for last six months of 1933 only.
- (11) Warrants for Auto License Plate Department not issued by Supervisors in 1930 or first six months of 1931.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF TAX COLLECTION DISTRIBUTIONS

	1930	1931	1932	1933
State and County	\$3,896,232.61	\$3,966,398.48	\$3,119,911.75	\$2,797,259.84
Towns	93,222.77	89,312.40	56,808.76	61,487.01
Special District	840,120.87	1,331,802.53	306,640.93	290,577.66
Schools	1,705,361.42	1,493,388.37	886,845.00	782,130.17
Interest and Penalties	72,233.03	103,078.84	70,212.01	51,035.42
Poll Tax	69,599.50	48,282.00	36,232.00	31,412.50
Road Tax	25,690.00	18,136.00	13,040.00	11,644.00
Total	\$6,704,460.20	\$7,050,398.62	\$4,489,690.45	\$4,025,546.60

TREASURER'S REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 1933

TAX COLLECTIONS AND APPORTIONMENTS

RECEIPTS

Balance Unapportioned Tax Fund December 31, 1932		\$ 321,811.75
Tax Collections—Current	\$3,175,264.85	
Tax Collections—Delinquent	421,493.43	
Tax Collections—Partial Payment Plan	12,386.79	
Tax Collections—Assessor's Reports	231,150.11	3,840,295.18
Total		\$4,162,106.93

DISBURSEMENTS

Apportionments made for the year ending December 31, 1933, Debited to unapportioned tax fund and credited to the following funds:

STATE AND COUNTY TAXES:

1888 to 1930	\$ 25,869.54	
1931	90,237.89	
1932	1,534,297.96	
1933	996,096.64	
County Assessor	150,757.81	2,797,259.84
Delinquent Interest	38,141.45	
Delinquent Penalties	11,242.80	
Delinquent Line Fees	1,651.17	
School Poll Taxes	31,412.50	
Road Tax	11,644.00	94,091.92
Town of Buckeye	3,478.26	
Town of Chandler	13,822.05	
Town of Gilbert	2,103.58	
Town of Glendale	11,664.16	
Town of Mesa	14,136.36	
Town of Tempe	15,906.66	
Town of Tolleson	375.94	61,487.01
Peoria Volunteer Fire Fund	275.91	
Special Districts—Maintenance—Irrigation	44,660.35	
Special Districts—Bond Interest—Irrigation	221,580.50	
Special District Bond Redemption—Irrigation	24,060.90	290,577.66
Schools—Maintenance	383,113.58	
Schools—Buildings	12,583.99	
Schools—Bond Interest	294,980.01	
Schools—Bond Redemption	91,452.59	782,130.17
Total		\$4,025,546.60

RECAPITULATION

Balance unapportioned tax fund December 31, 1932	\$ 321,811.75
Total receipts for the year 1933	3,840,295.18
Total	\$4,162,106.93
Total Disbursements for the year 1933—Apportionments	4,025,546.60
BALANCE UNAPPORTIONED TAX FUND DECEMBER 31, 1933	\$ 136,560.33

TREASURER'S REPORT OF FUND BALANCES DECEMBER 31, 1933

	Dr.	Cr.	Balance
General County Cash Fund—Bank Balance			\$1,554,733.34
GENERAL COUNTY FUNDS:			
Proceeds from sale of Personal Property		3.85	
General County Fund		326.13	
Special General County Fund00	
Farm Advisor Fund00	
General County Expense Fund	5,753.77		
General County Salary Fund		42,789.72	
Old Age Pension Fund		11,837.16	49,203.09
ROAD MAINTENANCE FUNDS:			
General County Road Fund (Salary and Expense)		48,110.68	
Special Road District No. 1		306.09	
Special Road District No. 3		2.34	48,419.11
SPECIAL COUNTY FUNDS:			
Redemption of Property Sold Fund00	
Buckeye		505.93	
Chandler		3,133.10	
Gilbert		340.75	
Glendale		2,017.15	
Mesa		2,692.15	
Tempe		3,428.66	
Tolleson		212.92	
Wickenburg00	
General County School Fund		610.01	
School Reserve Fund (Transportation)		17,095.44	

State Redemption Fund	2,341.33	
State Sheep Tax	275.23	
District Court Fund	60.00	
Homestead Tax Refunding Fund00	
County Free Library	418.14	
Peoria Volunteer Fire Dep't Fund	699.46	
Minor Heir Estates	181.70	
Law Library Fund	21,849.88	
Unclaimed Estates	5,697.79	
State Treasurer	150,665.43	
Auto License Plate Fund	1,305.62	213,530.69

SINKING FUNDS:

Special Road District No. 1	7,709.49	
Special County Highway		222.39
County Highway Bond No. 1		209,110.15
County Highway Bond No. 2		272,299.46
County Court House and Jail Account		14,338.07

HIGH SCHOOLS:

	Dr.	Cr.	Balance
Buckeye		3,006.88	
Chandler		13,987.82	
Gilbert		2,349.60	
Glendale		13,367.41	
Mesa		4,551.33	
Peoria		4,281.83	
Phoenix		13,660.97	
Scottsdale		3,248.84	
Tempe		1,763.22	
Wickenburg		1,419.45	

GRADE SCHOOLS:

School District No. 1		90,660.24	
2		82.41	
3		2,600.95	
4		48,234.84	
5		602.81	
6		2,203.91	
7		1,210.67	
8		369.27	
9		1,826.71	
10		2,042.93	
11		4,209.96	
13		565.85	
14		6,038.44	
17		6,593.61	
19		25.87	
21		798.18	
22		1,130.42	
24		15.95	
25		1,592.62	
27		23.36	
28		1,632.80	
29	3.68		
30		428.24	
31		3,194.62	
33		4,709.33	
38		4,588.59	
40		13,504.44	
41		5,569.75	
44		78.34	
45	33.73		
47		1,283.07	
48		995.08	
49		3,288.89	
5000	
59		3,424.17	
60		1,657.33	
62		1,045.85	
65		2,980.14	
66		3,955.06	
68		2,313.99	
69		751.42	
71		371.76	
79		908.84	
80		5,796.76	
81		743.08	
83		651.58	
89		1,183.60	

	Dr.	Cr.	Balance
Drainage District No. 1		4,745.17	
Drainage District No. 2		5,987.85	
Drainage District No. 5		30.89	796,510.16

BOND INTEREST FUNDS

County Highway Bond No. 1		14,869.93	
County Highway Bond No. 2		5,717.18	
Special Road District No. 1	1,159.12		
Special Road District No. 2		1,367.69	
Court House and Jail		4,168.31	
State and County		413.27	

HIGH SCHOOLS:

Buckeye		8.87	
Chandler		1,479.23	
Gila Bend00	
Gilbert		45.19	
Glendale		198.78	
Litchfield		601.80	
Mesa		342.06	
Peoria		1,527.48	
Phoenix High School Stadium00	
Phoenix		9,731.84	
Scottsdale		1.80	
Tempe		1,277.83	
Tolleson		685.43	
Wickenburg		847.40	

GRADE SCHOOLS:

School District No. 1		11,880.72	
2		75.13	
3		536.20	
4		1,562.97	
5		49.54	
6		420.18	
7		4.10	
8		174.42	
9		1,248.47	
10		8.56	
11		543.79	
13		79.62	
14		705.04	
17		10.20	
19		131.00	
21		465.76	
22		39.20	
24		48.41	
25		7.06	
2600	
27		120.12	
28		620.34	
29		19.67	
30		101.80	
31		1,148.19	
33		670.51	
38		35.30	
40		852.80	
41		200.82	
44		49.88	
45		440.61	
47		191.26	
48		105.99	
49		219.00	
50		96.16	
59	2.50		
60		101.42	
62		7.04	
6300	
65		225.37	
66		610.37	

BOND INTEREST FUNDS—CONTINUED:

GRADE SCHOOLS:

	Dr.	Cr.	Balance
School District No. 67.....		9.32	
68.....		41.86	
69.....		183.80	
71.....		99.14	
75.....		313.68	
79.....		529.32	
80.....		932.57	
81.....		256.97	
83.....		142.19	
85.....		3.49	
89.....	25.74		
Buckeye Water Conservation and Drainage District		499.86	
B. W. C. & D. D. Coupon Acct., Sec. 1st Nat'l L. A. Calif.....	225.00		
Drainage District No. 1		1,066.29	
Drainage District No. 2		344.74	
Drainage District No. 512	
Maricopa County Municipal Water Cons.	66.70		
New State Irrigation and Drainage District		861.69	
New State Irrigation and Drainage Dist., Coupon Acct., Chase Nat'l.....	1,300.00		
Queen Creek Irrigation District		288.53	
Roosevelt Agricultural Improvement District No. 1		20,500.92	
Agricultural Improvement District No. 2		47,134.49	
Lehi Agricultural Improvement District No. 3		3,062.74	
Roosevelt Irrigation District	862.22		
Roosevelt Irrigation District Coupon Account, Chase Nat'l.....		120.00	
Roosevelt Irrigation District Special Coupon Acct., (paying)	1,846.95		
Roosevelt Water Conservation District		801.22	
R. W. C. D., Chase Nat'l (coupon acct.)		1,395.00	
R. W. C. D. Chemical Nat'l Bk (coupon acct.)		2,312.80	
Southside Irrigation District	96.87		142,406.75

SCHOOL MAINTENANCE FUNDS:

DISTRICT SCHOOLS:

School District No. 1.....	16,785.48
2.....	234.76
3.....	2,164.70
4.....	4,533.34
5.....	594.86
6.....	1,626.56
7.....	10,978.73
8.....	2,894.34
9.....	1,030.54
10.....	1,068.28
11.....	5,360.45
13.....	2,653.77
14.....	3,708.37
17.....	1,570.79
19.....	791.02
21.....	864.45
22.....	1,822.23
24.....	997.42
25.....	1,235.41
26.....	765.22
28.....	543.93
30.....	135.32
31.....	985.52
33.....	1,125.35
38.....	1,342.70
40.....	3,204.36
41.....	1,212.23
44.....	670.18
45.....	2,364.43
47.....	2,788.01
48.....	1,692.10
49.....	693.17
50.....	28.41
59.....	529.86
60.....	3,720.32
62.....	1,326.89
63.....	1,109.73
65.....	4,969.17
66.....	11,096.04
68.....	1,127.91

SCHOOL MAINTENANCE FUNDS—CONTINUED

DISTRICT SCHOOLS:

	Dr.	Cr.	Balance
School District No. 69		170.02	
71		1,783.17	
72		765.05	
75		46.13	
79	57.09		
80		2,483.69	
81		996.08	
83		784.37	
85		662.24	
86	146.17		
89		248.38	
90		295.44	
91		1,594.44	
92		699.41	
93		227.61	
94		1,258.31	

HIGH SCHOOLS:

Buckeye		1,974.14	
Chandler		2,145.24	
Gila Bend		2,278.15	
Gilbert		975.95	
Glendale		4,599.21	
Litchfield		4,803.92	
Mesa		3,363.58	
Peoria		4,961.87	
Junior College		4,022.73	
Phoenix		14,070.11	
Scottsdale		1,470.51	
Tempe		2,083.35	
Tolleson		2,617.05	
Wickenburg		1,525.29	164,548.53

SPECIAL DISTRICT MAINTENANCE FUND:

	Dr.	Cr.	Balance
Arizona Water Cons. Dist. (General)		150.94	
Buckeye Water Cons. & D. D. (General)		24.53	
Buckeye Water Cons. & D. D. (Refunding)		11.39	
Buckeye Water Cons. & D. D. (Special)00	
Camelback Water Cons. Dist. (General)		446.82	
Camelback Water Cons. Dist. (1929 Assmt. Repayment)		16.41	
Electrical District No. 3		700.31	
Electrical District No. 5		26.48	
Gila Water Cons. Dist. (General)		4.50	
Leon Irrigation Dist. (General)		105.65	
Maricopa Co. Mun. W. C. D. No. 1 (General)	14,630.29		
Maricopa Co. Mun. W. C. D. No. 1 (Tax Anticipation)		28.68	
Maricopa Co. Mun. W. C. D. No. 1 (Construction)		92.33	
New State Irri. & Drainage Dist. (General)		100.80	
Queen Creek Irri. Dist. (General)		21.49	
Queen Creek Irri. Dist. (Construction)		19.29	
Roosevelt Water Cons. Dist. (General)	679.32		
" " " " (Construction)		565.86	
" " " " (Cap. & Inv.)00	
" " " " (Reserve)00	
" " " " (Refunding)		531.28	
" " " " (Contingency)		437.51	
" " " " (Pump Extension)		166.22	
Roosevelt Irri. District (General)		5,092.98	
" " " " (Deficiency)		141.75	
" " " " (Construction)		235.18	
" " " " (Contingency)00	
Roosevelt Agri. Imp. Dist. No. 1		96.57	
Agricultural Imp. Dist. No. 2		142.50	
Lehi Agri. Imp. District No. 3		162.59	
St. Johns Irri. District (General)		562.50	
St. Johns Irri. District (Construction)00	
Verde River Irri. 4 Power Dist. (General)	120.02		
Verde River Irri. & Power Dist. (Tax Anticipation)		33.32	
Drainage District No. 131	
Drainage District No. 2		3.37	
Drainage District No. 3		238.13	
Drainage District No. 5		463.77	
			4,806.17 overdraft

UNAPPORTIONED FUNDS:

Unapportioned Tax Fund	125,496.44	
Back Tax Partial Payment Plan	11,063.89	136,560.33

GENERAL COUNTY CAPITAL FUNDS:

County Court House and Jail	7.63	7.63
-----------------------------------	------	------

SCHOOL CAPITAL FUNDS:

HIGH SCHOOLS:

Gilbert00
Peoria	760.13
Scottsdale00
Tempe00
Wickenburg00

SCHOOL CAPITAL FUNDS

Dr. Cr. Balance

DISTRICT SCHOOLS:

School District No. 1	2,151.12	
500	
6	756.33	
8	2,868.16	
900	
1100	
1300	
14	15.34	
2100	
25	1,393.95	
2800	
3000	
3800	
4000	
44	114.54	
4900	
5900	
6600	
6800	
7100	
83	293.75	8,353.32

BALANCE DECEMBER 31, 1933	\$34,718.66	\$1,589,452.00	\$1,554,733.34
---------------------------------	-------------	----------------	----------------

BANK BALANCES AND SECURITY AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1933

Kind of Security	Amount of Security	Bank Balance
FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA		\$ 47,800.24
Federal Land Bank Baltimore 4¼ % due 1938-58	\$ 5,000.00	
Federal Land Bank Louisville 4¼ % due 1938-58	15,000.00	
State of Arizona 3% Bonds due 1953	3,000.00	
Federal Farm Loan St. Louis 4% due 1937-57	10,000.00	
State of Arizona Refunding Bonds 4½ % due 1938	25,000.00	
Total	\$58,000.00	
VALLEY BANK AND TRUST COMPANY, PHOENIX		140,476.17
Federal Land Bank St. Paul 4½ % due 1956	\$60,000.00	(Active)
Federal Land Bank Houston 4½ % due 1956	3,000.00	50,000.00
Maricopa County Highway 5½ % due 1935	21,000.00	(Inactive)
Maricopa County Highway 5½ % due 1947	1,000.00	
Maricopa County Highway 5½ % due 1948	6,000.00	
Maricopa County Highway 5½ % due 1949	3,000.00	
Maricopa County Highway 5½ % due 1934	5,000.00	
Maricopa County Highway 6% due 1937	5,000.00	
Maricopa County Highway 6% 1938	10,000.00	
Maricopa County Highway 6% due 1944	1,000.00	
Maricopa County Highway 6% due 1951	5,000.00	
Maricopa Co. Roosevelt Agri. Imp. Dist. No. 1 6%—1952	5,000.00	
Maricopa Co. Agri. Imp. Dist. No. 2 6% due 1952	47,000.00	
Maricopa Co. Agri. Imp. Dist. No. 2 6% due 1953	1,000.00	
Registered Maricopa County Warrants	81,186.34	
Total	\$254,186.34	
PHOENIX NATIONAL BANK		37,192.61
U. S. 3¾ % Treasury Bonds due 1947	25,000.00	
Registered Maricopa County Warrants	15,294.91	
Total	\$40,294.91	
ARIZONA BANK (DEFUNCT)		33,089.98
Registered Maricopa County Warrants	32,506.28	
Accrued Interest (as of December 6, 1933)	3,448.00	
Total	\$35,954.28	
CONSOLIDATED NATIONAL BANK, TUCSON		364,544.51
Registered Maricopa County Warrants	110,670.49	(Active)
Joint Stock Land Bank Lincoln, Nebraska 5%	10,000.00	500,000.00
State of Arizona Territorial Ref. 4½ % due 1938	3,000.00	(Inactive)
Town of Flagstaff Waterworks, 5½ % due 1965	5,000.00	
Federal Land Bank Houston 5% due 1941	1,000.00	
Federal Land Bank Wichita 5% due 1941	1,000.00	
Federal Land Bank Omaha 5% due 1941	4,000.00	
Federal Land Bank Louisville 5% due 1941	4,000.00	
U. S. A. 3¼ % Treasury Bonds due 1941	444,000.00	
U. S. A. 3½ % Treasury Bonds due 1946-49	200,000.00	
U. S. A. 15% Treasury Coupon Notes due 1935	100,000.00	
Total	\$882,670.49	
Kind of Security	Amount of Security	Bank Balance
BUCKEYE VALLEY BANK		\$ 17,500.00
4th Liberty Loan 4¼ % due 1938	5,000.00	(Inactive)
Maricopa County Court House and Jail 4½ % due 1938	5,000.00	
S. R. V. W. U. A. 6% due 1939	5,000.00	
S. R. V. W. U. A. 6% due 1943	2,000.00	
S. R. V. W. U. A. 6% due 1944	3,000.00	
City of Phoenix Sewer Bonds 4½ % due 1935	2,000.00	
City of Phoenix Verde Loan Funding 4½ % due 1935	1,000.00	
City of Phoenix Town Ditch Loan 4½ % due 1935	1,000.00	
City of Phoenix Water Bonds 4½ % due 1935	1,000.00	
Total	\$25,000.00	

BANKERS TRUST CO. N. Y. (Bond and Coupon paying acct.).....		146,935.16
U. S. A. Treas. Notes Series B. 3% due 1937	150,000.00	
CHASE NATIONAL BANK, N. Y. (Coupon Acct.)		1,515.00
U. S. A. 1st Liberty Loan Convertible 4% due 1947	6,000.00	
CHEMICAL BANK & TRUST CO., N. Y. (R. W. C. D. coupon acct.).....		2,312.80
SECURITY FIRST NATIONAL BANK, L. A. (B. W. C. D. coupon acct.).....		275.00
CENTRAL BANK OF PHOENIX (DEFUNCT)		95,547.85
CENTRAL BANK OF WICKENBURG (DEFUNCT)		12,760.25
EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF PEORIA (DEFUNCT)		10,000.00
 Total		<u>\$1,459,949.57</u>

TAX RATES FOR YEAR 1933

State		\$1.07
County General Fund606	
Special County General Fund126	
General County School Fund	1.083	
Highway Bonds No. 1—Interest201	
Highway Bonds No. 2—Interest201	
Highway Bonds No. 1—Redemption100	
Highway Bonds No. 2—Redemption100	
Court House Bonds—Interest035	
Court House Bonds—Redemption035	
County Funded Debt—Interest0005	
County Funded Debt—Redemption0029	
County Rate		\$2.49
Total State and County Rate		3.56

CITIES AND TOWNS

Mesa92	
Tempe	2.28	
Tolleson	1.30	
Buckeye95	
Chandler	1.71	
Gilbert	1.70	
Glendale	1.16	
Wickenburg	No levy	
Peoria Volunteer Fire Department	No levy	

PHOENIX HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

District		Dist. Rate	Total Dist. State & Co.
No. 1	Including 57 cents for High School—Phoenix	1.21	4.77
No. 2	Including 57 cents for High School—Riverside57	4.13
No. 5	Including 57 cents for High School—Isaac	1.00	4.56
No. 7	Including 57 cents for High School—Wilson	1.46	5.02
No. 8	Including 57 cents for High School—Osborn	1.17	4.73
No. 14	Including 57 cents for High School—Creighton	1.46	5.02
No. 21	Including 57 cents for High School—Murphy	1.00	4.56
No. 30	Including 57 cents for High School—Grand Ave.	1.12	4.68
No. 31	Including 57 cents for High School—Balsz	1.53	5.09
No. 38	Including 57 cents for High School—Madison97	4.53
No. 66	Including 57 cents for High School—Roosevelt99	4.55
No. 68	Including 57 cents for High School—Alhambra	1.19	4.75
No. 83	Including 57 cents for High School—Cartwright	1.44	5.00
No. 1-8	Including 57 cents for High School	1.34	4.90
No. 1-14	Including 57 cents for High School	2.07	5.63
No. 1-21	Including 57 cents for High School	1.54	5.10
No. 8-1	Including 57 cents for High School	1.34	4.90
No. 66 T. H.	Including 57 cents for High School	1.22	4.78

TEMPE HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRICT			
No. 3	Including 74 cents for High School—Tempe	1.03	4.59
No. 13	Including 74 cents for High School—Rural74	4.30
No. 28	Including 74 cents for High School—Kyrene	1.28	4.84
No. 50	Including 74 cents for High School—Rohrig74	4.30

MESA HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

No. 4	Including 62 cents for High School—Mesa	1.28	4.84
No. 10	Including 62 cents for High School—Lehi	1.32	4.88
No. 19	Including 62 cents for High School—Alma62	4.18
No. 26	Including 62 cents for High School—Jordan62	4.18
No. 26-28	Including 62 cents for High School	1.16	4.72

GILBERT HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

No. 41	Including 71 cents for High School—Gilbert	1.56	5.12
No. 41-60	Including 71 cents for High School	1.86	5.42

