

**Public Notice**

**Further Amendment**

**Community Development Block Grant  
2010-2011 Substantial Amendment  
Neighborhood Stabilization Program 3**

Consistent with the regulations of the NSP3 Program published on October 19, 2010, Maricopa County Human Services is informing the public of its intention to further Amend the NSP3 Substantial Amendment to the 2010 Annual Action Plan to add a third neighborhood to the two neighborhoods listed in Attachment B. This additional neighborhood meets the average combined index score of 17 as calculated on the HUD Foreclosure Need Website and is of an appropriate size to be positively impacted by a potential multifamily rental acquisition and rehabilitation. The proposed additional neighborhood is approximately one block wide and one half mile long on the north side of Thomas Road from 51<sup>st</sup> to 52<sup>nd</sup> Street and on the east side of 52<sup>nd</sup> Street from Thomas to Oak Street in Phoenix.

Comments on this proposed amendment may be made by e-mail to Paul Ludwick [ludwickp@mail.maricopa.gov](mailto:ludwickp@mail.maricopa.gov) or Ursula Strephans [strephansu@mail.maricopa.gov](mailto:strephansu@mail.maricopa.gov) before April 17, 2012.

This notice may be made available in alternate formats or languages upon request.

Neighborhood ID: 3829254

### **NSP3 Planning Data**

Grantee ID: 0403300E

Grantee State: AZ

Grantee Name: PHOENIX

Grantee Address: 234 N. Central Ave Phoenix AZ 85004

Grantee Email: ludwickp@mail.maricopa.gov

Neighborhood Name: 5102 Thomas to Oak

Date:2012-02-15 00:00:00

#### NSP3 Score

The neighborhoods identified by the NSP3 grantee as being the areas of greatest need must have an individual or average combined index score for the grantee's identified target geography that is not less than the lesser of 17 or the twentieth percentile most needy score in an individual state. For example, if a state's twentieth percentile most needy census tract is 18, the requirement will be a minimum need of 17. If, however, a state's twentieth percentile most needy census tract is 15, the requirement will be a minimum need of 15. If more than one neighborhood is identified in the Action Plan, HUD will average the Neighborhood Scores, weighting the scores by the estimated number of housing units in each identified neighborhood.

Neighborhood NSP3 Score: 17

State Minimum Threshold NSP3 Score: 17

Total Housing Units in Neighborhood: 147

#### Area Benefit Eligibility

Percent Persons Less than 120% AMI: 62.7

Percent Persons Less than 80% AMI: 55.6

#### Neighborhood Attributes (Estimates)

##### *Vacancy Estimate*

USPS data on addresses not receiving mail in the last 90 days or "NoStat" can be a useful measure of whether or not a target area has a serious vacancy problem. For urban neighborhoods, HUD has found that neighborhoods with a very high number vacant addresses relative to the total addresses in an area to be a very good indicator of a current for potentially serious blight problem.

The USPS "NoStat" indicator can mean different things. In rural areas, it is an indicator of vacancy. However, it can also be an address that has been issued but not ever used, it can indicate units under development, and it can be a very distressed property (most of the still flood damaged properties in New Orleans are NoStat). When using this variable, users need to understand the target area identified.

In addition, the housing unit counts HUD gets from the US Census indicated above are usually close to the residential address counts from the USPS below. However, if the Census and USPS counts are substantially different for your identified target area, users are advised to use the information below with caution. For example if there are many NoStats in an area for units never built, the USPS residential address count may be larger than the Census number; if the area is a rural area largely served by PO boxes it may have fewer addresses than housing units.

USPS Residential Addresses in Neighborhood: 148

Residential Addresses Vacant 90 or more days (USPS, March 2010): 14

Residential Addresses NoStat (USPS, March 2010): 2

### *Foreclosure Estimates*

HUD has developed a model for predicting where foreclosures are likely. That model estimates serious delinquency rates using data on the leading causes of foreclosures - subprime loans (HMDA Census Tract data on high cost and highly leveraged loans), increasing unemployment (BLS data on unemployment rate change), and fall in home values (FHFA data on house price change). The predicted serious delinquency rate is then used to apportion the state total counts of foreclosure starts (from the Mortgage Bankers Association) and REOs (from RealtyTrac) to individual block groups.

Total Housing Units to receive a mortgage between 2004 and 2007: 45

Percent of Housing Units with a high cost mortgage between 2004 and 2007: 22.8

Percent of Housing Units 90 or more days delinquent or in foreclosure: 13.6

Number of Foreclosure Starts in past year: 4

Number of Housing Units Real Estate Owned July 2009 to June 2010: 3

HUD is encouraging grantees to have small enough target areas for NSP 3 such that their dollars will have a visible impact on the neighborhood. Nationwide there have been over 1.9 million foreclosure completions in the past two years. NSP 1, 2, and 3 combined are estimated to only be able to address 100,000 to 120,000 foreclosures. To stabilize a neighborhood requires focused investment.

Estimated number of properties needed to make an impact in identified target area (20% of REO in past year): 1

### Supporting Data

Metropolitan Area (or non-metropolitan area balance) percent fall in home value since peak value (Federal Housing Finance Agency Home Price Index through June 2010): -38.1

Place (if place over 20,000) or county unemployment rate June 2005\*: 4.5

Place (if place over 20,000) or county unemployment rate June 2010\*: 9.9

\*Bureau of Labor Statistics Local Area Unemployment Statistics

### Market Analysis:

HUD is providing the data above as a tool for both neighborhood targeting and to help inform the strategy development. Some things to consider:

1. Persistent Unemployment. Is this an area with persistently high unemployment? Serious consideration should be given to a rental strategy rather than a homeownership strategy.
2. Home Value Change and Vacancy. Is this an area where foreclosures are largely due to a combination of falling home values, a recent spike in unemployment, and a relatively low vacancy rate? A down payment assistance program may be an effective strategy.
3. Persistently High Vacancy. Are there a high number of substandard vacant addresses in the target area of a community with persistently high unemployment? A demolition/land bank strategy with selected acquisition rehab for rental or lease-purchase might be considered.
4. Historically low vacancy that is now rising. A targeted strategy of acquisition for homeownership and rental to retain or regain neighborhood stability might be considered.
5. Historically high cost rental market. Does this market historically have very high rents with low vacancies? A strategy of acquiring properties and developing them as long-term affordable rental might be considered.

### Latitude and Longitude of corner points

-111.972291 33.480136 -111.972291 33.481049 -111.968472 33.480995 -111.968300 33.472726

-111.969674 33.472780 -111.969588 33.480082

Blocks Comprising Target Neighborhood

040131112016003,