WICKENBURG HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

No. 9	Including 1.16 for High School—Wickenburg	1.63	5.19
-------	---	------	------

GLENDALE HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

No. 6	Including 1.18 for High School—Washington	1.64	5.20
No. 40	Including 1.18 for High School—Glendale	1.96	5.52

CHANDLER HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

No. 80	Including 1.20 for High School—Chandler	1.68	5.24
--------	---	------	------

PEORIA HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

No. 11	Including 2.05 for High School—Peoria	2.70	6.26
--------	---	------	------

SCOTTSDALE HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

No. 48	Including 1.06 for High School—Scottsdale	1.30	4.86
--------	---	------	------

BUCKEYE HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

No. 25	Including 89 cents for High School—Liberty	1.94	5.50
No. 33	Including 89 cents for High School—Buckeye	1.33	4.89
No. 47	Including 89 cents for High School—Arlington	1.57	5.13
No. 49 BH	Including 89 cents for High School	2.19	5.75

GILA BEND HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

No. 24	Including 35 cents for High School—Gila Bend	1.25	4.81
--------	--	------	------

TOLLESON HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

No. 17	Including 69 cents for High School—Tolleson	1.16	4.72
No. 22	Including 69 cents for High School—Agua Fria	2.10	5.66
No. 45	Including 69 cents for High School—Fowler	1.11	4.67
No. 62	Including 69 cents for High School—Union	1.15	4.71
No. 65	Including 69 cents for High School—Littleton	1.63	5.19
No. 92	Including 69 cents for High School—Pendergast	1.16	4.72

LITCHFIELD HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

No. 79	Including 1.67 for High School—Litchfield	2.26	5.82
--------	---	------	------

AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS

Roosevelt Agricultural Improvement District No. 1	Per \$100 value	\$20.69
Agricultural Improvement District No. 2	Per \$100 value	14.45
Lehi Agricultural Improvement District No. 3	Per \$100 value	11.26

SPECIAL SCHOOL TAXES NOT IN HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICTS

DISTRICT			
No. 44	Coldwater89	4.45
No. 49	Palo Verde	1.30	4.86
No. 59	Laveen	2.46	6.02
No. 60	Higley49	4.05
No. 69	Sunnyside	3.56	7.12
No. 71	Sentinel30	3.86
No. 72	Agua Caliente26	3.82
No. 75	Morristown05	3.61
No. 81	Nadaburg56	4.12
No. 85	Marinette94	4.50
No. 86	Mobile34	3.90
No. 89	Dysart35	3.91
No. 90	Winters Wells	2.40	5.96
No. 91	Harqua Hala	2.32	5.88
No. 93	Cave Creek	1.40	4.96
No. 94	Theba07	3.63

DRAINAGE DISTRICTS

No. 1	Per \$100.00 Value	4.20
No. 2	Per \$100.00 Value	6.47
No. 5	Per \$100.00 Value	No levy

IRRIGATION DISTRICTS

Arizona Water Conservation District—Per acre	.41
Buckeye Water Conservation District—Per acre	.75
Gila Water Conservation District—Per acre	4.08
Maricopa County Municipal Water Conservation Dist. No. 1—Per acre	3.06
New State Irrigation District—Per acre	1.80
Queen Creek Irrigation District—Per acre	6.62
Roosevelt Water Conservation District—Per acre	4.46
Roosevelt Irrigation District—Per acre	3.36
St. John's Irrigation District—Per acre	.25
Southside Irrigation District—Per acre	.50
Verde River Irrigation and Power District—Per acre	
Camelback Water Conservation District—Per acre	

ELECTRICAL DISTRICTS

No. 5	Per \$100.00 value	.47
-------	--------------------	-----

COMPARATIVE *TAX RATES AND ASSESSED VALUATIONS
1893—1933

YEAR	COUNTY			BOND DEBT	STATE	TOTAL	ASSESSED VALUATION
	GEN.	SCHOOL	ROAD				
1893	.76	.50	.12	.40	.72	2.50	7,974,780.00
1894	1.00	.45	.10	.23	.72	2.50	7,466,739.00
1895	.80	.50	.20	.28	.72	2.50	7,799,872.00
1896	.50	.597	.10	.293	.72	2.21	7,894,318.00
1897	.42	.60	.20	.145	.785	2.15	8,948,043.78
1898	.50	.58	.165	.55	.80	2.20	9,174,865.50
1899	.85	.58	.15	.17	.85	2.60	9,309,206.00
1900	.55	.55	.200	.20	.85	2.35	9,195,968.61
1901	.55	.55	.20	.15	1.17	2.62	9,547,675.00
1902	.523	.50	.30	.15	1.137	2.61	9,635,780.00
1903	.48	.55	.18	.14	1.05	2.40	10,314,108.97
1904	.45	.50	.21	.14	.95	2.25	10,519,802.12
1905	.45	.58	.37	.15	.95	2.50	10,638,420.30
1906	.45	.57	.22	.11	.75	2.10	11,754,883.61
1907	.45	.64	.20	.11	.75	2.15	13,388,803.84
1908	.50	.60	.20	.11	.75	2.16	14,264,755.53
1909	.65	.70	.52	.10	1.05	3.02	16,026,080.07
1910	.65	.65	.25	.05	.95	2.55	17,800,544.69
1911	.55	.60	.25	.06	.90	2.36	21,889,244.24
1912	.425	.58	.25	.055	.90	2.21	28,077,800.80
1913	.25	.18	.10	.035	.495	1.06	67,948,881.70
1914	.18	.185	.135	.015	.445	.96	75,862,907.37
1915	.22	.214	.128	.018	.54	1.12	74,230,458.88
1916	.223	.308	.116	.0214	.40	.97	72,200,534.40
1917	.23	.161	.117	.017	.535	1.08	80,392,377.34
1918	.238	.202	.097	.013	.390	.94	96,791,106.33
1919	.328	.21	.18	.012	.60	1.33	101,748,738.31
1920	.20	.20	.0975	.0575	.475	1.03	135,028,424.00
1921	.25	.4975	.085	.18	.73	1.7425	128,119,051.00
1922	.76	.50	.12	.40	.72	2.50	116,826,456.00
1923	.279	.522	.152	.452	.575	1.98	112,160,226.50
1924	.258	.51442	.56	1.77	114,993,708.70
1925	.275	.548615	.78	2.22	117,500,000.00
1926	.290	.555	.580	.005	.67	2.10	119,921,651.00
1927	.2969	.6396	.0147	.5988	.89	2.44	124,060,477.00
1928	.2784	.6902	.0302	.52	.77	2.29	132,283,848.00
1929	.4080	.973052	.93	2.83	142,321,528.00
1930	.3701	.95974802	.80	2.61	153,802,843.00
1931	.4636	.953964	.95	2.76	154,674,121.00
1932	.5416	1.01374747	1.20	3.23	126,200,783.00
1933	.732	1.0836754	1.07	3.56	100,473,741.00

County General .328 includes .06 for epidemic expenditures.
 County Road .18 includes .09 for interest County indebtedness.
 County General .76 includes .107 for Cave Creek Dam.
 County General .279 includes .029 emergency covering balance on Cave Creek Flood Control Dam.
 County General .4080 includes .10 special county levies.
 County General .3701 includes .0851 special county levies.
 County General .4636 includes .1346 special county levies.
 County General .5416 includes .0787 special county levies.
 County General .732 includes .126 special county levies.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT COUNTY PHYSICIAN PHOENIX

ANNUAL REPORT—1933

	Office Calls	House Calls	Hospital Calls	Deliveries
Tubercular Cases	789	423		
Obstetrical Cases	944	357		298
Venereal and Other Contagious Diseases	1,014	927		
Medical Cases	30,138	6,302	419	
Surgical Cases	860	771	915	
Eye, Ear and Nose Cases	1,005		124	
Totals	34,750	8,780	1,458	298
Insanity Hearings Attended	175			
Tonsil Operations in Office	52			
Dental Extractions	455			
Heart Cases	118			

SURGICAL AND MEDICAL CASES SENT TO HOSPITALS:

St. Joseph's	632
Good Samaritan	472
Booker T. Washington	175
1710 E. Madison	103
Total	1,382

In addition to the above activities of the Phoenix Office, many hundreds of cases were handled by Assistant County Physicians in Mesa, Glendale, Chandler, Tempe, Gilbert and Wickenburg.

MARICOPA COUNTY HEALTH UNIT ANNUAL REPORT FOR YEAR 1933

	Jan. Feb. Mar	Apr. May June	July Aug. Sept.	Oct. Nov. Dec.	Total for Year
Physical Examinations	3,009	1,107	664	9,575	14,355
Immunizations	3,007	3,133	664	9,727	16,531
Home Calls made	126	227	1,726	202	2,781
Laboratory Tests	464	421	123	161	1,169
Quarantines and Releases	92	49	38	61	230
Inspections Made	2,788	1,204	1,288	1,518	6,798

Many other activities of the Unit cannot be accurately tabulated, particularly during the summer months in which occurred a serious outbreak of typhoid along the canals, which necessitated many contacts and much effective work by our Inspectors.

MARICOPA COUNTY HOSPITAL ANNUAL REPORT FOR YEAR 1933

	Patients First of Month	Admitted During Month	Discharged During Month	Died During Month	Patient Days
January	126	9	4	1	3,981
February	130	9	5	3	3,707
March	131	9	4	1	4,187
April	135	9	9	2	4,081
May	133	13	16	2	4,092
June	128	13	11	2	3,949
July	128	21	15	3	3,989
August	131	10	10	0	4,129
September	131	9	8	3	3,937
October	129	5	3	1	4,066
November	130	7	7	1	3,938
December	129	7	8	2	3,970
Total	1,561	121	100	21	48,026
Monthly Average	130				4,002
Total County Farm Expenditures					\$21,356.30
Cost per patient per day					\$.4447

MARICOPA COUNTY CHILDREN'S HOME ANNUAL REPORT FOR YEAR — 1933

Month	Inmates First Of Month	Admitted	Discharged	Inmated Days
January	23	9	10	697
February	22	7	10	574
March	19	5	2	636
April	22	5	0	735
May	27	7	11	775
June	23	11	8	735
July	26	4	10	713
August	20	12	14	589
September	18	13	12	574
October	19	7	2	666
November	24	7	6	735
December	25	2	5	728
January, 1934	22			
Total	290	89	90	8,157
Monthly Average	24 plus			680
Total Expenditures for year				\$7,827.35
Average cost per inmate per day				.96

MARICOPA COUNTY FREE LIBRARY ANNUAL REPORT FOR YEAR 1933

Number of new books purchased	1,442
Number of magazine subscriptions purchased	19
Number of old books rebound	875
Number of books in library	20,500
Number of branch libraries in County	40
Number of persons reached by free service	50,000
Area covered—square miles	8,891
Book circulation for the year	172,336
Number of employees in main office and library	3

Branches Maintained in Following Localities:

Arlington	Gilbert	Morristown	Sentinel
Avondale	Glendale	Murphy	Tempe
Balsz	Harqua Hala	Osborn	Tempe T. S.
Buckeye	Isaac	Palo Verde	Tolleson
Cartwright	Jordan	Pendergast	Union
Cave Creek	Kyrene	Peoria	Vulture
Chandler	Liberty	Rainbow Valley	Washington
Creighton	Litchfield	Riverside	Wickenburg
Fowler	Madison	Roosevelt	Wilson
Gila Bend	Mesa	Scottsdale	Winters Wells

MARICOPA COUNTY ASSESSOR ANNUAL REPORT—TAX COLLECTIONS FOR YEAR—1933

MONTH	PERSONAL	SCHOOL	ROAD	TOTAL
December	\$ 23,071.83	\$ 5,487.50	\$2,130.00	\$ 30,689.33
January	33,567.00	7,795.00	3,036.00	44,398.00
February	19,569.60	5,167.50	1,970.00	26,707.10
March	21,890.44	2,905.00	848.00	25,643.44
April	17,348.53	2,700.00	832.00	20,880.53
May	20,058.78	400.00	98.00	20,556.78
June	18,550.22	345.00	86.00	18,981.22
July	8,532.33	287.50	90.00	8,909.83
August	8,645.02	87.50	32.00	8,764.52
September	10,632.85	52.50	18.00	10,703.35
October	9,917.89	2.50	12.00	9,932.39
November	4,983.62			4,983.62
Total remitted to County Treasurer	\$196,768.11	\$25,230.00	\$9,152.00	\$231,150.11

MARICOPA COUNTY RECORDER ANNUAL REPORT—1933

KIND OF INSTRUMENT	NUMBER RECORDED	MONTH	FEEES EARNED	AMOUNT
Deeds	4,955	January		\$ 2,978.40
Mortgages (Real Estate)	1,683	February		2,983.40
Mortgages (Chattel)	5,665	March		3,045.65
Conditional Sales Contracts	7,773	April		3,275.35
Articles	231	May		3,659.20
Releases	1,864	June		3,230.25
Assignments	668	July		2,535.35
Agreements	177	August		2,550.60
Mines	560	September		2,501.95
Leases	226	October		2,978.90
Plats	9	November		3,437.80
Miscellaneous	3,111	December		4,985.55
Total Instruments recorded	26,922	Total Fees Earned—1933		\$38,162.40

CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT ANNUAL REPORT--FEES EARNED 1933

Month	Civil	Probate	Marriage Licenses	Fines and Forfeitures	Jury Fees	Miscellaneous	Court Costs	Notary Bonds	Totals
January	\$ 2,907.00	\$ 340.00	\$ 202.00	\$	\$	\$ 135.55	\$	\$ 26.00	\$ 3,600.55
February	3,285.00	410.00	162.00			154.35		20.00	4,031.35
March	3,137.00	230.00	188.00		556.38	198.45		18.00	4,327.83
April	2,974.00	280.00	266.00	50.00		135.50		10.00	3,715.50
May	3,072.00	250.00	288.00		54.00	201.40	119.10	26.00	4,010.50
June	2,990.00	355.00	318.00	500.00		167.70		13.00	4,343.70
July	2,615.00	305.00	210.00			190.75		15.00	3,335.75
August	2,314.00	255.00	208.00	250.00		195.15		13.00	3,235.15
September	2,569.00	270.00	240.00		162.00	105.00		14.00	3,360.00
October	2,481.00	320.00	202.00	210.00		134.95		19.00	3,366.95
November	2,311.00	320.00	246.00	10.00		221.00		14.00	3,122.00
December	2,606.00	295.00	336.00	10.00	324.00	189.50		16.00	3,776.50
Totals	\$33,261.00	\$3,630.00	\$2,866.00	\$1,030.00	\$1,096.38	\$2,029.30	\$119.10	\$204.00	\$44,225.78

SHERIFF'S OFFICE CRIMINAL DEPARTMENT REPORT 1933

FELONIES	ARRESTS
Murder	13
Manslaughter	9
Robbery	57
Burglary	96
Assault with Intent to Murder	22
Assault Deadly Weapon	22
Assault Intent to Rape	4
Aggravated Assault	66
Rape	15
Bastardy	13
Possession of Narcotics	5
Adultery	5
Leaving Scene of Accident	4
Grand Larceny	110
Bogus Checks	50
Forgery	12
Violation of Probation	22
Escaped Convicts	6
Failure to Provide	51
Insane	110
Material Witnesses	16
Held for other Counties	61
Held for other States	24
Rioting	7
Receiving Stolen Property	7
Jail Breakers	5
Parole, Violators	9
Threatening Offense	9
Miscellaneous	42
Total	872
MISDEMEANORS	ARRESTS
Petit Larceny	83
Disturbing Peace	130
Simple Assault	31
Drunk Driving	26
Reckless Driving	17
Indecent Exposure	7
Gambling	11
Trespassing	6
Carrying Concealed Weapons	14
Miscellaneous	41
Total	366
Total Felonies	872
Total Misdemeanors	366
Total Arrests	1,238
Stolen Cars Recovered	282

FINGER PRINT DEPARTMENT

Prisoners Handled	1,054
Repeaters (arrested more than one time)	201
Prisoners with prior records	349
Finger Print calls	116
Records Filed	349
Total Records on File	3,173
Total Finger Print Cards on File	12,610
Negatives Made	1,033
Pictures Made	5,963

Queen Creek

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

State of Arizona

Office of the Clerk

STATE OF ARIZONA)
COUNTY OF MARICOPA) ss.

I, James E. DeSouza, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors do hereby
Certify, That the following is a true and correct copy of a resolution
adopted at the Board of Supervisors meeting held Monday, April 18, 1938:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, this Board is endeavoring to bring about the control of
flood waters in the Queen Creek, Arizona area, and

WHEREAS, such control may involve the inundating of lands in a
national forest, now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Clerk of this Board be authorized to execute
an agreement for the County that the right of way requested shall be
subject to the stipulations required by the Forest Service of the
Department of Agriculture.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
my hand and affixed the Official Seal
of the Board of Supervisors. Done at
Phoenix, the County Seat this 19th day
of April A.D. 1938

(Official Seal)

(Signed)
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Fring
Queen Creek Fee

COPY

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE

INTERIOR

GENERAL LAND OFFICE
Washington

Jan. 22, 1936.

In Reply please refer to
Phoenix 039897-061276 "F" ECB

: Thirty days allowed
: within which to
: relinquish

Register,

Phoenix, Arizona.

Sir:

By letter of April 26, 1919, this office accepted for filing and information only a map, serial 039897, filed by Joseph R. Loftus under the act of March 3, 1891 (26 Stat. 1095) showing a reservoir site covering 1012.48 acres of unsurveyed lands in a national forest. Subsequently the township containing 78.60 acres of the site was surveyed so Mr. Loftus filed a new map to which you assigned serial 061276 showing the location of the portion of the site within the surveyed township with respect to the lines and corners of that township. The new map received departmental approval on April 27, 1928 as to the surveyed lands affected. On September 26, 1919 and pursuant to the said act of March 3, 1891 the Department approved, as to the surveyed lands affected, another map also serialized as 039897 filed by Mr. Loftus showing a reservoir site and canal right of way, the site covering 99 acres, a few miles from the site covering 1012.48 acres. The approval of this map is regarded as accepting it for filing and information only as to the unsurveyed lands since there is no authority under the said act to approve maps showing sites on unsurveyed lands.

Page #2.

The statutory period of five years allowed for construction having expired without any construction the grants of the portions of the sites affecting surveyed lands are subject to forfeiture and the acceptance of the maps as to the unsurveyed lands are subject to revocation.

Office letter of June 6, 1935 stated in part that:

"The showings heretofore filed and the present one are mainly based on the plea that the grantee has been unable to finance the project, particularly since the depression started. From some of the showings it appears that he has arrangements with the owners of about 40,000 acres for their lands to be irrigated whenever the project is constructed. The Forest Service, however, by a report dated June 20, 1933 stated that:

"The lands involved in this easement show no evidence of construction or beneficial use other than an old cable and platform which may have been used in making stream measurements, but apparently have not been so used in recent years. The lands which were to have been irrigated by the proposed reservoir are understood to have been sold to other persons."

The grantee has now had almost 16 years within which to finance and construct the project. None of the showings give any information as to when and where he expects to raise the funds necessary. The act under which the grants were made does not authorize any extension of the construction period of five years but it is the practice where good faith is shown to suspend action looking toward forfeiture proceedings. Such suspensions however cannot be granted indefinitely, particularly where there appears to be no serious efforts made to finance and construct a project."

and further suspend^d action looking toward forfeiture proceedings until January 1, 1936 on or before which date Mr. Loftus was required to file a satisfactory showing that substantial progress had been made towards raising the funds with which to construct.

By letter of January 3, you have transmitted a showing filed by the attorneys for Mr. Loftus. It is stated in the showing that:

"It has not yet been possible to commence construction of the reservoir, diversion dam or canal but during the suspension period just ended progress has been made by the grantee toward financing the project and we now have been advised by the grantee,

Page #3.

Mr. Loftus, that he expects to be able to start work on the diversion dam in the very near future.

In view of all the circumstances and as there are no adverse claims and as the grantee reports that substantial progress has been made toward raising the funds necessary to construct, which was the requirement made in said Honorable Commissioner's letter of June 6, 1935, it is most respectfully requested that a further suspension of action in these cases be granted for a period of one year and until January 1, 1937."

This showing as do these heretofore filed, appears to be based mostly on hopes and expectations rather than on anything definite in the way of constructing the project. The act of March 3, 1891 makes no provision for the extension of the statutory period of five years but it is the practice to suspend action looking towards the initiation of forfeiture proceedings where it appears that a grantee is proceeding in good faith with the construction and no other parties appear of record as desiring the site. A protest against any further suspension of action in this case has been filed by the Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County supported by one from the Phoenix Chamber of Commerce. It is not to the public interest to allow reservoir sites to be held indefinitely and for speculative purposes particularly where there is a County board desiring to use the site.

Accordingly, you will notify Mr. Loftus that he is allowed 30 days from notice hereof within which to execute and file his relinquishments, forms for which are enclosed of the granted portions of the sites, or within which to appeal.

Should no action be taken in accordance with the foregoing within the time allowed the acceptance of the maps for filing and information only will be revoked and steps taken having in view the cancellation of the grants through court proceedings. Serve notice and in due time make report.

Very respectfully,

(Sgd) Fred W. Johnson
Commissioner

Mr. Kennison

R E S O L U T I O N

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE VARIOUS COMMUNITIES AND INTERESTS INTERESTED IN PROVIDING FOR CONTROL OF THE FLOOD WATERS OF QUEEN CREEK AND ITS TRIBUTARIES AND THE DESERT WASHES OF THE ADJACENT TERRITORIES, IN MEETING ASSEMBLED, IN THE COUNTY COURTHOUSE, MARICOPA COUNTY, STATE OF ARIZONA, DO HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

I. Project Committee

1. That pending the establishment and organization of an appropriate formal body under enabling statutes, a "Queen Creek Flood Control Project Committee" be established to continue until the perfection of a statutory organization.

2. That the various organizations, agencies, and interests hereinafter named shall be entitled to representation upon said Project Committee in proportion to their respective direct interests in such enterprise; provided, however, that said representation shall consist of not less than One (1) nor more than Five (5) representatives from each.

3. That the following organizations, agencies, and interests shall be represented on said Project Committee, viz:

- (a) Agency of the U. S. Soil Conservation, U. S. Department of Agriculture;
- (b) Forest Service, U. S. Dept. of Agriculture;
- (c) Corps of Engineers, U. S. Army;
- (d) U. S. Department of the Interior;
- (e) State of Arizona;
- (f) County of Maricopa;
- (g) County of Pinal;
- (h) Roosevelt Water Conservation District;

- (i) Queen Creek Irrigation District;
- (j) Chandler Citrus Heights Irrigation Dist.;
- (k) City of Mesa;
- (l) Town of Chandler;
- (m) Town of Gilbert;
- (n) Electrical District No. 5;
- (o) Southern Pacific Railroad Company;
- (p) Mountain States Telephone & Telegraph Co.;
- (q) Salt River Valley Water Users Association;
- (r) Arizona Cattle Growers Association;
- (s) Arizona Wool Growers Association;
- (t) Arizona Miners Association;
- (u) Such additional organizations, agencies, and interests as, in the opinion of the Executive Committee herein provided for, may in the future become interested in this Project or necessary for its development.

II. Composition of Project Committee

1. That said Queen Creek Flood Control Project Committee consist of representatives of the various organizations, agencies, and interests directly interested and concerned in obtaining necessary control of the flood waters of the eastern portion of Maricopa County and the northern portions of Pinal County.

III. Personel

1. That Mr. George Frye, chairman of the Board of Supervisors, of Maricopa County, State of Arizona, constitute the chairman of the Project Committee.

2. That the chairman appoint representatives of the various organizations, agencies, and interests hereinabove mentioned, and

that in doing so he may seek the advice and assistance of such organizations, agencies, and interests.

3. That all vacancies in the representation and the creation of extensions shall be similarly made and filled by said chairman with the advice and assistance of the Executive Committee and of the organizations, agencies, and interests concerned.

IV. Organization

1. That the Queen Creek Flood Control Project Committee shall be organized and function by means of the following officers and committees:

- (a) Mr. George Frye, named in this Resolution, shall act as chairman until the office may become vacant, in which event the same shall be filled by the Executive Committee;
- (b) A Secretary whoshall be selected by the Executive Committee;
- (c) Functional committees to be established as follows:
 - (1) A Liaison Committee
 - (2) An Engineering Committee
 - (3) A Legal Committee
 - (4) A Legislative Committee
 - (5) A Publicity Committee
 - (6) A Public Relations Committee
 - (7) A Ways and Means Committee
 - (8) A Financial Committee
 - (9) Such Special Committees as may be found necessary or advisable from time to time.

2. Each Committee shall consist of a chairman and not less than Two (2) nor more than Eight (8) additional persons, all appointed by the chairman of the Project Committee.

3. The Executive Committee shall consist of the Project Committee chairman and secretary and the chairman of the respective committees hereinbefore mentioned.

4. The Liaison Committee shall be responsible for establishing and maintaining contact, relationship, cooperation, advice, and coordination between the various organizations, agencies, and interests hereinbefore mentioned.

5. The Engineering Committee shall be responsible for advising the Project Committee on the engineering features of this enterprise in collaboration with the federal and state governments.

6. The Legal Committee shall be responsible for advising the Project Committee and formulating and drafting all instruments and documents that may be necessary or advisable in the functioning of the Project Committee and its various component subdivisions, and for formulating and drafting such legislation as may be necessary and advisable for carrying out the purposes of this enterprise.

7. The Legislative Committee shall be responsible for the introduction and enactment of such statutory legislation as may be necessary or advisable in connection with this enterprise.

8. The Publicity Committee shall be responsible for providing necessary public information and advice concerning this Project, its motives, purposes, aims, and other features.

9. The Public Relations Committee shall be responsible for maintaining a congenial, efficient, and useful spirit and attitude on the part of the organizations, agencies, departments, and interests concerned with this enterprise.

10. The Ways and Means Committee shall perform such functions and duties as may be assigned to it.

11. The Financial Committee shall be responsible for the formation of a plan for financing this enterprise pending its statutory establishment and for the providing of necessary funds by solicitation, contributions, assessment, or other means which may be adopted to finance this enterprise.

12. The Special Committees shall perform such duties and functions as may be assigned from time to time.

V. Meetings

1. Public meetings shall be called by the chairman from time to time, whenever the exigencies may require.

2. The Executive Committee shall fix the time and place of its meetings and in the absence of the entire personnel of any of the various committees may perform all the duties and exercise all the powers which could be exercised by the committee, were such committee in session, subject to the approval or disapproval of the committee concerned at its first meeting thereafter.

3. Regular committees shall meet on the call of the committee chairman.

VI. Amendments

1. These provisions may be altered, supplemented, or amended by a majority vote of the Executive Committee.

VII. Continuance

1. This Project Committee shall continue in existence until such time as a properly qualified statutory organization may be established for the formal sponsoring of the Project's purposes and aims.

VIII. Establishment

1. This Project shall be perfected within Thirty (30) Days from the date hereof by appointment of personnel as hereinbefore provided.

IX. Compensation.

All personnel of the Project Committee shall serve without pay, provided, however, that the Executive Committee may compensate for necessary costs and expenses and for necessary executive and professional services.

PASSED AND ADIPTED THIS _____ DAY OF
SEPTEMBER, 1937.

CHAIRMAN.

SECRETARY.

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the United States Congress by act approved June 22, 1936, (Public, No. 738, 74th Congress) authorized and directed the Secretary of war to undertake preliminary examination and surveys for flood control on Queen Creek, Arizona, provided responsible local governmental agencies would assume the expense of acquiring the necessary rights-of-way, hold the United States free from damage, and maintain and operate the works after completion; and

WHEREAS, although an amendment to the above-mentioned act of congress, adopted on June 28, 1938 (Public, No. 761, 75th Congress), relieved local agencies of all the above-mentioned obligations imposed upon them by the said act of June 22, 1936, the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors has been advised by the War Department that the relief granted local agencies from such obligations applies only to such flood control projects as were specifically authorized for construction by the acts of Congress of 1936 and 1938; and

WHEREAS, the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors has been further advised that assurances are desired that local interests will cooperate with the United States if a flood control project on Queen Creek, Arizona, is approved for construction;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors hereby declares that the public interest and welfare of Maricopa County requires flood control works on Queen Creek, and that, if required by Congress, it will, in cooperation with the Board of Supervisors of Pinal County, assist the Federal Government in undertaking said flood control work to the best of its ability by assuming an equitable portion of the following obligations;

1. Provide without cost to the United States all lands, easements, and other forms of rights-of-way necessary to the construction of said flood control improvement.

2. Provide whatever maintenance and operation of the improvement that is prescribed by the Secretary of War.

3. Hold and save the United States free from damages arising from the construction and operation of said flood control improvement, except damage claims caused by faulty manual operation by the United States.

4. Assume the cost of the relocation of all public utilities required in the construction of the improvement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors pledges to the United States Government that if and when the project is approved by Congress, it will negotiate a definite agreement with the Board of Supervisors of Pinal County upon an equitable division or assignment of the responsibility required to meet the obligations heretofore mentioned and will agree upon a mutually satisfactory organization to fulfill the prescribed obligations.

Adopted April 3, 1940.

Board of Supervisors
of Maricopa County, Arizona.

Chairman

Clerk

(To be prepared in quintuplicate.
Additional or detailed data to be
attached using corresponding
numbers)

Return to
National Emergency Council,
Washington, D. C.

FEDERAL PROJECTS
Application for Allotment of Funds under
Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935

Date
Department
project No.
N. E. C. No.
Official
project No.
Amount
requested \$
Amount
approved \$

Department or Independent Office:

Bureau:

Location of project:

State:

County:

City or town:

General location, if other than above:

1. Description of project and character of work:

(a) Relative priority of this project in comparison with all projects embraced within the program of the Bureau:

2. Total estimated cost of project:

(a) Amount previously allotted or appropriated..... \$.....
(b) Amount of allotment requested in this application..... \$.....
(c) Additional amount required to complete..... \$.....
(d) TOTAL..... \$.....

3. Give source of funds, if any, under 2 (a):

	(1) Amount (Dollars)	(2) Percent of Subtotal	(3) Percent of Total Allotment	(4) Daily Average Number to be Employed
4. Estimated division of allotment:				
(a) Labor:				
(1) Unskilled.....				
(2) Skilled.....				
(3) Technical and clerical.....				
(4) SUBTOTAL.....		100		
(b) Superintendence.....		X X X		
(c) Other expenses on project:				
(1) Supplies, materials, equipment.....				
(2) Contingent expense.....				
(3) Land.....				
(4) SUBTOTAL, other expenses.....		100		
(d) TOTAL (should correspond with amount shown in 2 (b)).....		X X X	100	

NOTE.—Do not include any estimate of general administrative expenditures for salaries of employees located in Washington or at any regional office or for general office expenses at such offices. Application for funds for such expenses should be made on N. E. C. Form 2.

5. Estimated expenditure per man-year of employment: \$ _____ . [State number of hours per month and wage rate basis (P. W. A., union scale, or other) used in 4 and 5.]

6. Estimated total man-hours:

7. Estimated period of preparation before work at site can be started _____ days.

8. Estimated daily average number of employees each month during execution of project:

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.

9. Estimated elapsed time from beginning work to completion _____ months.

10. (a) What part of labor on project would usually be handled by contract? %
(b) What part of labor on project would usually be day labor? %

11. If this project is in fulfillment of some specific statutory authorization, give date of such law and statute reference.

12. Is any part of this project in conflict with previous congressional action?
13. Under what provision or classification of projects specified in Section 1, Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935, may allotment be made legally?
14. Has validity of proposed allotment been approved by legal staff of Department or Independent Office?
15. From what other Federal agency, if any, has an allotment for this project been requested?
16. Status of plans:
- (a) Surveys?
 - (b) Work plans?
 - (c) Sketch plans?
 - (d) Detail plans?
 - (e) Specifications?
17. Status of land or sites:
- (a) Acquired?
 - (b) Negotiations completed?
 - (c) Negotiations pending?
 - (d) Negotiations not begun?
18. Is project wholly or partially self-liquidating under present laws?
(Give details on separate sheet as to wherein and to what extent)
19. To what extent will this allotment increase or decrease the annual expense of physical upkeep and operating cost to the Federal Government?
- (a) Increase, \$ _____ By what agency to be borne?
 - (b) Decrease, \$ _____
20. Extent of participation, if any, by other agencies:
21. Justification (a short, concise statement giving reason or necessity for the proposed allotment, including any comments or further statement about the nature of the work. An additional sheet may be inserted if necessary):

Allotment of funds for this project requested by:

(Department or Independent Office)

(Signature of Head of Department or Independent Office)

C O P Y

WAR DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF ENGINEERS
WASHINGTON

R. & H. No. 24, 1935
E. D. 7402

May 24, 1935.

C I R C U L A R L E T T E R

Subject: Federal Projects for Flood Control and other Works under
Emergency Relief Appropriation of 1935.

To: Division and District Engineers.

1. This Department is responsible for the preparation and presentation to the National Emergency Council of Federal projects for flood control, bank and coastal erosion and such other activities as normally come under the supervision of the Secretary of War.

2. To receive the consideration of the Department, flood control projects and other projects other than river and harbor works should be sponsored by a responsible agency of the State, county, municipality or organized flood control, levee, conservation or improvement district, or other organized public body, who must agree to furnish without cost to the United States all lands and easements necessary to the execution of the work and assume all damages incident to the improvement, except as concerns land easements and damages to railroads or other public utilities. The sponsoring agency must further agree to take over, operate and maintain the works when constructed. It must have the legal power to undertake the above obligations.

3. Federal projects undertaken under the Emergency Relief appropriation must be useful public works which will afford a large measure of employment to persons on relief rolls, must be such that they can be promptly commenced, and so prosecuted that by the end of the working season 1936 they will afford a useful completed unit not requiring further Federal expenditure.

4. Requests for the approval of Federal flood control works as Federal projects should be presented to the District Engineer. The request should show that the project is unqualifiedly sponsored by the proposing agency and should include the undertaking to furnish any necessary lands and easements, assume all damages resulting from execution

of the work, and take over, operate, and maintain any works after completion. The Works Progress Administration of each State, when formed, will be instructed to refer applications for flood control projects to the District Engineer.

5. Flood control works include the following general classes:

(a) Improvement of flood discharge capacity by snagging, clearing and rectification of streams. These works lend themselves to employment from relief rolls. They may require no rights of way or liability for damage and leave nothing to be maintained after completion. While they rarely, if ever, afford complete flood protection, they may be warranted in ameliorating flood conditions. For such works all unnecessary formalities may be waived. It will be sufficient to show that the work is sponsored by a responsible public body and will effect useful results and will not result in consequential damages to others. The adoption of the project will rest on the availability of relief labor.

(b) Levees and similar works. Preference will be given to works protecting centers of population; and to works affording security to localities insufficiently protected. Care must be taken that Government funds will not be applied to works which by reducing the flood discharge capacity of the stream will increase the flood damage or hazard to other localities.

(c) Revetments and protecting works. These will be favorably considered only when the works undertaken may be counted on to be effective without subsequent extension. Inadequate works may do more harm than good and impose an implied liability on the Federal Government for future remedial extensions.

(d) Reservoirs. Complete economic justification need not be established if useful employment will be given to persons on relief, without Federal expenditure for lands and easements, except public utilities, and if a qualified public body is prepared to take over the works.

6. The District Engineer, on receipt of an application will with available personnel and with the utmost dispatch, make an overall investigation of the engineering feasibility and soundness of the proposal. If feasible and sound he will prepare a general plan for the work and a plan of execution that will afford the maximum useful employment of relief labor, estimate the total Federal cost, based on the relief wages established at the time, and the total man years of employment. The District Engineer will ascertain from the local Emergency Relief agencies in the region of the work whether the unskilled, semi-skilled and skilled labor of the various classes can be obtained wholly or to what extent from relief rolls.

7. The report of the District Engineer will be submitted through the Division Engineer to this office as soon as practicable after its receipt. This report will follow the sample form inclosed herewith.

8. All details of the prescribed procedure must be actively followed up by District and Division Engineers to insure that no time is lost in the consideration of worthy projects.

By direction of the Chief of Engineers:

Glen E. Edgerton,
Lieut. Col., Corps of Engineers.

1 Inclosure

OUTLINE OF PROJECT APPLICATION

1. Project requested.

2. Previous reports:

Document Numbers

Statutory Authority

Recommended by

Remarks

3. Name of Applicant:

4. Status of Applicant:

a. Jurisdiction

b. Duties

c. Source of income

d. Financial standing

e. Does applicant agree to furnish rights of way?

Status of land or sites:

1. Acquired

2. Negotiations completed

3. Negotiations pending

4. Negotiations not begun

f. Does applicant agree to take over and maintain project on completion?

g. Does applicant agree to save United States against damages resulting from project?

5. Location and description of area affected.

6. Rainfall and runoff.

7. Present improvements:

Description

Source of funds

8. Essential engineering features of project and purpose thereof.

- a. General plan
- b. Clearing and snagging
- c. Rectification and enlargement including revetment or pavement.
- d. Levees
- e. Reservoirs.
- f. Diversions
- g. Dredging
- h. Other features

9. Estimated costs

- a. Structures or work as in 8.
- b. Rights of way and easements.
- c. Damages

Amount (Dollars)	Percent of Subtotal	Percent of total allot- ment	Daily Average Number to be employed
---------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------	---

10. Labor:

Unskilled.....			
Skilled.....			
Technical and clerical	_____	_____	_____
Subtotal	_____	100	_____
Superintendence.....		x x x	
Other expenses on project:			
Supplies, materials, equipment.....			
Contingent expense...			
Land.....	_____	_____	_____
Subtotal, other expenses	_____	100	_____
TOTAL, (should correspond with amount shown in 2 (b)).....	_____	x x x	100

11. Estimated expenditure per man-year of employment: \$
(State number of hours per month and wage rate basis (PWA union scale,
or other) used in 10).

12. Estimated total man hours

13. Estimated daily average number of employees each month during
execution of project:

1	7	13
2	8	14
3	9	15
4	10	16
5	11	17
6	12	18

14. Benefits other than employment:

a. Nature - - Direct and indirect.

Major, Corps of Engineers

From: Board of Supervisors,
Maricopa County, Arizona.

To: The Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors,
Munitions Building,
Washington, D. C.

Subject: Appeal from Report of Office of Division
Engineer, South~~ern~~ Pacific Division, re-
lative to Queen Creek Flood Control Project.

On October 6th, 1937, a hearing was held before representatives of the War Department at Mesa, Arizona, for the purpose of obtaining data for report authorized by Act of Congress of June 22nd, 1936, on Flood Control Project for Flood Control of Queen Creek, Arizona. At the hearing, a brief was submitted and testimony taken relative to this flood control project.

At a later date, a communication from the office of the Division Engineer, South~~ern~~ Pacific Division, dated February 14, 1938, was received (Exhibit A attached) reporting unfavorably on the proposal.

The so-called Queen Creek project contemplates flood control on the stream Queen Creek proper, and also on what is known as the Superstition area lying north of Queen Creek, and which would normally be tributary thereto. Queen Creek follows a well defined channel for several miles on the plain after leaving the foothills, but this channel finally disappears, water from Queen Creek being spread over a large area by interception of canals and roadways. Waters from the Superstition area follow a large number of smaller channels, but before converging into a common channel are likewise spread over a large area by intercepting canals and roadways.

It is probable that long before any improvements of any kind were made in the Valley which lies in the path of these channels, that the drainages from Superstition area converged and eventually reached the Queen Creek channel and that there was a Queen Creek channel which reached to the Gila River, and it is probable that far in the past some of these waters eventually reached the Gila River. This condition, however, has never occurred within the memory of any resident and so far as known, none of this water has ever reached the Gila River.

There are no water rights matters in connection with these streams that need to be considered in connection with flood control or with rights of other parties. The Roosevelt Water Conservation District in 1927 made a filing on 40,000 acre-feet per year from the waters of Queen Creek. This right has never been of any material value to the District, and damages from these waters has far exceeded any benefits which might accrue to the District from this water right. Officials of this District have expressed themselves as much more concerned with the control of these flood waters than with any water right which might pertain to the District, and would be willing to make any reasonable arrangements relative to the water right that might be required in order to secure the proposed flood control. Near the proposed dam site on Queen Creek, a party or parties unknown have a small ditch leading from the stream. In addition to flood flows, a small flow is obtained from this source except during the driest period of the year, which is used to irrigate a small amount of land. The right which is represented by this ditch would

WAR DEPARTMENT
Office of the Division Engineer
South Pacific Division
414 Custom House
San Francisco, California

February 14, 1938

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

It having come to the notice of the undersigned that you have expressed an interest in the pending proposition for the flood control of Queen Creek, Arizona, you are hereby notified that the report thereon authorized by Act of Congress of June 22, 1936, has been made and is unfavorable to participation by the United States in any improvement or further independent survey of Queen Creek, Arizona, for the flood control measures for which the War Department is responsible. The principal grounds upon which the adverse conclusion is based, are that complex water rights matters, and the interrelation of available waters of the entire Gila River Basin are such that it is inadvisable to give consideration to solutions of separate local flood control and water conservation problems on parts of the tributaries of the Gila River, such as the localities covered by this report, except as a part of a comprehensive Gila River Basin improvement plan.

You are further notified that all interested parties have the privilege of an appeal from this conclusion to the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, a permanent body sitting at Washington, D. C., to which all examination and survey reports of this character are referred. Parties desiring to do so may be heard on appeal by the Board, either orally or in writing. Written communications should be addressed to the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, Munitions Building, Washington, D. C., and should be mailed in time to be in the possession of the said Board within four weeks from the date of this communication. If, however, you have important data to communicate to the Board, which cannot be collected and put in shape for proper presentation within four weeks, the Board should be informed of this fact without delay and request made for an extension of the limiting date for submitting information. If oral hearings are desired, dates for the same may be arranged for by correspondence with the Board.

Any further information needed may be obtained by application to this office, but attention is invited to the following regulation as to the manner in which such information may be furnished:

"Where interested parties desire data necessary for the preparation of their appeal to the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, they will be afforded full opportunity to examine the copies of the reports of the district and division engineers in their respective offices, subject to the understanding that no part of the contents of these reports will be published in the newspapers or otherwise until the reports have been submitted to Congress. Copies of the report will not be furnished or loaned for use outside of the office; but interested parties will be permitted to make such notes of the contents as they desire."

You are requested to communicate the foregoing to any persons known by you to be interested in the improvement and who, not being known to this office, do not receive a copy of this communication.

Warren T. Hamum,
Colonel, Corps of Engineers,
Division Engineer.

be benefited by the proposed development and no objection would be raised from this source.

It is known that several other flood control projects on the Gila River basin have been considered and there is no doubt in the minds of your Petitioners that some of these projects do present problems in the matter of complex water rights and the interrelation of available waters of the Gila river basin, and that some of such projects cannot be considered except as a part of a comprehensive Gila river basin improvement plan, as reported in the Division Engineer's report.

Your Petitioners, do, however, respectfully represent that these conditions in no way apply to the proposed Queen Creek development, ~~and~~ that the reasons submitted in said report do not in any way preclude an independent survey of the Queen Creek project, and that such independent survey, and construction of said Queen Creek project can be made without in any way affecting or interfering with water rights or property rights of any other portion of the Gila River basin.

Your Petitioners Pray, therefore, that the report of the Division Engineers, South~~ern~~ Pacific Division, may be reconsidered, and that further independent survey and improvement of Queen Creek Project, Arizona, may be made.

Respectfully submitted,

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF
MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

By

Dated April 7, 1938

WAR DEPARTMENT
Office of the Division Engineer
South Pacific Division
414 Custom House
San Francisco, California

February 14, 1938

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

It having come to the notice of the undersigned that you have expressed an interest in the pending proposition for the flood control of Queen Creek, Arizona, you are hereby notified that the report thereon authorized by Act of Congress of June 22, 1936, has been made and is unfavorable to participation by the United States in any improvement or further independent survey of Queen Creek, Arizona, for the flood control measures for which the War Department is responsible. The principal grounds upon which the adverse conclusion is based, are that complex water rights matters, and the interrelation of available waters of the entire Gila River Basin are such that it is inadvisable to give consideration to solutions of separate local flood control and water conservation problems on parts of the tributaries of the Gila River, such as the localities covered by this report, except as a part of a comprehensive Gila River Basin improvement plan.

You are further notified that all interested parties have the privilege of an appeal from this conclusion to the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, a permanent body sitting at Washington, D. C., to which all examination and survey reports of this character are referred. Parties desiring to do so may be heard on appeal by the Board, either orally or in writing. Written communications should be addressed to the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, Munitions Building, Washington, D. C., and should be mailed in time to be in the possession of the said Board within four weeks from the date of this communication. If, however, you have important data to communicate to the Board, which cannot be collected and put in shape for proper presentation within four weeks, the Board should be informed of this fact without delay and request made for an extension of the limiting date for submitting information. If oral hearings are desired, dates for the same may be arranged for by correspondence with the Board.

Any further information needed may be obtained by application to this office, but attention is invited to the following regulation as to the manner in which such information may be furnished:

"Where interested parties desire data necessary for the preparation of their appeal to the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, they will be afforded full opportunity to examine the copies of the reports of the district and division engineers in their respective offices, subject to the understanding that no part of the contents of these reports will be published in the newspapers or otherwise until the reports have been submitted to Congress. Copies of the report will not be furnished or loaned for use outside of the office; but interested parties will be permitted to make such notes of the contents as they desire."

You are requested to communicate the foregoing to any persons known by you to be interested in the improvement and who, not being known to this office, do not receive a copy of this communication.

Warren T. Hamum,
Colonel, Corps of Engineers,
Division Engineer.

(COPY)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Soil Conservation Service

Safford, Arizona
April 5, 1938

Mr. C. H. W. Smith,
Superintendent, Roosevelt Water Conservation District
Higley, Arizona

Dear Mr. Smith:

Your letter of April 1 is received.

I am very glad to note that you are still actively interested in the matter of flood control and soil and moisture conservation. I recently had a conversation with Mr. W. B. Kelly of Safford, who acted as a floor leader at the flood control hearing at Safford, at which proposals for flood control on the Upper Gila were presented. He informed me that he had had correspondence with flood control proponents in Nogales, Casa Grande and elsewhere. If he has not already contacted you, it is suggested that you communicate with him. His idea is to marshal all forces in order to present a united front before both Arizona representatives in Washington, and the Corps of Army Engineers.

Very sincerely yours,

(Signed) Robt. V. Boyle

ROBT. V. BOYLE
District Manager

QUEEN CREEK FLOOD CONTROL MEETING
 IN SUPERVISORS OFFICE
 2:00 p.m. Tuesday
 September 21, 1937

Mr. C. W. Peterson called the meeting to order and its purposes were explained by Mr. George Frye. The following were present:

NAME	ADDRESS	REPRESENTING
W. P. Herbert	Tucson	Southern Pacific Co.
M. E. Bemis	Phoenix	Chamber of Commerce
H. J. Lawson	Phoenix	S.R.V.W.U.A.
Lin Orme	Phoenix	S.R.V.W.U.A.
F. C. Henshaw	Phoenix	S.R.V.W.U.A.
Clyde Neeley	Gilbert	Gilbert Community Club
Steve Faltis	Chandler	R.W.C.D.
J. T. Strickler	Phoenix	
Emil Gieszl	Gilbert	
William Foley	2023 W. Adams, Phx.	
Laurel Stapley	Phoenix	
A. L. Van Horn	Higley	
Jessie L. Taylor	Chandler	
J. S. Gephart	Gilbert	R.W.C.D.
G. R. Duncan	27 W. Willetta, Phx.	
Frank Ramsey	Gilbert	
John W. Maddux	Gilbert	
Evans Blewett	Mesa	Elect. Dist # 5
Wm. Menhennett	Mesa	Chamber of Commerce
Chas. M. Blakely	Gilbert	
J. C. Elms, Jr.	Phoenix	
Marion Daley	Higley	
Hart Mullins	Florence Jct.	
C. E. Caldwell	Florence Jct.	
Raymond Ailee	Phoenix	Queen Creek Irrig. Dist.
R. L. Sossaman	Higley	Queen Creek Irrig. Dist.
J. O. Power	Higley	Queen Creek Irrig. Dist.
J. C. Grahal	311 W. Jefferson, Phx.	
J. T. Hartman	Box 365, Phoenix	
G. C. Spilsbury	Mesa	R.W.C.D.
Rosel Cooley	Gilbert	S.R.V.W.U.A. - Board of Governors
B. P. Hurt	Higley	
Leo Ellsworth	Higley	Queen Creek Irrig. Dist.
Fred J. Elliott	Phoenix	Ellsworth & McReynolds
C. H. McKellips	Phoenix	R.W.C.D.
F. D. Rowell	Gilbert	
M. J. Dougherty	Phoenix	Pres.-R.W.C.D.
E. E. Hawes	Higley	Queen Creek Irrig. Dist.
R. C. Wood	Mesa	R.W.C.D.
C. J. Wood	Mesa	R.W.C.D. - Director
Fred Gibson	Superior	S.W. Arboretum
Frieda Z. Bowen	Phoenix & Gilbert	
Walter W. Page	Gilbert	
R. T. Lewis	Phoenix	
T. C. McReynolds	Phoenix	
Lin Orme, Jr.	Phoenix	
James Walsh	Mesa	
Geo. L. Freestone	Gilbert	
Ray Killian	Mesa	R.W.C.D.
C.H.W. Smith	Higley	R.W.C.D.
Eccomezo, D. E.	Phoenix	
High Nichols	Gilbert	

The following addressed the meeting:

Fred J. Elliott
F. D. Rowell
C. H. W. Smith
Mrs. Frieda Z. Bowen
Clyde Neely
J. S. Gephart
G. C. Spilsbury
M. J. Dougherty

Mr. Neely nominated Mr. Menhennett for Floor Chairman at the hearing for October 6th and Mr. Rowell suggested Mr. Smith. Both nominations were later withdrawn, leaving it to be worked out by the committee.

Following suggestions that a committee be appointed to represent various districts, those present from such districts selected the following:

NAME	ADDRESS	DISTRICT
A. C. Huber	Mesa	S.R.V.W.U.A.
C. H. W. Smith	Higley	R.W.C.D.
George Frye	Chandler	Board of Supervisors
Roger Binner	Higley	Chandler Heights C.I.D.
Evans Blewett	Mesa	Elect. Dist. #5
Fred Gibson	Superior	Upper Queen Creek
J. B. Dawson	Tucson	Division Engr., Southern Pacific Co.
Raymond Allee	Phoenix	Queen Creek
Len Williams	Chandler	Chandler District
F. D. Rowell	Gilbert	Gilbert District
Phil Isley	Mesa	Mesa District
To be selected by Mr. O'Connell		State Highway Dept.
To be selected by Mr. Paschall		Mountain States Tel. & Tel. Co.

Upon suggestion from Mr. Frye it was arranged that the committee hold a meeting at the Gilbert City Hall at 8:00 p.m., Friday, September 24.

The meeting adjourned.

J. E. DeSouza

LIST OF PERSONS INTERESTED IN QUEEN CREEK FLOOD CONTROL

+ Hugh Nichols	Farmer			Gilbert
E. L. Morris	Farmer			Mesa
+ S. A. Erickson,	State Engineer of Dams,	State Highway Dept.		Phoenix
Al Sanford	Farmer			Chandler
H. B. Winter	Farmer			Mesa
Howard Martindale	Farmer			Mesa
R. T. Old	Farmer			Chandler
W. H. Bond	Farmer			Chandler
Francis Sanders	Farmer			Higley
P. M. James	Farmer	136 No. 1st St.		Phoenix
W. P. McDavid	Farmer			Mesa
Dean Thayer	Farmer			Mesa
Wm. Miller	Farmer			Higley
A. T. Cook	Farmer			Mesa
Evans Blewett	Farmer			Mesa
J. O. Powers	Farmer			Higley
Dr. E. W. Phillips	Land owner	St. Luke's Home		Phoenix
Warren Fincher	Farmer			Higley
+ L. B. Werner	Mayor			Mesa
+ Howard S. Reed,	State Director P.W.A.,	Professional Bldg.		Phoenix
+ Paul Murphy,	Chamber of Commerce			Phoenix
+ Wm. Menhennet,	Sec'y Chamber of Commerce			Mesa
+ Phil Isley,	President	"		Mesa
+ Lin B. Orme,	President, Salt River Valley Water Users Ass'n			Phoenix
+ H. J. Lawson,	Gen'l Supt. & Chief Engineer, Salt River Valley Water Users Ass'n			Phoenix
+ Fred Henshaw,	Sec'y, Salt River Valley Water Users Ass'n			Phoenix
+ Tom Schultz,	Member, Board of Governors,	" "		Gilbert
+ M. J. Dougherty,	President, Roosevelt Water Cons. & Irri. Dist.			Phoenix
+ C. H. McKellips,	Director, Roosevelt Water Conservation District	341 E. Monte Vista,		Phoenix
+ G. C. Spilsbury,	Director, " "	1600 No. Central Ave.		Phoenix
+ C. J. Wood,	" "	Conservation District		Mesa
+ Paul Verslius	" "	" "		Mesa
+ J. S. Gephart	" "	910 W. Latham		Phoenix
+ Steve Faltis	" "	Conservation Dist.		Chandler
+ Lee Douglas	" "	" "		Chandler
+ George Wilbur	" "	" "		Gilbert
+ Ray Killian	Secretary	" "		Mesa
+ C. H. W. Smith	Sup't	" "		Higley
		" "		Higley

+Indicates that the name has been sent to Major Wymans.

LIST OF PERSONS INTERESTED IN QUEEN CREEK FLOOD CONTROL

+ Hugh Nichols	Farmer			Gilbert
+ E. L. Morris	Farmer			Mesa
+ S. A. Erickson, State Engineer of Dams, State Highway Dept.				Phoenix
Al Sanford	Farmer			Chandler
H. B. Winter	Farmer			Mesa
Howard Martindale	Farmer			Mesa
R. T. Old	Farmer			Chandler
W. H. Bond	Farmer			Chandler
Francis Sanders	Farmer			Higley
P. M. James	Farmer	136 No. 1st St.		Phoenix
W. P. McDavid	Farmer			Mesa
Dean Thayer	Farmer			Mesa
Wm. Miller	Farmer			Higley
A. T. Cook	Farmer			Mesa
Evans Blewett	Farmer			Mesa
J. O. Powers	Farmer			Higley
Dr. E. W. Phillips	Land owner	St. Luke's Home		Phoenix
Warren Fincher	Farmer			Higley
+ L. B. Werner	Mayor			Mesa
+ Howard S. Reed, State Director P.W.A., Professional Bldg.				Phoenix
+ Paul Murphy,	Chamber of Commerce			Phoenix
+ Wm. Menhennet,	Sec'y Chamber of Commerce			Mesa
+ Phil Isley,	President	"		Mesa
+ Lin B. Orme,	President, Salt River Valley Water Users Ass'n			Phoenix
+ H. J. Lawson,	Gen'l Supt. & Chief Engineer, Salt River Valley Water			
			Users Ass'n	Phoenix
+ Fred Henshaw,	Sec'y, Salt River Valley Water Users Ass'n			Phoenix
+ Tom Schultz,	Member, Board of Governors,	"	"	Gilbert
+ M. J. Dougherty,	President, Roosevelt Water Cons. & Irri. Dist.			
		341 E. Monte Vista,		Phoenix
+ C. H. McKellips,	Director, Roosevelt Water Conservation District			Phoenix
		1600 No. Central Ave.		
+ G. C. Spilsbury,	Director,	"	"	Mesa
+ C. J. Wood,	"	"	"	Mesa
+ Paul Verslius	"	"	"	
		910 W. Latham		Phoenix
+ J. S. Gephart	"	"	"	Chandler
+ Steve Faltis	"	"	"	Chandler
+ Lee Douglas	"	"	"	Gilbert
+ George Wilbur	"	"	"	Mesa
+ Ray Killian	Secretary	"	"	Higley
+ C. H. W. Smith	Sup't	"	"	Higley

+ Indicates that the name has been sent to Major Wymans.

LIST OF PERSONS INTERESTED IN QUEEN CREEK FLOOD CONTROL

+ Hugh Nichols	Farmer			Gilbert
E. L. Morris	Farmer			Mesa
+ S. A. Erickson, State Engineer of Dams, State Highway Dept.				Phoenix
Al Sanford	Farmer			Chandler
H. B. Winter	Farmer			Mesa
Howard Martindale	Farmer			Mesa
R. T. Old	Farmer			Chandler
W. H. Bond	Farmer			Chandler
Francis Sanders	Farmer			Higley
P. M. James	Farmer	136 No. 1st St.		Phoenix
W. P. McDavid	Farmer			Mesa
Dean Thayer	Farmer			Mesa
Wm. Miller	Farmer			Higley
A. T. Cook	Farmer			Mesa
Evans Blewett	Farmer			Mesa
J. O. Powers	Farmer			Higley
Dr. E. W. Phillips	Land owner	St. Luke's Home		Phoenix
Warren Fincher	Farmer			Higley
+ L. B. Werner	Mayor			Mesa
+ Howard S. Reed, State Director P.W.A., Professional Bldg.				Phoenix
+ Paul Murphy,	Chamber of Commerce			Phoenix
+ Wm. Menhennet,	Sec'y Chamber of Commerce			Mesa
+ Phil Isley,	President "			Mesa
+ Lin B. Orme,	President, Salt River Valley Water Users Ass'n			Phoenix
+ H. J. Lawson,	Gen'l Supt. & Chief Engineer, Salt River Valley Water Users Ass'n			Phoenix
+ Fred Henshaw,	Sec'y, Salt River Valley Water Users Ass'n			Phoenix
+ Tom Schultz,	Member, Board of Governors, "			Phoenix
+ M. J. Dougherty,	President, Roosevelt Water Cons. & Irri. Dist.			Gilbert
+ C. H. McKellips,	Director, Roosevelt Water Conservation District	341 E. Monte Vista,		Phoenix
+ G. C. Spilsbury,	Director, "	1600 No. Central Ave.		Phoenix
+ C. J. Wood,	" "	Conservation District		Mesa
+ Paul Verslius	" "	" "		Mesa
+ J. S. Gephart	" "	910 W. Latham		Phoenix
+ Steve Faltis	" "	Conservation Dist.		Chandler
+ Lee Douglas	" "	" "		Chandler
+ George Wilbur	" "	" "		Gilbert
+ Ray Killian	Secretary	" "		Mesa
+ C. H. W. Smith	Sup't	" "		Higley
				Higley

Reps of S.P. - Division Engineer, Ap. Co. Meson ✓
Bill Robinson Sacalen ✓

+ Indicates that the name has been sent to Major Wymans.

Rep of telephone.
Clyde Neely Gilbert ✓
Len Williams Chandler ✓

Copy to Mr Menhennet 9/3/37

2 PM Tuesday - CHS
To place topics to be discussed
by various speakers a definite
plan for presenting our case

R E S O L U T I O N

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE VARIOUS COMMUNITIES AND INTERESTS INTERESTED IN PROVIDING FOR CONTROL OF THE FLOOD WATERS OF QUEEN CREEK AND ITS TRIBUTARIES AND THE DESERT WASHES OF THE ADJACENT TERRITORIES, IN MEETING ASSEMBLED, IN THE COUNTY COURTHOUSE, MARICOPA COUNTY, STATE OF ARIZONA, DO HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

I. Project Committee

1. That pending the establishment and organization of an appropriate formal body under enabling statutes, a "Queen Creek Flood Control Project Committee" be established to continue until the perfection of a statutory organization.

2. That the various organizations, agencies, and interests hereinafter named shall be entitled to representation upon said Project Committee in proportion to their respective direct interests in such enterprise; provided, however, that said representation shall consist of not less than One (1) nor more than Five (5) representatives from each.

3. That the following organizations, agencies, and interests shall be represented on said Project Committee, viz:

- (a) Agency of the U. S. Soil Conservation, U. S. Department of Agriculture;
- (b) Forest Service, U. S. Dept. of Agriculture;
- (c) Corps of Engineers, U. S. Army;
- (d) U. S. Department of the Interior;
- (e) State of Arizona;
- (f) County of Maricopa;
- (g) County of Pinal;
- (h) Roosevelt Water Conservation District;

- (i) Queen Creek Irrigation District;
- (j) Chandler Citrus Heights Irrigation Dist.;
- (k) City of Mesa;
- (l) Town of Chandler;
- (m) Town of Gilbert;
- (n) Electrical District No. 5;
- (o) Southern Pacific Railroad Company;
- (p) Mountain States Telephone & Telegraph Co.;
- (q) Salt River Valley Water Users Association;
- (r) Arizona Cattle Growers Association;
- (s) Arizona Wool Growers Association;
- (t) Arizona Miners Association;
- (u) Such additional organizations, agencies, and interests as, in the opinion of the Executive Committee herein provided for, may in the future become interested in this Project or necessary for its development.

II. Composition of Project Committee

1. That said Queen Creek Flood Control Project Committee consist of representatives of the various organizations, agencies, and interests directly interested and concerned in obtaining necessary control of the flood waters of the eastern portion of Maricopa County and the northern portions of Pinal County.

III. Personel

1. That Mr. George Frye, chairman of the Board of Supervisors, of Maricopa County, State of Arizona, constitute the chairman of the Project Committee.

2. That the chairman appoint representatives of the various organizations, agencies, and interests hereinabove mentioned, and

that in doing so he may seek the advice and assistance of such organizations, agencies, and interests.

3. That all vacancies in the representation and the creation of extensions shall be similarly made and filled by said chairman with the advice and assistance of the Executive Committee and of the organizations, agencies, and interests concerned.

IV. Organization

1. That the Queen Creek Flood Control Project Committee shall be organized and function by means of the following officers and committees:

- (a) Mr. George Frye, named in this Resolution, shall act as chairman until the office may become vacant, in which event the same shall be filled by the Executive Committee;
- (b) A Secretary whoshall be selected by the Executive Committee;
- (c) Functional committees to be established as follows:
 - (1) A Laison Committee
 - (2) An Engineering Committee
 - (3) A Legal Committee
 - (4) A Legislative Committee
 - (5) A Publicity Committee
 - (6) A Public Relations Committee
 - (7) A Ways and Means Committee
 - (8) A Financial Committee
 - (9) Such Special Committees as may be found necessary or advisable from time to time.

2. Each Committee shall consist of a chairman and not less than Two (2) nor more than Eight (8) additional persons, all appointed by the chairman of the Project Committee.

3. The Executive Committee shall consist of the Project Committee chairman and secretary and the chairman of the respective committees hereinbefore mentioned.

4. The Laison Committee shall be responsible for establishing and maintaining contact, relationship, cooperation, advice, and coordination between the various organizations, agencies, and interests hereinbefore mentioned.

5. The Engineering Committee shall be responsible for advising the Project Committee on the engineering features of this enterprise in collaboration with the federal and state governments.

6. The Legal Committee shall be responsible for advising the Project Committee and formulating and drafting all instruments and documents that may be necessary or advisable in the functioning of the Project Committee and its various component subdivisions, and for formulating and drafting such legislation as may be necessary and advisable for carrying out the purposes of this enterprise.

7. The Legislative Committee shall be responsible for the introduction and enactment of such statutory legislation as may be necessary or advisable in connection with this enterprise.

8. The Publicity Committee shall be responsible for providing necessary public information and advice concerning this Project, its motives, purposes, aims, and other features.

9. The Public Relations Committee shall be responsible for maintaining a congenial, efficient, and useful spirit and attitude on the part of the organizations, agencies, departments, and interests concerned with this enterprise.

10. The Ways and Means Committee shall perform such functions and duties as may be assigned to it.

11. The Financial Committee shall be responsible for the formation of a plan for financing this enterprise pending its statutory establishment and for the providing of necessary funds by solicitation, contributions, assessment, or other means which may be adopted to finance this enterprise.

12. The Special Committees shall perform such duties and functions as may be assigned from time to time.

V. Meetings

1. Public meetings shall be called by the chairman from time to time, whenever the exigencies may require.

2. The Executive Committee shall fix the time and place of its meetings and in the absence of the entire personnel of any of the various committees may perform all the duties and exercise all the powers which could be exercised by the committee, were such committee in session, subject to the approval or disapproval of the committee concerned at its first meeting thereafter.

3. Regular committees shall meet on the call of the committee chairman.

VI. Amendments

1. These provisions may be altered, supplemented, or amended by a majority vote of the Executive Committee.

VII. Continuance

1. This Project Committee shall continue in existence until such time as a properly qualified statutory organization may be established for the formal sponsoring of the Project's purposes and aims.

VIII. Establishment

1. This Project shall be perfected within Thirty (30) Days from the date hereof by appointment of personnel as hereinbefore provided.

IX. Compensation.

All personnel of the Project Committee shall serve without pay, provided, however, that the Executive Committee may compensate for necessary costs and expenses and for necessary executive and professional services.

PASSED AND ADIPTED THIS _____ DAY OF
SEPTEMBER, 1937.

CHAIRMAN.

SECRETARY.

Resd 7/22/40

Resolution
MARICOPA COUNTY

WHEREAS, the United States Congress by act approved June 22, 1936, (Public, No. 738, 74th Congress) authorized and directed the Secretary of War to undertake preliminary examination and surveys for flood control on Queen Creek, Arizona, provided responsible local governmental agencies would assume the expense of acquiring the necessary rights-of-way, hold the United States free from damage, and maintain and operate the works after completion; and

WHEREAS, although an amendment to the above-mentioned act of Congress, adopted on June 28, 1938 (Public, No. 761, 75th Congress), relieved local agencies of all the above-mentioned obligations imposed upon them by the said act of June 22, 1936, the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors has been advised by the War Department that the relief granted local agencies from such obligations applies only to such flood control projects as were specifically authorized for construction by the acts of Congress of 1936 and 1938; and

WHEREAS, the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors has been further advised that assurances are desired that local interests will cooperate with the United States if a flood control project on Queen Creek, Arizona, is approved for construction;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors hereby declares that the public interest and welfare of Maricopa County requires flood control works on Queen Creek, and that, if required by Congress, it will, in cooperation with the Board of Supervisors of Pinal County, assist the Federal Government in undertaking said flood control work to the best of its ability by assuming an equitable portion of the following obligations:

1. Provide without cost to the United States all lands, easements, and other forms of rights-of-way necessary to the construction of said flood control improvement.

2. Provide whatever maintenance and operation of the improvement that is prescribed by the Secretary of War.

3. Hold and save the United States free from damages arising from the construction and operation of said flood control improvement, except damage claims caused by faulty manual operation by the United States.

4. Assume the cost of the relocation of all public utilities required in the construction of the improvement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors pledges to the United States Government that if and when the project is approved by Congress, it will negotiate a definite agreement with the Board of Supervisors of Pinal County upon an equitable division or assignment of the responsibility required to meet the obligations heretofore mentioned and will agree upon a mutually satisfactory organization to fulfill the prescribed obligations.

Adopted April 3, 1940

Presented to Board	
FEB 26 1940	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Approved
<input type="checkbox"/>	Ordered Filed
<input type="checkbox"/>	Disapproved
<input type="checkbox"/>	Held for

<input type="checkbox"/>	Referred to

<input type="checkbox"/>	-----

J. E. DeSouza, Clerk.	

*Board of Supervisors
of Maricopa County, Arizona.*

Chairman

Clerk

FEDERAL PROJECTS

Return to
National Emergency Council
Washington, D.C.

Application for Allotment of Funds under
Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935

Date
Dept. Project
Number
N.E.C. No.
Official project
Number
Amount requested
\$
Amount approved
\$

Department or Independent Office:

Bureau:

Location of project:

State:

County:

City or town:

General location, if other than above:

1. Description of project and character of work:

(a) Relative priority of this project in comparison with all projects embraced
within the program of the Bureau:

2. Total estimated cost of project:

(a) Amount previously allotted or appropriated	_____	\$
(b) Amount of allotment requested in this application	_____	\$
(c) Additional amount required to complete	_____	\$
(d) TOTAL	_____	\$

3. Give source of funds, if any, under 2(a):

	(1) Amount (dollars)	(2) Percent of Subtotal	(3) Percent of Total Allotment	(4) Daily Average No. to be employed
4. Estimated division of allotment:				
(a) Labor:				
(1) Unskilled - - - - -				
(2) Skilled - - - - -				
(3) Technical and clerical --				
(4) SUBTOTAL -----		100		
(b) Superintendence - - - - -		x x x		
(c) Other expenses on project:				
(1) Supplies, materials, equip.				
(2) Contingent expense - - - -				
(3) Land - - - - -				
(4) SUBTOTAL, other expenses		100		
(d) TOTAL (should correspond with amount shown in 2(b) - - - - -		x x x	100	

NOTE- Do not include any estimate of general administrative expenditures for salaries of employees located in Washington or at any regional office or for general office expenses at such offices. Application for funds for such expenses should be made on N.E.C. Form 2.

5. Estimated expenditure per man-year of employment: \$ (State Number of hrs. per month and wage/basis (P.W.A., union scale, or other) used in 4 & 5).
rate

6. Estimated total man-hours:

7. Estimated period of preparation before work at site can be started days.

8. Estimated daily average number of employees each month during execution of project:

- | | | | | | |
|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. | 11. | 12. |

9. Estimated elapsed time from beginning work to completion months.

10. (a) What part of labor on project would usually be handled by contract? %
(b) What part of labor on project would usually be day labor? %

11. If this project is in fulfillment of some specific statutory authorization, give date of such law and statute reference.

12. Is any part of this project in conflict with previous congressional action?
13. Under what provision or classification of projects specified in Section 1, Emergency Relief Appropriation Act. of 1935, may allotment be made legally?
14. Has validity of proposed allotment been approved by legal staff of Department or Independent Office?
15. From what other Federal agency, if any, has an allotment for this project been requested?
16. Status of plans:
- (A) Surveys?
 - (b) Work plans?
 - (c) Sketch plans?
 - (d) Detail plans?
 - (e) Specifications?
17. Status of land or sites:
- (a) Acquired?
 - (b) Negotiations completed?
 - (c) Negotiations pending?
 - (d) Negotiations not begun?
18. Is project wholly or partially self-liquidating under present laws?
(Give details on separate sheet as to wherein and to what extent)
19. To what extent will this allotment increase or decrease the annual expense of physical upkeep and operating cost to the Federal Government?
- (a) Increase, \$ _____ By what agency to be borne?
 - (b) Decrease, \$ _____
20. Extent of participation, if any, by other agencies:
21. Justification (a short, concise statement giving reason or necessity for the proposed allotment, including any comments or further statement about the nature of the work. An additional sheet may be inserted if necessary:)

Allotment of funds for this project re-
quested by:

a _____
Dept. or Independent Office

Signature of Head of Dept. or Ind. Office.

(sample form to be filled in and/or
altered to suit the case)

R E S O L U T I O N NO _____

A resolution of the _____ County Board of Supervisors,
a public body, duly elected and existing under the laws of the State of
Arizona, having due authority to satisfy conditions pertaining to the allot-
ment of funds from the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935 for flood
control work in _____ County.

WHEREAS, the above mentioned allotment of funds is subject to the conditions
that the County of _____ shall furnish the necessary rights-of-
way and easements for the project free of cost to the United States, shall assume
all liability for damages caused by or incident to the construction of said project
by the United States and shall maintain and operate said project works after they have
been completed.

RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors of _____ County
Arizona, that said county through its proper authorities, hereby binds itself
to furnish and provide free of cost to the United States all necessary rights-
of-way and easements for said project.

RESOLVED, FURTHER, the County of _____ agrees to assume all
liability for damages caused by or incident to the construction of said project
by the United States Government, and to hold the United States of America, its
officers and agents, free and harmless from all claims for damages which might
be filed or asserted by any person whomsoever, as a result of said construction
work; and to maintain and operate said project after the same shall have been
completed and transferred to the County of _____.

RESOLVED FURTHER, that said project shall be subject to modification by
the United States Engineer Department as may be required to meet unforeseen
construction difficulties or the needs of public interest and welfare; and

that the work hereinbefore referred to shall be executed under the direct and exclusive supervision and control of the U. S. Engineer Department; and that said work shall be initiated promptly upon the allocation of funds and completed as soon as practicable.

_____ County,

(Signed) By _____ Chairman
Board of Supervisors.

The foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of _____ County, the State of Arizona, on _____, 1935, and is entered in the minutes of said Board.

(Signed) _____ County Clerk of the County
of _____, State of Arizona, and Ex-
officio Clerk of said Board of Supervisors.

By _____ Deputy.

C O P Y

WAR DEPARTMENT
UNITED STATES ENGINEER OFFICE
751 South Figueroa Street
Los Angeles, Calif.

September 30, 1935.

Subject: Data concerning flood control project.

To: Board of Supervisors,
Maricopa County, Arizona.

1. One of the amendments to flood control bill H. R. 8455, proposed by Honorable Carl Hayden, U. S. Senator, contemplates consideration of the improvement of Queen Creek, Maricopa County, Arizona, for flood control by the construction of drainage channels and other works, cost, \$932,800.

2. In conformity with request of Senator Hayden to the Chief of Engineers, this office has been directed to obtain data on the project, so that consideration may be given to its inclusion in the Relief Program, provided the date for receiving applications is extended.

3. Your P.W.A. application, dated September 21, 1934, is at hand. The present requirements for application of funds from the E.R.A. has changed the said P.W.A. requirements. In view of the foregoing, it is requested that the following be submitted to this office, as soon as practicable.

a. Formal application by the Board of County Supervisors based on a resolution of the Board, form of which is inclosed herewith. The application and resolution should comply with requirements of the Public Works Administration as set forth in inclosed circular letter of May 24, 1935, R. & H. No. 24, 1935, E. D. 7402, and policy governing selection of projects stated in letter of The Chief of Engineers, dated August 7, 1935, as follows:

(1) Projects to receive consideration must be sponsored by a responsible agency of the state, county, municipality, or other organized public body.

(2) This public body must agree to furnish, without cost to the United States, all lands and easements necessary to the execution of the work.

(3) This public body must agree to assume all damages incident to the improvement, and to take over, operate and maintain the works when constructed.

(4) It must have the legal power to undertake the above obligations. (This legal power must be shown by duly certified documents.)

b. Complete description of the project features whether dam, channell realignment, bank protection, etc. If a dam is part of the plan, state whether impounding reservoir is contemplated or detention reservoir. If levees are contemplated state length, height and cubic yards of material required for their construction. Include detailed estimate of cost of construction, and by whom prepared.

c. If the project has been reported on by any Federal, public or private agency, a copy of such report in quadruplicate, with statement of action taken, should be furnished.

d. If the project has not been previously studied and report thereon prepared, it is suggested that you prepare a report based on such data as are readily available. Submit in quadruplicate.

e. Present improvements, description of improvements and source of funds whereby they were or are being executed.

f. The report should contain information as follows:

(1) Maps of the area showing the area drained above the works contemplated, and the area (outlined on maps) which the works are designed to protect or to benefit.

(2) Hydrological data pertaining to rainfall and run-off showing frequency and intensity of storms and duration of flooding. Height to which water rises during flood period. The discharge of the stream at flood stage (maximum known, and probably 100-year maximum) and the low-stage flow.

(3) Conservation should include a discussion of the present water supply, how obtained, and the annual cost of water per acre foot, whether for domestic use or for irrigation, at source of supply, or as is applicable to the case. Also the cost of structures and operations of distribution to the areas served, and cost of preparing the land for irrigation.

(4) Give similar information as to costs for the proposed project. State areas and the acreage to be irrigated in those cases where additional land is to be placed under irrigation.

(5) Give data to show shortage of water and to clearly show need for additional supply. Set up data showing the economic benefits to the community to accrue from the project. The value may be set up as net earnings which the improvements will produce, based upon the value of water. This value must be corroborated by submission of cost data applicable to the locality.

(6) For flood control, state the number of acres overflowed, frequency of overflow and the damage caused by such overflow. The basis of estimates should be given in detail. Also, state the value of land or property overflowed; enumerate the kind of crops and the annual value of crops raised in the area that would be protected by the project.

(7) For drainage, where closely related to flood control and/or conservation, show benefits to accrue directly from drainage. If drainage is to be independent of flood control and conservation, it should be so stated. Show area to be drained, value of land, present crops and condition and changes anticipated from drainage with economic value, etc. If drainage is to alleviate a condition resulting from irrigation, so state, and discuss the situation in detail. Available plans, if any, should be submitted.

(8) Give full data pertaining to any other benefits that may accrue from the proposed improvement, such as power, development, and the increase in recreational facilities, fish and game.

g. Give populations of the county and of the areas to be directly benefited by the proposed improvement.

h. Give statement of resources of the county, assessed valuation; also assessed valuation of the areas to be benefited by the proposed project.

i. Give a complete statement of the unemployment situation in your county which the desired project will benefit.

j. Give a statement of any water-rights or agreements which might cause delay through litigation.

4. An early reply will be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Theodore Wyman, Jr.,
Major, Corps of Engineers,
District Engineer.

3 Incls.
Circular letter May 24 & incl.
1 Set N.E.C. Form #1
Tentative draft of resolution
of Board of Supervisors.

C O P Y

WAR DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF ENGINEERS
WASHINGTON

R. & H. No. 24, 1935
E. D. 7402

May 24, 1935.

C I R C U L A R L E T T E R

Subject: Federal Projects for Flood Control and other Works under
Emergency Relief Appropriation of 1935.

To: Division and District Engineers.

1. This Department is responsible for the preparation and presentation to the National Emergency Council of Federal projects for flood control, bank and coastal erosion and such other activities as normally come under the supervision of the Secretary of War.
2. To receive the consideration of the Department, flood control projects and other projects other than river and harbor works should be sponsored by a responsible agency of the State, county, municipality or organized flood control, levee, conservation or improvement district, or other organized public body, who must agree to furnish without cost to the United States all lands and easements necessary to the execution of the work and assume all damages incident to the improvement, except as concerns land easements and damages to railroads or other public utilities. The sponsoring agency must further agree to take over, operate and maintain the works when constructed. It must have the legal power to undertake the above obligations.
3. Federal projects undertaken under the Emergency Relief appropriation must be useful public works which will afford a large measure of employment to persons on relief rolls, must be such that they can be promptly commenced, and so prosecuted that by the end of the working season 1936 they will afford a useful completed unit not requiring further Federal expenditure.
4. Requests for the approval of Federal flood control works as Federal projects should be presented to the District Engineer. The request should show that the project is unqualifiedly sponsored by the proposing agency and should include the undertaking to furnish any necessary lands and easements, assume all damages resulting from execution

of the work, and take over, operate, and maintain any works after completion. The Works Progress Administration of each State, when formed, will be instructed to refer applications for flood control projects to the District Engineer.

5. Flood control works include the following general classes:

(a) Improvement of flood discharge capacity by snagging, clearing and rectification of streams. These works lend themselves to employment from relief rolls. They may require no rights of way or liability for damage and leave nothing to be maintained after completion. While they rarely, if ever, afford complete flood protection, they may be warranted in ameliorating flood conditions. For such works all unnecessary formalities may be waived. It will be sufficient to show that the work is sponsored by a responsible public body and will effect useful results and will not result in consequential damages to others. The adoption of the project will rest on the availability of relief labor.

(b) Levees and similar works. Preference will be given to works protecting centers of population; and to works affording security to localities insufficiently protected. Care must be taken that Government funds will not be applied to works which by reducing the flood discharge capacity of the stream will increase the flood damage or hazard to other localities.

(c) Revetments and protecting works. These will be favorably considered only when the works undertaken may be counted on to be effective without subsequent extension. Inadequate works may do more harm than good and impose an implied liability on the Federal Government for future remedial extensions.

(d) Reservoirs. Complete economic justification need not be established if useful employment will be given to persons on relief, without Federal expenditure for lands and easements, except public utilities, and if a qualified public body is prepared to take over the works.

6. The District Engineer, on receipt of an application will with available personnel and with the utmost dispatch, make an overall investigation of the engineering feasibility and soundness of the proposal. If feasible and sound he will prepare a general plan for the work and a plan of execution that will afford the maximum useful employment of relief labor, estimate the total Federal cost, based on the relief wages established at the time, and the total man years of employment. The District Engineer will ascertain from the local Emergency Relief agencies in the region of the work whether the unskilled, semi-skilled and skilled labor of the various classes can be obtained wholly or to what extent from relief rolls.

7. The report of the District Engineer will be submitted through the Division Engineer to this office as soon as practicable after its receipt. This report will follow the sample form inclosed herewith.

8. All details of the prescribed procedure must be actively followed up by District and Division Engineers to insure that no time is lost in the consideration of worthy projects.

By direction of the Chief of Engineers:

Glen E. Edgerton,
Lieut. Col., Corps of Engineers.

1 Inclosure

OUTLINE OF PROJECT APPLICATION

1. Project requested.

2. Previous reports:

Document Numbers

Statutory Authority

Recommended by

Remarks

3. Name of Applicant:

4. Status of Applicant:

a. Jurisdiction

b. Duties

c. Source of income

d. Financial standing

e. Does applicant agree to furnish rights of way?

Status of land or sites:

1. Acquired

2. Negotiations completed

3. Negotiations pending

4. Negotiations not begun

f. Does applicant agree to take over and maintain project
on completion?

g. Does applicant agree to save United States against
damages resulting from project?

5. Location and description of area affected.

6. Rainfall and runoff.

7. Present improvements:

Description

Source of funds

8. Essential engineering features of project and purpose thereof.

- a. General plan
- b. Clearing and snagging
- c. Rectification and enlargement including revetment or pavement.
- d. Levees
- e. Reservoirs.
- f. Diversions
- g. Dredging
- h. Other features

9. Estimated costs

- a. Structures or work as in 8.
- b. Rights of way and easements.
- c. Damages

	Amount (Dollars)	Percent of Subtotal	Percent of total allotment	Daily Average Number to be employed
10. Labor:				
Unskilled.....				
Skilled.....				
Technical and clerical				
Subtotal		100		
Superintendence.....		x x x		
Other expenses on project:				
Supplies, materials, equipment.....				
Contingent expense...				
Land				
Subtotal, other expenses				
TOTAL, (should correspond with amount shown in 2 (b).....		x x x	100	

11. Estimated expenditure per man-year of employment: \$
(State number of hours per month and wage rate basis (PWA union scale,
or other) used in 10).

12. Estimated total man hours

13. Estimated daily average number of employees each month during execution
of project:

1	7	13
2	8	14
3	9	15
4	10	16
5	11	17
6	12	18

14. Benefits other than employment:

a. Nature - - Direct and indirect.

a. Resolution, by _____ body or agency, that the items of local co-operation called for _____ resaid acts will be complied with. This resolution should state, if _____ practicable, the time within which said items of co-operation will be fulfilled, after notification, provided a project for the proposed improvements is adopted by Congress, and give the authority under which said responsible body or agency functions and under which it can so co-operate.

b. If said resolution cannot be submitted until later, a letter should be submitted so stating and explaining the situation,

c. If no local co-operation is to be expected, a letter to this effect should be submitted, with explanations, as soon as practicable.

d. If only partial fulfillment of aforesaid required items of co-operation is expected at this time, such should be reported by letter. This letter should specify the items that can be fulfilled and give the time within which the requirements of said items will be complied with, after notification as per foregoing subparagraph 5 a. The letter should also specify any other co-operation and or contribution which the United States may expect from local interests toward the cost of the desired improvements, or in construction, at local expense, of complementary works.

Part 11.

6. The following information is requested concerning watershed conditions and their relation to floods and their control:

a. Description of the extent and nature of soil erosion, accelerated run-off, and depletion of forest and vegetative cover.

b. Full information concerning the effects of present or impending injuries watershed conditions on livestock, forage and timber production, availability of surface and subsurface waters, regulation of water flow, improvement to drainage, accumulation of silt, soil fertility, saving of life, and protection to property, or on any other watershed values.

c. Description in detail of the watershed program desired and needed.

d. The monetary value of benefits based on past and future uses expected to accrue to lands, public and private property, utilities, business activities, agricultural and other interests from the desired watershed program; also the improvement in the condition of the rural and urban populations which are dependent on the watershed resources. The economic and other justifications for the expenditures that may be entailed in any proposed watershed program based upon the above information.

7. Oral statements will be heard, but for accuracy of record all important facts and arguments for each of the aforementioned parts I and 11, respectively, should be submitted in writing, as the records of the hearing will be forwarded for consideration by the War Department and the Department of Agriculture. Written statements under each section may be handed to the undersigned at the hearing, or preferably mailed to him beforehand. Six copies of all written matter and exhibits should be submitted.

Theodore Wyman, Jr.
Major, Corps of Engineers,
District Engineer

1 Incl. -
Copy of acts as amended.

Queen Creek File

QUEEN CREEK DIVERSION DAM AND CANAL	:	PHOENIX 039897
QUEEN CREEK RESERVOIR SITE	:	PHOENIX 061276
JOSEPH R. LOFTUS, Grantee	:	APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

REGISTER,
United States Land Office,
Phoenix, Arizona.

Sir:

By letter of the Honorable Commissioner of the General Land Office of January 22, 1936, "F" ECD, action was taken upon the showing filed in behalf of the grantee in the above entitled cases which you transmitted by letter of January 3 to the General Land Office. Said showing was made in compliance with the Honorable Commissioner's letter of June 6, 1935, "F" ECD, which suspended action in said cases until January 1, 1936, when "it is expected that on or before that date substantial progress will have been made toward raising the funds necessary to construct and a satisfactory showing filed". In concluding this showing it was respectfully requested that a further suspension of action in these cases be granted for a period of one year and until January 1, 1937.

The action now taken upon said showing as stated in said office letter of January 22, 1936, is adverse to the grantee for instead of granting another year's suspension Mr. Loftus is notified that he is allowed thirty days from notice within which to execute and file his relinquishments of the granted portions of the sites or within which to appeal; that should no action be taken by him within the time allowed the acceptance for filing of the maps as to unsurveyed lands would be revoked and steps taken having in view the cancellation of the grants through court proceedings.

Said letter of January 22, 1936, briefly relates the record history of the cases stating that it is the practice to suspend action looking towards the initiation of forfeiture proceedings where it appears that a grantee is proceeding in good faith with the construction and no other parties appear of record as desiring the site. Said letter then advises that a protest against any further suspension of action in this case has been filed by the Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County supported by one from the Phoenix Chamber of Commerce. This was the first information which Mr. Loftus or we, his attorneys of record, had as to the filing of such protest no copies of which had been served upon either of us.

In the present holding it is not claimed that the grantee has been proceeding other than in good faith although it is stated that the showings which have been filed appear to be based mostly on hopes and expectations rather than on anything definite in the way of constructing the project. In reply it can be well said that a great many if not most of the irrigation and reclamation projects of the arid west, both large and small, were originally based on hopes and expectations and that long periods of time followed before realization was had by actual construction. As to projects in this locality, aside from the Roosevelt Dam which took a long period of years for promotion and was then constructed by the Government, the Gillespie Dam on the Gila River and the Pleasant Dam on the Agua Fria River (Beardsley project) were not constructed until more than thirty years had elapsed after the men interested in each and who had the vision and expectation were successful in financing the construction cost. During those years water appropriations had to be kept valid and right of way grants held intact. Also in both of these cases the lands to be irrigated were proceeded with as to acquisition from the Government. Finally the dams were constructed.

Mr. Loftus' present case does not differ from previous ones except that the delay of the last five years in financing has been on account of the general depression, undoubtedly a more adverse factor than any encountered as to the financing of earlier irrigation projects. Mr. Loftus has accomplished much, engineering work, water rights, rights of way and the accumulation of land holdings by himself and associates which lands are still available for reclamation and development under this project and which lands must have a water supply from this irrigation project in order that crops may be raised thereon otherwise these lands will remain of desert character. It should be evident that the grantee in this case has been proceeding in good faith.

The other reason for holding against further suspension of action in the present cases is that other parties now appear as desiring the reservoir site. This is probably the main reason for present adverse action. It is not understood that other parties appear of record as applicants for the site but only that a protest against any further suspension of action in Mr. Loftus' case has been filed and by the Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County supported by one from the Phoenix Chamber of Commerce.

The grounds for such protest were not sufficiently reported in said official letter of January 22, 1936, for the grantee to know as to the matter. All the land involved in the easements and all the land to be irrigated from the project are in Pinal County and there are the towns of Superior and Florence in Pinal County which are situate very much closer to said lands involved than is the City of Phoenix which is more than fifty miles to the west. As soon as possible Mr. Loftus obtained a copy of the telegram to the Honorable Commissioner of the General Land Office

from James E. DeSouza, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County, which he was informed constituted the protest in question. From said copy it was learned as to the reason for this protest same being that Maricopa County has pending before the Works Progress Administration an application for a flood water control project on Queen Creek which includes the construction of a check dam at the site of the dam for the storage reservoir which is involved in Mr. Loftus' instant case, Phoenix 061276. The State Administrator of the Works Progress Administration confirmed to Mr. Loftus the matter of this application advising that same had been forwarded to the Washington office from which no action in the case has as yet been reported. Mr. Loftus interviewed the Secretary of the Phoenix Chamber of Commerce who stated that he submitted a protest upon the request of the Board of Supervisors but that the Chamber did not know much about the case. The impression gained was that this was an informal action and that the board of directors of the Phoenix Chamber of Commerce had passed no resolution in the matter. At any rate it is the Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County which is concerned and by reason of the application which it has made to the Works Progress Administration. Therefore Mr. Loftus requested and obtained on February 24 a hearing before the said Board of Supervisors at which all matters involved were fully discussed between Mr. Loftus and the members of the Board and their attorneys.

As to the proposed plan of Maricopa County for a flood water control check dam on Queen Creek at the site in question no criticism was made by Mr. Loftus or is made herein. Occasional floods in Queen Creek have in previous years caused damage to farm lands in the eastern portion of Maricopa County and have caused erosion in the stream bed across the desert country from

below the dam site to the Maricopa County irrigated farm lands, a distance of approximately twenty-five miles. Flood water and soil erosion control are necessary and proper projects and the Government is now giving a great deal of attention thereto. However in this present case the construction of the irrigation project planned by Mr. Loftus will not only accomplish the same purpose but more advantageously and with ^{additional} benefits resulting.

A Works Progress Administration project will be one only for a comparatively small dam to retain in its reservoir the peak flood waters with gradual outlet of water down stream of flow that can do no damage. Little or no irrigation use can be made of the water, no new land can be developed and farmed, there can be no increase in population or in taxable property therefrom.

Mr. Loftus' project is one for a comparatively large dam to store in its reservoir all the waters of Queen Creek and to make beneficial use thereof for the irrigation and reclamation of a large area of level, fertile desert land. The stored water as desired for irrigation will be released from the reservoir and entirely diverted from the Queen Creek stream bed by a diversion dam a short distance below the main dam and conducted to the lands by a canal. The case Phoenix 039897 covers the easements for this diversion dam and canal. The development of a considerable irrigated farming area in this portion of Pinal County north of Florence, the county seat, will result in increased population and a large increase in taxable property. It is such projects that have developed the arid west and neither an adjoining county or a Government agency should take any action towards destroying the probability of Mr. Loftus' present project. The Board of Supervisors of Pinal County and the towns of Florence and Superior can well protest such contemplated destruction of what will be a great asset to that county and those communities.

While Mr. Loftus is not yet in financial position to commence the construction neither is the County of Maricopa nor will it be until and unless the Works Progress Administration approves and adopts the project. If Mr. Loftus were first ready to construct then the County and the Government would be saved the work and cost and yet get the result desired. If the Government is first ready to construct then arrangements can easily and properly be made so that Mr. Loftus' irrigation project would not be jeopardized, this on the basis of the proposal made by Mr. Loftus on February 24 to the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors which was briefly as follows:

That he would enter into an agreement with the Board of Supervisors with the approval of the Government which would be in the form of a waiver of his easement rights in favor of Maricopa County and/or the Works Progress Administration which would enable the construction of their flood control project, further that he would furnish some land for the use of said project in spreading the water so that it would not have to flow down Queen Creek and into Maricopa County; that such an arrangement by agreement is entirely feasible and proper for the Government is thus proceeding in flood and erosion control projects on even privately owned lands; that the agreement shall provide that when Mr. Loftus is prepared to construct his project he can increase the size and height of the flood control dam, by such plans and specifications as the Government should approve, with the result that his project can be completed and operated; that upon the consummation of such agreement the Board withdraw its protest to the Honorable Commissioner against a further suspension of action in the easement cases and instead give its approval of an extension being granted.

Mr. Loftus' attorneys stated to the Board that such an arrangement and agreement, under which everything could be accomplished and no one damaged, would avoid any questions as to legal rights and probable litigation thereof; that if the result of the Board's protest was final action by the Secretary of the Interior towards cancelling his easements that Mr. Loftus could resist the court proceedings in connection with said easement cases; further that Mr. Loftus could himself initiate litigation in connection with protecting his appropriations under the Arizona law of the waters of Queen Creek; that if all this litigation was made necessary the period of time would be considerable before final adjudications were reached.


Mr. Loftus concluded by expressing his desire to cooperate fully with the Board of Supervisors to the end that it could proceed with its plans and without delay for no reason appeared why such an agreement as proposed for the protection of both parties could not be promptly prepared, approved and executed.

In order that this matter as above set forth can now be negotiated to conclusion, which will necessarily take a little time, it is most respectfully requested that an extension of time for further action in these easement cases be granted.

Respectfully,

JOHN H. PAGE & COMPANY

By



Attorneys for
Joseph R. Loftus, Grantee

Copy hereof received this 28th day of February, 1936.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

By _____

From: Board of Supervisors,
Maricopa County, Arizona.

To: The Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors,
Munitions Building,
Washington, D. C.

Subject: Appeal from Report of Office of Division
Engineer, Southern Pacific Division, re-
lative to Queen Creek Flood Control Project.

On October 6th, 1937, a hearing was held before representatives of the War Department at Mesa, Arizona, for the purpose of obtaining data for report authorized by Act of Congress of June 22nd, 1936, on Flood Control Project for Flood Control of Queen Creek, Arizona. At the hearing, a brief was submitted and testimony taken relative to this flood control project.

At a later date, a communication from the office of the Division Engineer, Southern Pacific Division, dated February 14, 1938, was received (Exhibit A attached) reporting unfavorably on the proposal.

The so-called Queen Creek project contemplates flood control on the stream Queen Creek proper, and also on what is known as the Superstition area lying north of Queen Creek, and which would normally be tributary thereto. Queen Creek follows a well defined channel for several miles on the plain after leaving the foothills, but this channel finally disappears, water from Queen Creek being spread over a large area by interception of canals and roadways. Waters from the Superstition area follow a large number of smaller channels, but before converging into a common channel are likewise spread over a large area by intercepting canals and roadways.

be benefited by the proposed development and no objection would be raised from this source.

It is known that several other flood control projects on the Gila River basin have been considered and there is no doubt in the minds of your Petitioners that some of these projects do present problems in the matter of complex water rights and the interrelation of available waters of the Gila river basin, and that some of such projects cannot be considered except as a part of a comprehensive Gila river basin improvement plan, as reported in the Division Engineer's report.

Your Petitioners, do, however, respectfully represent that these conditions in no way apply to the proposed Queen Creek development, ~~and~~ that the reasons submitted in said report do not in any way preclude an independent survey of the Queen Creek project, and that such independent survey, and construction of said Queen Creek project can be made without in any way affecting or interfering with water rights or property rights of any other portion of the Gila River basin.

Your Petitioners Pray, therefore, that the report of the Division Engineers, Southern Pacific Division, may be reconsidered, and that further independent survey and improvement of Queen Creek Project, Arizona, may be made.

Respectfully submitted,

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF
MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

By

Dated April 7, 1938

RESOLUTION # 438

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
MESA, MARICOPA COUNTY, STATE OF ARIZONA.

WHEREAS, the Eastern portion of Maricopa County, including the Mesa, Gilbert, Chandler, Higley and Goodyear sections, has, since its establishment and development, constantly suffered from flood damages resulting from inundation and washing of Queen Creek and of the mountain washes running westward and southwestward from the western slope of the Superstition and Pinal mountains; and

WHEREAS, such damages have included the destruction of valuable farm lands and homes, in addition to the destruction of irrigation canals, laterals and ditches, state and county highways, roads and bridges; and

WHEREAS, the construction of a flood control canal intercepting such flood waters and carrying the same to the Salt and Gila rivers is a feasible and economic method of controlling such flood waters; and


WHEREAS, necessary surveys have been made and the construction of such flood control canal is in contemplation through the aid of Public Works and/or Emergency Relief Administration funds.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:


That the City of Mesa respectfully and earnestly requests the cooperation of the Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County and the Public Works Administration, the Emergency Relief Administration and/or such other organizations, authorities and agencies as may be concerned, to assist and aid in the construction of a flood control canal to intercept and divert from the eastern portion of Maricopa County, the flood

waters of Queen Creek and the washes of the Superstition and Pinal mountains, at the earliest practical time, to the end that the great damages and dangers incidental thereto of past years may be avoided and public and private property protected and preserved.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Mesa, this 19th day of February, 1935.



Mayor

Attest:


Clerk

Mr. De Guza

R E S O L U T I O N

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE VARIOUS COMMUNITIES AND INTERESTS INTERESTED IN PROVIDING FOR CONTROL OF THE FLOOD WATERS OF QUEEN CREEK AND ITS TRIBUTARIES AND THE DESERT WASHES OF THE ADJACENT TERRITORIES, IN MEETING ASSEMBLED, IN THE COUNTY COURTHOUSE, MARICOPA COUNTY, STATE OF ARIZONA, DO HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

I. Project Committee

1. That pending the establishment and organization of an appropriate formal body under enabling statutes, a "Queen Creek Flood Control Project Committee" be established to continue until the perfection of a statutory organization.

2. That the various organizations, agencies, and interests hereinafter named shall be entitled to representation upon said Project Committee in proportion to their respective direct interests in such enterprise; provided, however, that said representation shall consist of not less than One (1) nor more than Five (5) representatives from each.

3. That the following organizations, agencies, and interests shall be represented on said Project Committee, viz:

- (a) Agency of the U. S. Soil Conservation, U. S. Department of Agriculture;
- (b) Forest Service, U. S. Dept. of Agriculture;
- (c) Corps of Engineers, U. S. Army;
- (d) U. S. Department of the Interior;
- (e) State of Arizona;
- (f) County of Maricopa;
- (g) County of Pinal;
- (h) Roosevelt Water Conservation District;

- (i) Queen Creek Irrigation District;
- (j) Chandler Citrus Heights Irrigation Dist.;
- (k) City of Mesa;
- (l) Town of Chandler;
- (m) Town of Gilbert;
- (n) Electrical District No. 5;
- (o) Southern Pacific Railroad Company;
- (p) Mountain States Telephone & Telegraph Co.;
- (q) Salt River Valley Water Users Association;
- (r) Arizona Cattle Growers Association;
- (s) Arizona Wool Growers Association;
- (t) Arizona Miners Association;
- (u) Such additional organizations, agencies, and interests as, in the opinion of the Executive Committee herein provided for, may in the future become interested in this Project or necessary for its development.

II. Composition of Project Committee

1. That said Queen Creek Flood Control Project Committee consist of representatives of the various organizations, agencies, and interests directly interested and concerned in obtaining necessary control of the flood waters of the eastern portion of Maricopa County and the northern portions of Pinal County.

III. Personel

1. That Mr. George Frye, chairman of the Board of Supervisors, of Maricopa County, State of Arizona, constitute the chairman of the Project Committee.

2. That the chairman appoint representatives of the various organizations, agencies, and interests hereinabove mentioned, and

that in doing so he may seek the advice and assistance of such organizations, agencies, and interests.

3. That all vacancies in the representation and the creation of extensions shall be similarly made and filled by said chairman with the advice and assistance of the Executive Committee and of the organizations, agencies, and interests concerned.

IV. Organization

1. That the Queen Creek Flood Control Project Committee shall be organized and function by means of the following officers and committees:

- (a) Mr. George Frye, named in this Resolution, shall act as chairman until the office may become vacant, in which event the same shall be filled by the Executive Committee;
- (b) A Secretary whoshall be selected by the Executive Committee;
- (c) Functional committees to be established as follows:
 - (1) A Laison Committee
 - (2) An Engineering Committee
 - (3) A Legal Committee
 - (4) A Legislative Committee
 - (5) A Publicity Committee
 - (6) A Public Relations Committee
 - (7) A Ways and Means Committee
 - (8) A Financial Committee
 - (9) Such Special Committees as may be found necessary or advisable from time to time.

2. Each Committee shall consist of a chairman and not less than Two (2) nor more than Eight (8) additional persons, all appointed by the chairman of the Project Committee.

3. The Executive Committee shall consist of the Project Committee chairman and secretary and the chairman of the respective committees hereinbefore mentioned.

4. The Liaison Committee shall be responsible for establishing and maintaining contact, relationship, cooperation, advice, and coordination between the various organizations, agencies, and interests hereinbefore mentioned.

5. The Engineering Committee shall be responsible for advising the Project Committee on the engineering features of this enterprise in collaboration with the federal and state governments.

6. The Legal Committee shall be responsible for advising the Project Committee and formulating and drafting all instruments and documents that may be necessary or advisable in the functioning of the Project Committee and its various component subdivisions, and for formulating and drafting such legislation as may be necessary and advisable for carrying out the purposes of this enterprise.

7. The Legislative Committee shall be responsible for the introduction and enactment of such statutory legislation as may be necessary or advisable in connection with this enterprise.

8. The Publicity Committee shall be responsible for providing necessary public information and advice concerning this Project, its motives, purposes, aims, and other features.

9. The Public Relations Committee shall be responsible for maintaining a congenial, efficient, and useful spirit and attitude on the part of the organizations, agencies, departments, and interests concerned with this enterprise.

10. The Ways and Means Committee shall perform such functions and duties as may be assigned to it.

11. The Financial Committee shall be responsible for the formation of a plan for financing this enterprise pending its statutory establishment and for the providing of necessary funds by solicitation, contributions, assessment, or other means which may be adopted to finance this enterprise.

12. The Special Committees shall perform such duties and functions as may be assigned from time to time.

V. Meetings

1. Public meetings shall be called by the chairman from time to time, whenever the exigencies may require.

2. The Executive Committee shall fix the time and place of its meetings and in the absence of the entire personnel of any of the various committees may perform all the duties and exercise all the powers which could be exercised by the committee, were such committee in session, subject to the approval or disapproval of the committee concerned at its first meeting thereafter.

3. Regular committees shall meet on the call of the committee chairman.

VI. Amendments

1. These provisions may be altered, supplemented, or amended by a majority vote of the Executive Committee.

VII. Continuance

1. This Project Committee shall continue in existence until such time as a properly qualified statutory organization may be established for the formal sponsoring of the Project's purposes and aims.

VIII. Establishment

1. This Project shall be perfected within Thirty (30) Days from the date hereof by appointment of personnel as hereinbefore provided.

IX. Compensation.

All personnel of the Project Committee shall serve without pay, provided, however, that the Executive Committee may compensate for necessary costs and expenses and for necessary executive and professional services.

PASSED AND ADIPTED THIS _____ DAY OF
SEPTEMBER, 1937.

CHAIRMAN.

SECRETARY.

THE TOWN OF GILBERT

GILBERT, ARIZONA

RECEIVED

MAR 7 1916

James E. DeSouza, Clerk

RESOLUTION.

The Town of Gilbert and surrounding country are menaced continually by floods coming down from Queen Creek and Superstition Mountains. These floods destroy homes, crops, highways, irrigating ditches and numerous other damage which all amounts to many hundred thousand dollars worth of damage to the community.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Mayor and Common Council of The Town of Gilbert that we earnestly urge and recommend all governing bodies such as the Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County, The State Government and the U.S. Government to take such action as may be necessary for the protection of our homes and country at large from these floods.

Walter H. Page.
Mayor.

Ward C. Bunker
Councilman.

G. W. Lines
Councilman.

Charles M. Blakely
Councilman.

W. H. Johnson
Councilman.

TOWN OF CHANDLER

CHANDLER, ARIZONA

Sept. 24, 1934.

Office of
L. H. EDWARDS
Town Clerk and Treasurer
Police Judge

Clerk, Board of Supervisors,
Maricopa County,
Phoenix, Arizona.

Dear Sir:

At a Special Meeting of the Hon. Mayor and Common Council of the Town of Chandler, the following resolution was passed:

R E S O L U T I O N

"BE IT RESOLVED that the Common Council of The Town of Chandler is, and will continue to be in full accord with any work or any effort the Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County may exert relative to "Queen Creek Flood Control" located east of the Town of Chandler."

The above resolution reflects the whole-hearted attitude of the Common Council of the Town of Chandler, regarding such improvements in the Queen Creek District, and trust that the work will progress as fast as possible.

Yours very truly,



L. H. Edwards,
City Clerk.

LHE/le

Handwritten notes:
275/680/17
22-24
10/1

*S Flood Control
Whitlow Dam
- Canal Permit -*

December 8, 1958

P. F. Glendening
Highway Engineer
Maricopa County
Phoenix, Arizona

Dear Mr. Glendening:

Enclosed herewith is copy of letter from F. M.
Chapman, Tonto National Forest, with reference to
our letter to him dated November 13th, concerning
cancellation of permit regarding Whitlow Dam.

Very truly yours,

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

By Rhea Averill, Clerk

mg
Encl.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
FOREST SERVICE
TONGO NATIONAL FOREST



ADDRESS REPLY TO
FOREST SUPERVISOR
AND REFER TO

104 NORTH 5TH ST.,
PHOENIX, ARIZONA

U
USES--Tonto
Maricopa County
Reservoir 4/14/58

December 5, 1958

Board of Supervisors
Maricopa County
116 South 1st Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona

Gentlemen:

Thank you very kindly for your letter of November 13, expressing the County's wishes to cancel the permit.

We do not plan to cancel the permit until we receive written application from the U.S. Corps of Engineers for the area. We expect to receive this request any time now.

Very truly yours,

FRED O. LEFTWICH
Forest Supervisor

By- *F. M. Chapman*
F.M. Chapman

RECORDED 9 AM 8:24

CLERK OF THE BOARD
OF SUPERVISORS

*I Flood Control
Whitlow Dam
- Cancel Appl -*

November 13, 1958

U. S. Dept. of Agriculture
Tonto National Forest
104 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Arizona

Re: Whitlow Dam

Gentlemen:

On September 24, 1938 the Department of the Interior approved the map filed by the Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County, Arizona, in connection with its application under the Acts of March 3, 1891 (25 Stat 1095) and May 11, 1898 (30 Stat 404) for right-of-way for the Queen Creek Reservoir.

This request for the permit to build Whitlow Dam was extended by request from the Board of Supervisors November 29, 1950, and December 19, 1955, which has extended the permit until January 1, 1961.

The Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County wishes to cancel the above described permit in order to permit granting of a permit to the U. S. Corps of Engineers.

Very truly yours,

MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

By _____
Chairman

rh



HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT

4701 EAST WASHINGTON STREET
PHOENIX, ARIZONA

November 3, 1958

Board of Supervisors
Maricopa County
Phoenix, Arizona

Gentlemen:


Maricopa County kept the filings on Whitlow Dam alive to hold the Whitlow Dam Reservoir site for the benefit of the proposed flood control project in the Queen Creek area.

Since the release of the filing will permit the U. S. Corps of Engineers to proceed with the construction of the dam, this office recommends the cancellation of the permit.

It is recommended that the enclosed letter be authorized and directed by the Board of Supervisors, signed by the Chairman, and forwarded to the Forest Service.

Please forward one copy to this office for our files.

Very truly yours,



Fred Glendening
County Engineer

PFG*rn
Enc

1958 NOV 5 PM 3:00
CLERK OF THE BOARD
OF SUPERVISORS

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
FOREST SERVICE
TONTO NATIONAL FOREST

- ref to manager -
9/5/58

ADDRESS REPLY TO
FOREST SUPERVISOR
AND REFER TO



104 NORTH 5TH ST.,
PHOENIX, ARIZONA

September 4, 1958

REC SEP 5 11 9:14

CLERK OF THE BOARD
OF SUPERVISORS

U
USES-Tonto
Maricopa County
Reservoir 4/14/38

Board of Supervisors
Maricopa County
116 S. 1st Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona

Gentlemen:

We have recently received a letter from the U. S. Army Engineer District, Los Angeles Corps of Engineers, Arizona Area Office, 211 E. McDowell Rd., Phoenix, Arizona, requesting a permit to use approximately 1,950 acres of National Forest land located as follows:

Gila and Salt River Meridian

T. 1 South, R. 11 E. unsurveyed
Sec. 28, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 29, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 30, S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 31;
Secs. 32 and 33, those portions north and west of Gila Railroad right-of-way;
Sec. 34 NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$
T2S, R 11E
Sec. 6, N $\frac{1}{2}$, that portion North and west of Gila railroad right-of-way.

Our records show that a permit was issued to Maricopa County on 4/14/38 for practically the same area for the purpose of using the land for retention of flood waters of Queen Creek. The request of the Army Engineers is for the same purpose, and we feel sure that this will be agreeable to Maricopa County.

Before we can issue a permit to the Army Engineer District, we must have a written request from Maricopa County requesting that the permit issued

2- September 4, 1958

to the County on 4/14/38, be cancelled. Will you please furnish the Tonto National Forest with such a request which will enable us to proceed with this case.

Very truly yours,

FRED C. MITCHELL,
Forest Supervisor

By: *F. M. Chapman*
F. M. Chapman
Acting



SPECIAL USE PERMIT

U
Uses-Crook
Maricopa County
Reservoir 4/14/38
(Phoenix 078295)
(Case designation)

Permission is hereby granted to Maricopa County
of Arizona

to use the following-described lands: Approximately 934 acres within the Queen Creek
(Describe the lands to be occupied, if unsurveyed, by metes and bounds, with reference to a road or
Watershed in the north half of Sec. 6, T. 2 S., R. 11 E. and in what when surveyed
stream or well-known landmark; right of way by terminal points, direction, and lands occupied)
will be Secs. 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, and 34, T. 1 S., R. 11 E., G. & S.R.
B. & M.

for the purpose of constructing and maintaining a retention and storage dam for
(Briefly but clearly describe the use, giving area of inclosures, length and width of right of way, etc.)
the impounding of flood waters of Queen Creek, subject to the following
conditions:

~~subject to the following conditions:~~

1. The permittee shall pay to the Regional Fiscal Agent designated by the Forest officer for
deposit to the credit of the Treasurer of the United States, in consideration for this use, the sum of
No charge under Reg. L-2 (b) dollars (\$ -) for the period
from - -, 19 , to December 31, 19 , and thereafter annually,
on January 1, - - dollars (\$ -).

2. The permittee shall comply with the regulations of the Department of Agriculture governing
the National Forest, shall observe all sanitary laws and regulations applicable to the premises, and
shall keep the premises in a neat and orderly condition and dispose of all refuse and locate outhouses
and cesspools as required by the Forest officers.

3. This permit is subject to all valid claims.

4. The permittee shall take all reasonable precaution to prevent and suppress forest fires.

5. The permittee, if engaged in business, shall conduct same in an orderly manner and in accordance with all requirements of the laws of the State of Arizona, as well as the laws of the United States.

6. The permittee shall pay the United States for any damage to its property resulting from this use.

7. The permittee shall fully repair all damage, other than ordinary wear and tear, to roads and trails in the National Forests caused by the permittee in the exercise of the privilege granted by this permit.

8. Construction work (or occupancy and use) under this permit shall begin within 12 months, be completed within 3 years from the date of the permit, and this use shall be actually exercised ~~at least~~ continuously each year, unless the time is extended or shortened.

9. In case of change of address, permittee shall immediately notify the Forest Supervisor.

10. The charges for this use may be readjusted whenever necessary to place this permit on a basis consistent with the charge to other permittees for like privileges. A general readjustment will be made at the end of five years from the date of issuance of permit and at the end of each five-year period thereafter.

11. No National Forest timber may be cut or destroyed without first obtaining a permit from the Forest Supervisor.

12. Upon the abandonment, termination, or revocation of this permit, and in the absence of an agreement to the contrary, the permittee, if all the rental charges due the Government have been paid, may, within a reasonable period to be determined by the issuing officer, remove all structures which have been placed on the premises by him, except where the material was furnished by the Forest Service, but upon failure to remove the structures within that period they shall become the property of the United States.

13. This permit may be transferred with the approval of the officer by whom it was given or his successor, subject to such conditions as may be imposed at the time of transfer. It shall terminate upon breach of any of the conditions herein or at the discretion of the Regional Forester or the Forester.

14. The permittee shall provide, whenever requested by the Forest officers, a way across the land covered by this permit for the free ingress or egress of Forest officers and for users of National Forest land and purchasers of National Forest products.

15. This permit is subject to all of the stipulations agreed to by Maricopa County through its Board of Supervisors and executed on April 18, 1938, copy of which is attached hereto and made a part of this permit.



FRANK GRUBB

(Signature of officer issuing permit)

April 26, 1938

(Date)

Forest Supervisor

(Title)

STIPULATIONS

(Irrigation, Municipal, Mining)

(Acts March 3, 1891; May 11, 1898; February 1, 1905)

*Superseded by
Corrected
Stipulations
dated 5/24/38*

U
Uses-Crook
Maricopa County
Reservoir 4/14/38

WHEREAS, The reservoir right of way applied for by Maricopa County Board of Supervisors, Phoenix, Arizona (hereinafter called the Applicant) is within the Crook National Forest, as shown by a certain tracing executed by Maricopa County Board of Supervisors, on (undated), and filed in the United States Land Office at Phoenix, State of Arizona, on September 15, 1937; and

WHEREAS, The regulations of the United States Department of the Interior, concerning rights of way for railroads, reservoirs, canals, etc., provide that whenever such rights of way are located upon National Forests, the Applicant must enter into such stipulations and execute such bonds as the Secretary of Agriculture may require for the protection of the National Forests; and

WHEREAS, The Secretary of Agriculture requires, for the protection of said Crook National Forest, that the Applicant shall enter into the stipulations hereinafter set forth;

Now, THEREFORE, In consideration of the granting of the right of way applied for, the Applicant does hereby stipulate and agree, and does bind himself, his heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns, and each of them, jointly and severally (themselves, their heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns, and each of them, jointly and severally) (itself, its successors and assigns), as follows, to wit:

1. To pay to the Regional Fiscal Agent, Albuquerque, N. M., or such depository or officer as may hereafter be designated by the United States, to be placed to the credit of the United States, in advance of cutting or construction as required by the supervisor of said National Forest, for all live and dead timber, standing and down, salable in the judgment of the Forest Supervisor, which is cut, damaged, killed, or destroyed on said right of way in accordance with the scale or estimate of the Forest officers, at a rate to be fixed by the supervisor which shall correspond to the prevailing stumpage rates on said Forest.

If in the judgment of the Forest Supervisor any of the timber so cut, damaged, killed, or destroyed has no commercial value, payment will be required only for such portion thereof as is used by the applicant at a rate to be fixed by the Forest Supervisor, which shall be equivalent to the estimated costs of examination and administration. The United States reserves the right to dispose of any timber not used by the applicant and to require that it be banked or decked at places designated by the Forest officers.

2. To dispose of all brush, refuse, or unused timber accumulating upon the right of way at such times and in such manner as may be required by the Forest officers.

3. To pay to the United States depository or officer as aforesaid, on demand, for all damage to said National Forest resulting from the breaking of, or the overflowing, leaking, or seepage of water from, the reservoir or ditch, and for all damage to said National Forest caused by the negligence of the Applicant, his employees, contractors, or employees of contractors.

4. To build new roads and trails, as required by the said Forest Supervisor, to replace any roads or trails destroyed by construction work or flooding upon said right of way, and to build and maintain suitable crossings as required by the Supervisor for all roads and trails which intersect the right of way.

5. To require his employees, contractors, and employees of contractors, both independently and at the request of the Forest officers, to do all reasonably within their power to prevent and suppress fires.

6. To allow officers of the Forest Service free and unrestricted access in, through, and across all lands covered by said right of way, in the performance of their official duties; and to allow the Forest Service, without charge, to construct or permit to be constructed in, through, or across the land covered by said right of way, roads, trails, conduits, and other means of transportation not inconsistent with the enjoyment of said right of way by the Applicant.

7. The permittee shall comply with the regulations of the Department of Agriculture governing the National Forest, shall observe all sanitary laws and regulations applicable to the premises, and shall keep the premises in a neat and orderly condition and dispose of all refuse as required by the Forest Officers.

8. This permit is subject to all valid claims, the right-of-way of the Magma-Arizona Railroad, and all other permits and privileges issued by the Forest Service.

9. The permittee, if engaged in business, shall conduct same in an orderly manner and in accordance with all requirements of the laws of the State of Arizona, as well as the laws of the United States.

10. Construction work (or occupancy and use) under this permit shall begin within one year, be completed within three years from the date of the permit, and this use shall be actually exercised at least 300 days each year, unless the time is extended or shortened.

11. No National Forest timber may be cut or destroyed without first obtaining a permit from the Forest Supervisor.

12. This permit may be transferred with the approval of the officer by whom it was given or his successor, subject to such conditions as may be imposed at the time of transfer. It shall terminate upon breach of any of the conditions herein or at the discretion of the District Forester or the Forester.

13. The permittee agrees to reimburse all existing special use permittees whose improvements will be submerged or otherwise rendered useless by the creation of this reservoir, in the amounts decided either by a board of appraisers selected in the usual manner, or by condemnation proceedings in the event that an amicable agreement with the special use permittees cannot be reached.

To make any assignment or transfer of said right of way only after and on condition that the assignees or transferees, jointly and severally, covenant in writing to fulfill and perform all the duties and obligations of the Applicant arising hereunder, including his obligations under this paragraph.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, said Applicant has caused this instrument to be executed at Phoenix, Arizona, on this 18th day of April, 1938

Maricopa County Board of Supervisors

By J. E. DeSouza
clerk, Board of Supervisors, Maricopa County

° (Page 1, Par. No. 1) This clause applies to all uses in which a charge is to be made for timber in accordance with Regulation S-33.

N. B.-- When this instrument is executed by officers of a corporation it must be accompanied by evidence of their authority to do so.

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the United States Congress by act approved June 22, 1936, (Public, No. 738, 74th Congress) authorized and directed the Secretary of war to undertake preliminary examination and surveys for flood control on Queen Creek, Arizona, provided responsible local governmental agencies would assume the expense of acquiring the necessary rights-of-way, hold the United States free from damage, and maintain and operate the works after completion; and

WHEREAS, although an amendment to the above-mentioned act of Congress, adopted on June 28, 1938 (Public, No. 761, 75th Congress), relieved local agencies of all the above-mentioned obligations imposed upon them by the said act of June 22, 1936, the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors has been advised by the War Department that the relief granted local agencies from such obligations applies only to such flood control projects as were specifically authorized for construction by the acts of Congress of 1936 and 1938; and

WHEREAS, the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors has been further advised that assurances are desired that local interests will cooperate with the United States if a flood control project on Queen Creek, Arizona, is approved for construction;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors hereby declares that the public interest and welfare of Maricopa County requires flood control works on Queen Creek, and that, if required by Congress, it will, in cooperation with the Board of Supervisors of Pinal County, assist the Federal Government in undertaking said flood control work to the best of its ability by assuming an equitable portion of the following obligations:

1. Provide without cost to the United States all lands, easements, and other forms of rights-of-way necessary to the construction of said flood control improvement.
2. Provide whatever maintenance and operation of the improvement that is prescribed by the Secretary of War.
3. Hold and save the United States free from damages arising from the construction and operation of said flood control improvement, except damage claims caused by faulty manual operation by the United States.
4. Assume the cost of the relocation of all public utilities required in the construction of the improvement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors pledges to the United States Government that if and when the project is approved by Congress, it will negotiate a definite agreement with the Board of Supervisors of Pinal County upon an equitable division or assignment of the responsibility required to meet the obligations heretofore mentioned and will agree upon a mutually satisfactory organization to fulfill the prescribed obligations.

MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Original signed by JOHN A. FOOTE

BY _____

Chairman

Original signed by J. E. De SOUZA

Clerk

Adopted April 3, 1940.

R E S O L U T I O N

WHEREAS, the United States Congress by act approved June 22, 1936, (Public, No. 738, 74th Congress) authorized and directed the Secretary of war to undertake preliminary examination and surveys for flood control on Queen Creek, Arizona, provided responsible local governmental agencies would assume the expense of acquiring the necessary rights-of-way, hold the United States free from damage, and maintain and operate the works after completion; and

WHEREAS, although an amendment to the above-mentioned act of Congress, adopted on June 28, 1938 (Public, No. 761, 75th Congress), relieved local agencies of all the above-mentioned obligations imposed upon them by the said act of June 22, 1936, the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors has been advised by the War Department that the relief granted local agencies from such obligations applies only to such flood control projects as were specifically authorized for construction by the acts of Congress of 1936 and 1938; and

WHEREAS, the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors has been further advised that assurances are desired that local interests will cooperate with the United States if a flood control project on Queen Creek, Arizona, is approved for construction;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors hereby declares that the public interest and welfare of Maricopa County requires flood control works on Queen Creek, and that, if required by Congress, it will, in cooperation with the Board of Supervisors of Pinal County, assist the Federal Government in undertaking said flood control work to the best of its ability by assuming an equitable portion of the following obligations:

1. Provide without cost to the United States all lands, easements, and other forms of rights-of-way necessary to the construction of said flood control improvement.
2. Provide whatever maintenance and operation of the improvement that is prescribed by the Secretary of War.
3. Hold and save the United States free from damages arising from the construction and operation of said flood control improvement, except damage claims caused by faulty manual operation by the United States.
4. Assume the cost of the relocation of all public utilities required in the construction of the improvement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors pledges to the United States Government that if and when the project is approved by Congress, it will negotiate a definite agreement with the Board of Supervisors of Pinal County upon an equitable division or assignment of the responsibility required to meet the obligations heretofore mentioned and will agree upon a mutually satisfactory organization to fulfill the prescribed obligations.

MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BY Original signed by JOHN A. FOOTE

Chairman

Original signed by J. E. De SOUZA

Clerk

Adopted April 3, 1940.

WAR DEPARTMENT
Office of the Division Engineer
South Pacific Division
414 Custom House
San Francisco, California

RECEIVED

FEB 18 1938

James E. D. ... Clerk

February 14, 1938.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

It having come to the notice of the undersigned that you have expressed an interest in the pending proposition for the flood control of Queen Creek, Arizona, you are hereby notified that the report thereon authorized by Act of Congress of June 22, 1936, has been made and is unfavorable to participation by the United States in any improvement or further independent survey of Queen Creek, Arizona, for the flood control measures for which the War Department is responsible. The principal grounds upon which the adverse conclusion is based, are that complex water rights matters, and the interrelation of available waters of the entire Gila River Basin are such that it is inadvisable to give consideration to solutions of separate local flood control and water conservation problems on parts of the tributaries of the Gila River, such as the localities covered by this report, except as a part of a comprehensive Gila River Basin improvement plan.

You are further notified that all interested parties have the privilege of an appeal from this conclusion to the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, a permanent body sitting at Washington, D. C., to which all examination and survey reports of this character are referred. Parties desiring to do so may be heard on appeal by the Board, either orally or in writing. Written communications should be addressed to the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, Munitions Building, Washington, D. C., and should be mailed in time to be in the possession of the said Board within four weeks from the date of this communication. If, however, you have important data to communicate to the Board, which cannot be collected and put in shape for proper presentation within four weeks, the Board should be informed of this fact without delay and request made for an extension of the limiting date for submitting information. If oral hearings are desired, dates for the same may be arranged for by correspondence with the Board.

Any further information needed may be obtained by application to this office, but attention is invited to the following regulation as to the manner in which such information may be furnished:

"Where interested parties desire data necessary for the preparation of their appeal to the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, they will be afforded full opportunity to examine the copies of the reports of the district and division engineers in their respective offices, subject to the understanding that no part of the contents of these reports will be published in the newspapers or otherwise until the reports have been submitted to Congress. Copies of the report will not be furnished or loaned for use outside of the office; but interested parties will be permitted to make such notes of the contents as they desire."

You are requested to communicate the foregoing to any persons known by you to be interested in the improvement and who, not being known to this office, do not receive a copy of this communication.

Warren T. Hannum,
Colonel, Corps of Engineers,
Division Engineer.

RECEIVED

MAY 13 1940

James R. DeSouza, Clerk

R E S O L U T I O N .

WHEREAS, a plan for flood control providing for a dam on Queen Creek at the Whitlow Ranch site in Pinal County, Arizona has been prepared by the Office of the United States Engineer of Los Angeles, California; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Pinal County believes that realization of such a plan for flood control involving construction of a dam on Queen Creek in Pinal County would operate to the best interests of residents of Pinal and Maricopa Counties; and

WHEREAS, the Office of the United States Engineer has indicated that approval of the flood control plan by resolution of the Board of Supervisors of Pinal County is required before construction of a flood control dam on Queen Creek can be begun;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Pinal County hereby approves and endorses the United States Engineer's plan for flood control on Queen Creek including the construction of a flood control dam at the Whitlow Ranch site on Queen Creek, Pinal County.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PINAL
COUNTY, ARIZONA

By _____
ENIS THURMAN, Chairman.

MOSES BROWN, Clerk

Adopted May 8th, 1940.

*This was executed
for Pinal County +
sent to L.A. office*

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

WAR DEPARTMENT
UNITED STATES ENGINEER OFFICE
751 South Figueroa Street,
Los Angeles, Calif.

August 24, 1937

1. Congressional Act, Public No. 738, 74th Congress, H. R. 8455 approved June 22, 1936, as amended by Act of 75th Congress, Public No. 208, Chapter 511 - 1st Session, H. R. 7493, approved July 19, 1937, having authorized a preliminary examination and survey of the Lower Santa Cruz River, Pinal County, Arizona, with a view to the control of floods, water supply and conservation, run-off and water flow retardation, soil erosion prevention, and vegetative cover of the watershed, a public hearing will be held in Casa Grande, Arizona, City Hall, at 10:00 a.m., Wednesday, September 22, 1937.

2. In order to facilitate conduct of the hearing, it will be divided into two parts, as follows:

Part I - Flood control.

Part II - Run-off and water flow retardation and soil erosion prevention, and their relation to forest, vegetative cover, and other watershed conditions.

3. All interested parties are invited to submit plans or descriptions of improvements desired and to be present or to be represented at the above time and place, particularly those interested in the control of floods and the protection of watershed values, and the officials of any county, city, town or local association whose interests may be affected by the improvements desired. They will be given an opportunity to express their views upon the suitability and adequacy of said improvements with reference to the control of floods, water supply and conservation, run-off and water flow retardation, soil erosion prevention, and the maintenance of vegetative cover, and to suggest changes therein that they may consider desirable.

Part I

4. The following flood control information is requested:

a. Description in detail of the character and location of the improvements desired and their approximate estimated cost.

b. Full information as to the extent and character of areas threatened by, or subject to floods, including monetary value and productivity of said areas.

c. The economic and other justification for the expenditures that may be entailed by the desired improvements, based upon: Saving of life and protection to property, improvement to drainage, conservation of water, the development of power, and benefits to navigation, if any.

d. Description of floods, their frequency and severity, and damages that have been inflicted thereby,

e. The monetary value of benefits expected to accrue to lands, public and private property, utilities, business activities and other interests from the desired improvements.

f. Any other information that may be pertinent to the question of flood control of the streams.

5. The above-mentioned acts, copies of which are attached, require certain items of local co-operation. In this connection, the following is requested.

a. Resolution, by responsible body or agency, that the items of local co-operation called for by the aforesaid acts will be complied with. This resolution should state, if practicable, the time within which said items of co-operation will be fulfilled, after notification, provided a project for the proposed improvements is adopted by Congress, and give the authority under which said responsible body or agency functions and under which it can so co-operate.

b. If said resolution cannot be submitted until later, a letter should be submitted so stating and explaining the situation,

c. If no local co-operation is to be expected, a letter to this effect should be submitted, with explanations, as soon as practicable.

d. If only partial fulfillment of aforesaid required items of co-operation is expected at this time, such should be reported by letter. This letter should specify the items that can be fulfilled and give the time within which the requirements of said items will be complied with, after notification as per foregoing subparagraph 5 a. The letter should also specify any other co-operation and or contribution which the United States may expect from local interests toward the cost of the desired improvements, or in construction, at local expense, of complementary works.

Part 11.

6. The following information is requested concerning watershed conditions and their relation to floods and their control:

a. Description of the extent and nature of soil erosion, accelerated run-off, and depletion of forest and vegetative cover.

b. Full information concerning the effects of present or impending injuries watershed conditions on livestock, forage and timber production, availability of surface and subsurface waters, regulation of water flow, improvement to drainage, accumulation of silt, soil fertility, saving of life, and protection to property, or on any other watershed values.

c. Description in detail of the watershed program desired and needed.

d. The monetary value of benefits based on past and future uses expected to accrue to lands, public and private property, utilities, business activities, agricultural and other interests from the desired watershed program; also the improvement in the condition of the rural and urban populations which are dependent on the watershed resources. The economic and other justifications for the expenditures that may be entailed in any proposed watershed program based upon the above information.

7. Oral statements will be heard, but for accuracy of record all important facts and arguments for each of the aforementioned parts I and 11, respectively, should be submitted in writing, as the records of the hearing will be forwarded for consideration by the War Department and the Department of Agriculture. Written statements under each section may be handed to the undersigned at the hearing, or preferably mailed to him beforehand. Six copies of all written matter and exhibits should be submitted.

Theodore Wyman, Jr.
Major, Corps of Engineers,
District Engineer

1 Incl. -
Copy of acts as amended.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

WAR DEPARTMENT
UNITED STATES ENGINEER OFFICE
751 South Figueroa Street,
Los Angeles, Calif.

August 24, 1937

1. Congressional Act, Public No. 738, 74th Congress, H. R. 8455 approved June 22, 1936, as amended by Act of 75th Congress, Public No. 208, Chapter 511 - 1st Session, H. R. 7493, approved July 19, 1937, having authorized a preliminary examination and survey of the Lower Santa Cruz River, Pinal County, Arizona, with a view to the control of floods, water supply and conservation, run-off and water flow retardation, soil erosion prevention, and vegetative cover of the watershed, a public hearing will be held in Casa Grande, Arizona, City Hall, at 10:00 a.m., Wednesday, September 22, 1937.

2. In order to facilitate conduct of the hearing, it will be divided into two parts, as follows:

Part I - Flood control.

Part II - Run-off and water flow retardation and soil erosion prevention, and their relation to forest, vegetative cover, and other watershed conditions.

3. All interested parties are invited to submit plans or descriptions of improvements desired and to be present or to be represented at the above time and place, particularly those interested in the control of floods and the protection of watershed values, and the officials of any county, city, town or local association whose interests may be affected by the improvements desired. They will be given an opportunity to express their views upon the suitability and adequacy of said improvements with reference to the control of floods, water supply and conservation, run-off and water flow retardation, soil erosion prevention, and the maintenance of vegetative cover, and to suggest changes therein that they may consider desirable.

Part I

4. The following flood control information is requested:

a. Description in detail of the character and location of the improvements desired and their approximate estimated cost.

b. Full information as to the extent and character of areas threatened by, or subject to floods, including monetary value and productivity of said areas.

c. The economic and other justification for the expenditures that may be entailed by the desired improvements, based upon: Saving of life and protection to property, improvement to drainage, conservation of water, the development of power, and benefits to navigation, if any.

d. Description of floods, their frequency and severity, and damages that have been inflicted thereby,

e. The monetary value of benefits expected to accrue to lands, public and private property, utilities, business activities and other interests from the desired improvements.

f. Any other information that may be pertinent to the question of flood control of the streams.

5. The above-mentioned acts, copies of which are attached, require certain items of local co-operation. In this connection, the following is requested.

a. Resolution, by responsible body or agency, that the items of local co-operation called for by the aforesaid acts will be complied with. This resolution should state, if practicable, the time within which said items of co-operation will be fulfilled, after notification, provided a project for the proposed improvements is adopted by Congress, and give the authority under which said responsible body or agency functions and under which it can co-operate.

b. If said resolution cannot be submitted until later, a letter should be submitted so stating and explaining the situation,

c. If no local co-operation is to be expected, a letter to this effect should be submitted, with explanations, as soon as practicable.

d. If only partial fulfillment of aforesaid required items of co-operation is expected at this time, such should be reported by letter. This letter should specify the items that can be fulfilled and give the time within which the requirements of said items will be complied with, after notification as per foregoing subparagraph 5 a. The letter should also specify any other co-operation and or contribution which the United States may expect from local interests toward the cost of the desired improvements, or in construction, at local expense, of complementary works.

Part II.

6. The following information is requested concerning watershed conditions and their relation to floods and their control:

a. Description of the extent and nature of soil erosion, accelerated run-off, and depletion of forest and vegetative cover.

b. Full information concerning the effects of present or impending injuries watershed conditions on livestock, forage and timber production, availability of surface and subsurface waters, regulation of water flow, improvement to drainage, accumulation of silt, soil fertility, saving of life, and protection to property, or on any other watershed values.

c. Description in detail of the watershed program desired and needed.

d. The monetary value of benefits based on past and future uses expected to accrue to lands, public and private property, utilities, business activities, agricultural and other interests from the desired watershed program; also the improvement in the condition of the rural and urban populations which are dependent on the watershed resources. The economic and other justifications for the expenditures that may be entailed in any proposed watershed program based upon the above information.

7. Oral statements will be heard, but for accuracy of record all important facts and arguments for each of the aforementioned parts I and II, respectively, should be submitted in writing, as the records of the hearing will be forwarded for consideration by the War Department and the Department of Agriculture. Written statements under each section may be handed to the undersigned at the hearing, or preferably mailed to him beforehand. Six copies of all written matter and exhibits should be submitted.

Theodore Wyman, Jr.
Major, Corps of Engineers,
District Engineer

1 Incl. -
Copy of acts as amended.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

WAR DEPARTMENT
UNITED STATES ENGINEER OFFICE
751 South Figueroa Street,
Los Angeles, Calif.

August 24, 1937

1. Congressional Act, Public No. 738, 74th Congress, H. R. 8455 approved June 22, 1936, as amended by Act of 75th Congress, Public No. 208, Chapter 511 - 1st Session, H. R. 7493, approved July 19, 1937, having authorized a preliminary examination and survey of the Lower Santa Cruz River, Pinal County, Arizona, with a view to the control of floods, water supply and conservation, run-off and water flow retardation, soil erosion prevention, and vegetative cover of the watershed, a public hearing will be held in Casa Grande, Arizona, City Hall, at 10:00 a.m., Wednesday, September 22, 1937.

2. In order to facilitate conduct of the hearing, it will be divided into two parts, as follows:

Part I - Flood control.

Part II - Run-off and water flow retardation and soil erosion prevention, and their relation to forest, vegetative cover, and other watershed conditions.

3. All interested parties are invited to submit plans or descriptions of improvements desired and to be present or to be represented at the above time and place, particularly those interested in the control of floods and the protection of watershed values, and the officials of any county, city, town or local association whose interests may be affected by the improvements desired. They will be given an opportunity to express their views upon the suitability and adequacy of said improvements with reference to the control of floods, water supply and conservation, run-off and water flow retardation, soil erosion prevention, and the maintenance of vegetative cover, and to suggest changes therein that they may consider desirable.

Part I

4. The following flood control information is requested:

a. Description in detail of the character and location of the improvements desired and their approximate estimated cost.

b. Full information as to the extent and character of areas threatened by, or subject to floods, including monetary value and productivity of said areas.

c. The economic and other justification for the expenditures that may be entailed by the desired improvements, based upon: Saving of life and protection to property, improvement to drainage, conservation of water, the development of power, and benefits to navigation, if any.

d. Description of floods, their frequency and severity, and damages that have been inflicted thereby,

e. The monetary value of benefits expected to accrue to lands, public and private property, utilities, business activities and other interests from the desired improvements.

f. Any other information that may be pertinent to the question of flood control of the streams.

5. The above-mentioned acts, copies of which are attached, require certain items of local co-operation. In this connection, the following is requested.

RESOLUTION NO. _____.

WHEREAS, Congressional Act, Public No. 738, 74th Congress, H. R. 8455, approved June 22, 1936, as amended by Act of 75th Congress, Public No. 208, Chapter 511 - 1st Session, H. R. 7493, approved July 19, 1937, has authorized a preliminary examination and survey of the _____ River and tributaries in the County of _____, State of _____, by the Secretary of War, for flood control and water conservation, and for other purposes; and

WHEREAS, it is provided in Section 3 of Flood Control Act, approved June 22, 1936, as follows:

"SECTION 3. That hereafter no money appropriated under authority of this Act shall be expended on the construction of any project until States, political subdivisions thereof, or other responsible local agencies have given assurances satisfactory to the Secretary of War that they will (a) provide without cost to the United States all lands, easements, and rights-of-way necessary for the construction of the project, except as otherwise provided herein; (b) hold and save the United States free from damages due to the construction works; (c) maintain and operate all the works after completion in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary of War: Provided, That the construction of any dam authorized herein may be undertaken without delay when the dam site has been acquired and the assurances prescribed herein have been furnished, without awaiting the acquisition of the easements and rights-of-way required for the reservoir area: And provided further, That whenever expenditures for lands, easements, and rights-of-way by States, political subdivisions thereof, or responsible local agencies for any individual project or useful part thereof shall have exceeded the present estimated construction cost therefor, the local agency concerned may be reimbursed one-half of its excess expenditures over said estimated construction cost: And provided further, That when benefits of any project or useful part thereof accrue to lands and property outside of the State in which said project or part thereof is located, the Secretary of War with the consent of the State wherein the same are located may acquire the necessary lands, easements, and rights-of-way for said project or part thereof after he has received from the States, political subdivisions thereof, or responsible local agencies benefited the present estimated cost of said lands, easements, and rights-of-way, less one-half the amount by which the estimated cost of these lands, easements, and rights-of-way exceeds the estimated construction cost corresponding thereto: And provided further,

That the Secretary of War shall determine the proportion of the present estimated cost of said lands, easements, and rights-of-way that each State, political subdivision thereof, or responsible local agency should contribute in consideration for the benefits to be received by such agencies: And provided further, That whenever not less than 75 per centum of the benefits as estimated by the Secretary of War of any project or useful part thereof accrue to lands and property outside of the State in which said project or part thereof is located, provision (c) of this section shall not apply thereto; nothing herein shall impair or abridge the powers now existing in the Department of War with respect to navigable streams: And provided further, That nothing herein shall be construed to interfere with the completion of any reservoir or flood control work authorized by the Congress and now under way"; and

WHEREAS, Act of 75th Congress, Public No. 208, Chapter 511 - 1st Session, H. R. 7493, approved July 19, 1937, amending aforesaid Act of 74th Congress, Public No. 738, H. R. 8455, approved June 22, 1936, further provides, as follows:

" * The Act entitled 'An act authorizing the construction of certain public works on rivers and harbors for flood control, and for other purposes', approved June 22, 1936, is hereby amended by adding to the first paragraph of section 5, a proviso reading as follows: 'Provided further, that the Secretary of War is authorized to receive from States and political subdivisions thereof, such funds as may be contributed by them to be expended in connection with funds appropriated by the United States for any authorized flood control work whenever such work and expenditure may be considered by the Secretary of War, on recommendation of the Chief of Engineers, as advantageous in the public interest, and the plans for any reservoir project may, in the discretion of the Secretary of War, on recommendation of the Chief of Engineers, be modified to provide additional storage capacity for domestic water supply or other conservational storage, on condition that the cost of such increased storage capacity is contributed by local agencies and that the local agencies agree to utilize such additional storage capacity in a manner consistent with Federal uses and purposes: And provided further, That when contributions made by States and political subdivisions thereof, are in excess of the actual cost of the work contemplated and properly chargeable to such contributions, such excess contributions may, with the approval of the Secretary of War, be returned to the proper representatives of the contributing interests.' * "

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED BY THE (City of _____) *
(_____) *
County Board of Supervisors) *

as follows:

* Note: Separate resolution required by each responsible local agency.

That, if and when a flood control project upon said _____
River and tributaries in the County of _____, State of
_____, satisfactory to the Secretary of War, and
satisfactory to the (City of _____) *
(_____ County Board of
Supervisors) *

has been authorized by act or acts of the Congress of the United States,

said (City of _____) *
(_____ County Board of Supervisors) *

will sponsor said project and give assurances satisfactory to the
Secretary of War that it has the necessary legal authority, or will
obtain it, to fulfill its obligations in connection therewith, includ-
ing the raising of funds, condemnation of property, etc., and will
(a) provide, without cost to the United States, all lands, easements
and rights-of-way necessary for the construction of the project;
(b) hold and save the United States free from damages due to the
construction works; (c) maintain and operate all the works after com-
pletion in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary
of War; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the (City of _____) *
(_____ County Board of Supervisors) *

is hereby authorized and directed to forward certified copies of this
resolution to the District Engineer, United States Engineer Office,
751 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, California.

Passed and adopted by the _____
this ____ day of _____ 1937.

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

(NOTARY CERTIFICATE)

* Note: Separate resolution required by each responsible local agency.

QUEEN CREEK DIVERSION DAM AND CANAL)
QUEEN CREEK RESERVOIR SITE)
JOSEPH R. LOFTUS, Grantee)

PHOENIX 039897

PHOENIX 061276

OBJECTION TO EXTENSION OF TIME

The Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County respectfully present this, their objection to the extension of time requested by Joseph R. Loftus, grantee, which is evidenced by his application under date of February 28, 1936, and show the following reasons:

1. That it now appears that it will be impossible for Mr. Loftus at any time within the near future to proceed any further with his project.

2. That the only way Maricopa County, Arizona can build the flood control dam which it desires to construct is by grant and appropriation by the Federal Government.

3. That there is now pending in the United States Congress that certain Bill Number HRS455, to which bill Mr. Hayden of Arizona has proposed an amendment appropriating \$932,800.00 to construct the Queen Creek Flood Control Project. This will be done, according to the provisions of the Bill, under the direction of the War Department.

4. That if and when said appropriation is made, Maricopa County, Arizona desires to take advantage thereof, it will be necessary that the rights of Mr. Loftus in and to the locations mentioned in his petition and letter be cancelled and out of the way so that work can proceed immediately.

5. That no good reason appears in Mr. Loftus' letter why an extension of time should be granted. It appears from the whole record of these cases that they had their inception many years ago, the first filing being April 25, 1919, which is almost 17 years. During the period of time nothing so far as can be ascertained has been accomplished in the way of financing the construction of an irrigation project, except the purchase of lands from the State of Arizona lying below the proposed reservoir site. No financing has been done, and apparently there is no likelihood of any financing in the near future.

6. It is of great importance to the owners of 80,000 acres of land in the Salt River Valley that a flood control project be built.

In view of all of which, it is respectfully urged that an extension of time for any purpose whatsoever be denied to the petitioner, Joseph R. Leftus, and that steps be immediately taken to bring about a cancellation of his rights in the premises.

DATED this 2nd day of March, 1936.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF
MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA.

C. W. PETERSON

Chairman

ATTEST:

J. E. DeSOUZA

Clerk

WAR DEPARTMENT
Office of the Division Engineer
South Pacific Division
414 Custom House
San Francisco, California

February 14, 1936

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

It having come to the notice of the undersigned that you have expressed an interest in the pending proposition for the flood control of Queen Creek, Arizona, you are hereby notified that the report thereon authorized by Act of Congress of June 22, 1936, has been made and is unfavorable to participation by the United States in any improvement or further independent survey of Queen Creek, Arizona, for the flood control measures for which the War Department is responsible. The principal grounds upon which the adverse conclusion is based, are that complex water rights matters, and the interrelation of available waters of the entire Gila River Basin are such that it is inadvisable to give consideration to solutions of separate local flood control and water conservation problems on parts of the tributaries of the Gila River, such as the localities covered by this report, except as a part of a comprehensive Gila River Basin improvement plan.

You are further notified that all interested parties have the privilege of an appeal from this conclusion to the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, a permanent body sitting at Washington, D. C., to which all examination and survey reports of this character are referred. Parties desiring to do so may be heard on appeal by the Board, either orally or in writing. Written communications should be addressed to the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, Munitions Building, Washington, D. C., and should be mailed in time to be in the possession of the said Board within four weeks from the date of this communication. If, however, you have important data to communicate to the Board, which cannot be collected and put in shape for proper presentation within four weeks, the Board should be informed of this fact without delay and request made for an extension of the limiting date for submitting information. If oral hearings are desired, dates for the same may be arranged for by correspondence with the Board.

Any further information needed may be obtained by application to this office, but attention is invited to the following regulation as to the manner in which such information may be furnished:

"Where interested parties desire data necessary for the preparation of their appeal to the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors, they will be afforded full opportunity to examine the copies of the reports of the district and division engineers in their respective offices, subject to the understanding that no part of the contents of these reports will be published in the newspapers or otherwise until the reports have been submitted to Congress. Copies of the report will not be furnished or loaned for use outside of the office; but interested parties will be permitted to make such notes of the contents as they desire."

You are requested to communicate the foregoing to any persons known by you to be interested in the improvement and who, not being known to this office, do not receive a copy of this communication.

Warren T. Hannum
Colonel, Corps of Engineers,
Division Engineer

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the United States Congress by act approved June 22, 1936, Public, No. 738, 74th Congress) authorized and directed the Secretary of War to undertake preliminary examination and surveys for flood control on Queen Creel, Arizona, provided responsible local governmental agencies would assume the expense of acquiring the necessary rights-of-way, hold the United States free from damage, and maintain and operate the works after completion; and

WHEREAS, although an amendment to the above-mentioned act of Congress, adopted on June 28, 1938 (Public, No. 761, 75th Congress), relieved local agencies of all the above-mentioned obligations imposed upon them by the said act of June 22, 1936, the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors has been advised by the War Department that the relief granted local agencies from such obligations applies only to such flood control projects as were specifically authorized for construction by the acts of Congress of 1936 and 1938; and

WHEREAS, the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors has been further advised that assurances are desired that local interests will cooperate with the United States if a flood control project on Queen Creek, Arizona, is approved for construction;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors hereby declares that the public interest and welfare of Maricopa County requires flood control works on Queen Creek, and that, if required by Congress, it will, in cooperation with the Board of Supervisors of Pinal County, assist the Federal Government in undertaking said flood control work to the best of its ability by assuming an equitable portion of the following obligations:

1. Provide without cost to the United States all lands, easements, and other forms of rights-of-way necessary to the construction of said flood control improvement.
2. Provide whatever maintenance and operation of the improvement that is prescribed by the Secretary of War.
3. Hold and save the United States free from damages arising from the construction and operation of said flood control improvement, except damage claims caused by faulty manual operation by the United States.
4. Assume the cost of the relocation of all public utilities required in the construction of the improvement.



BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors pledges to the United States Government that if and when the project is approved by Congress, it will negotiate a definite agreement with the Board of Supervisors of Pinal County upon an equitable division or assignment of the responsibility required to meet the obligations heretofore mentioned and will agree upon a mutually satisfactory organization to fulfill the prescribed obligations.

Adopted April 3, 1940.

Board of Supervisors
of Maricopa County, Arizona.

Chairman

Clerk



UPPER GILA RIVER WATERSHED
ARIZONA AND NEW MEXICO
 Area Approx. 18,000 Sq. Mi.

Scale in Miles
 Nov. 3, 1934.

Approx. Area:
 Gila River above Coolidge Dam 13,540 Sq. Mi.
 San Pedro River 4,460 Sq. Mi.

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR
SOIL EROSION SERVICE
 R. H. BENNETT, DIRECTOR

Project No 25 Gila River
 Compiled from Geological Survey Maps
 Dwn. by R.W.W. Nov. 1934. Traced by R.W.W. Nov. 1934.
 Checked by W.M.W. Approved by R.W.W. Nov. 1934.

1 QUEEN CREEK DIVERSION DAM AND CANAL)
2 QUEEN CREEK RESERVOIR SITE)
3 JOSEPH R. LOFTUS, Grantee)
4)

PHOENIX 039897

PHOENIX 061276

OBJECTION TO EXTENSION OF TIME

5 The Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County respectfully present this,
6 their objection to the extension of time requested by Joseph R. Loftus, grantee,
7 which is evidenced by his application under date of February 28, 1936, and show
8 the following reasons:

9 1. That it now appears that it will be impossible for Mr. Loftus at any
10 time within the near future to proceed any further with his project.

11 2. That the only way Maricopa County, Arizona can build the flood control
12 dam which it desires to construct is by grant and appropriation by the Federal
13 Government.

14 3. That it will be impossible for Maricopa County to furnish any money
15 to purchase the material and use W.P.A. labor in the construction of the project
16 because Maricopa County has no funds out of which the material can be purchased.

17 4. That there is now pending in the United States Congress that certain
18 Bill Number HR8455, to which bill Mr. Hayden of Arizona has proposed an amend-
19 ment appropriating \$932,800.00 to construct the Queen Creek Flood Control
20 Project. This will be done, according to the provisions of the Bill, under
21 the direction of the War Department.

22 5. That if and when said appropriation is made, Maricopa County, Arizona
23 desires to take advantage thereof, it will be necessary that the rights of
24 Mr. Loftus in and to the locations mentioned in his petition and letter be
25 cancelled and out of the way so that work can proceed immediately.

26 6. That no good reason appears in Mr. Loftus' letter why an extension of
27 time should be granted. It appears from the whole record of these cases that
28 they had their inception many years ago, the first filing being April 25, 1919,
29 which is almost 17 years. During that period of time nothing so far as can be
30 ascertained has been accomplished in the way of financing the construction of an
31

32

Rewritten eliminating Paragraph 3 - Attention to Change

1 irrigation project, except the purchase of lands from the State of Arizona
2 lying below the proposed reservoir site. No financing has been done, and appar-
3 ently there is no likelihood of any financing in the near future.

4 7. It is of great importance to the owners of 80,000 acres of land in the
5 Salt River Valley that a flood control project be built.

6 In view of all of which, it is respectfully urged that an extention of time
7 for any purpose whatsoever be denied to the petitioner, Joseph R. Loftus, and
8 that steps be immediately taken to bring about a cancellation of his rights in
9 the premises.

10 DATED this _____ day of March, 1936.

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF
MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA.

Chairman

ATTEST:

Clerk

R E S O L U T I O N

WHEREAS, for many years disastrous floods from Queen Creek and other adjacent creeks and washes have particularly caused great damage to the cities of Chandler, Gilbert, and Higley, the canals and control works of the Roosevelt Conservation District, and the farms and ranches of the great agricultural region of this district, and,

WHEREAS, there is now pending before the Public Works Administration an application for funds to erect control works to protect against these menacing floods, and,


WHEREAS, this is a constructive move of the highest importance to a great many people of this vicinity and of property values aggregating hundreds of thousands of dollars, now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Mayor and Common Council of the Town of Chandler, in regular session assembled that we endorse this project and earnestly recommend that funds be provided for this purpose.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this resolution be spread upon the minutes of the meeting and that a suitable engrossed copy of the same, signed by the Mayor and attested by the City Clerk, be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County.

Done this 5th. day of March, 1935.

Attest:

 R. Edwards
City Clerk

J. Lee Lovell Mayor
Mayor